## Exhibit 6

```
Page 1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
              FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 2
                         EASTERN DIVISION
 3
 4
     MELINDA SGARIGLIA,
                                     )
               Plaintiff,
 5
                                        NO. 1:19-CV-05684
 6
         -vs-
 7
     AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL
     RELOCATION SERVICES, LLC,
     D.B.A. AIRES, AN ILLINOIS
 8
     LIMITED LIABILITY CORPORATION,)
 9
     NICHOLAS GONRING & KELLY
                                     )
     GONRING,
10
              Defendants.
11
12
              Zoom Videoconference Deposition of THOMAS
13
     HAWBECKER taken before TRUDY G. GORDON, a Certified
14
     Shorthand Reporter, pursuant to the Federal Rules of
15
     Civil Procedure for the United States District
16
     Courts, pertaining to the taking of depositions,
17
     commencing at 9:00 o'clock a.m. on the 23rd day of
18
19
     February, A.D., 2023
20
21
2.2
23
2.4
     Job No. CS5760610
```

	Page 2
1	REMOTE APPEARANCES:
2	OSHANA LAW FIRM
	33 North Dearborn
3	Suite 200
	Chicago, Illinois 60602
4	312-404-8390
	BY: MS. CAROL OSHANA
5	oshanalaw@yahoo.com
6	appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff Melinda Sgariglia;
7	
8	WALKER WILCOX MATOUSEK, LLP
	1 North Franklin Street
9	Suite 3200
	Chicago, Illinois 60606-3610
10	312-244-6700
	BY: MS. CAITLIN M. McAULIFFE
11	cmcauliffe@walkerwilcox.com
12	-and-
13	MR. MATTHEW W. CASEY
	mcasey@walkerwilcox.com
14	
	appeared on behalf of American
15	International Relocation Services, LLC,
1.0	d.b.a AIRES, an Illinois Limited Liability
16	Corporation;
17	DIJOADEG MAKEE DG
18	RHOADES MCKEE, PC
19	55 Campau Avenue NW Suite 300
19	Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503
20	616-235-3500
20	BY: MR. PAUL A. McCARTHY
21	mccarthy@rhoadesmckee.com
22	appeared on behalf of Nicholas and Kelsey
	Gonring;
23	
24	

```
Page 3
 1
     (CONTINUED)
 2
           LOFTUS & EISENBERG, LTD.
            161 North Clark Street
 3
           Suite 1600
           Chicago, Illinois 60601
 4
            312-899-6626
           BY: MR. ROSS GOOD
 5
                 ross@loftusandeisenberg.com
                 appeared on behalf of John Gorr and
 6
                 the Condo Association.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
     REPORTED BY: TRUDY G. GORDON, C.S.R.
24
                    CERTIFICATE NO. 084-004077
```

		Page 4
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	THOMAS HAWBECKER	
4	EXAMINATION, BY MS. McAULIFFE	6
5	EXAMINATION BY MR. McCARTHY	51
6	EXAMINATION BY MS. OSHANA	98
7	EXAMINATION BY MR. GOOD	124
8	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. McCARTHY	130
9	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. OSHANA	136
10	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. McCARTHY	137
11		
	EXHIBITS	
12		
13	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION	PAGE
14	EXHIBIT NO. 1 HOME INSPECTION REPORT	17
15	EXHIBIT NO. 2 6-14-2018 LETTER	18
16	EXHIBIT NO. 3 6-18 LETTER	20
17	EXHIBIT NO. 4 6-22 LETTER	21
18	EXHIBIT NO. 5 ATTORNEYS' TITLE GUARANTY	23
19	EXHIBIT NO. 6 7-2 LETTER, 7-3 RESPONSES	25
20	EXHIBIT NO. 7 JULY 5 LETTER	26
21	EXHIBIT NO. 8 COMPLAINT	44
22	EXHIBIT NO. 9 INTERROGATORIES	49
23	EXHIBIT NO. 10 SELLERS PROPERTY	54
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	
24		

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

		I	Page 5
1	(CONTINUED)		
2	EXHIBIT NO. 3	l1 IL REALTORS RESIDENTIAL	64
		REAL PROPERTY DISCLOSURE	
3		REPORT	
4	EXHIBIT NO. 1	12 IL REALTORS RESIDENTIAL	65
		REAL PROPERTY DISCLOSURE	
5		REPORT	
6	EXHIBIT NO. 1	PURCHASE AGREEMENT	71
7	EXHIBIT NO. 1	22.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	78
8	EXHIBIT NO. 3	6-29 E-MAIL, MEETING MINUTES	80
		ARIANA LISTECKI	
9			
	EXHIBIT NO. 1	L6 SETTLEMENT STATEMENT	88
10			
	EXHIBIT NO. 1	L7 DEED	94
11			
	EXHIBIT NO. 1	L8 GONRING 000123 E-MAIL	102
12			
	EXHIBIT NO. 1	L9 JULY 5, 2018 E-MAIL	107
13			
	EXHIBIT NO. 2	20 JULY 3, 2018 E-MAIL	115
14			
	EXHIBIT NO. 2	MARCH 19, 2018 E-MAIL	122
15			
16	(EXHIB)	ITS NOT GIVEN TO THE COURT REPORTER)	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 6 THE REPORTER: Due to the need for this 1 2 deposition to take place remotely, the parties will 3 stipulate that the court reporter may swear in the witness over videoconference. 4 Please indicate your agreement by stating 5 your name and agreement on the record beginning with 6 7 the noticing attorney. MS. McAULIFFE: Caitlin McAuliffe. I agree. 8 MS. OSHANA: I agree. Carol Oshana. 9 10 MR. HAWBECKER: I agree. Tom Hawbecker. 11 MR. GOOD: I agree. Ross Good. 12 MR. McCARTHY: Paul McCarthy on behalf of the 13 Gonrings. I agree. 14 (WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS DULY 15 SWORN.) 16 THOMAS HAWBECKER, 17 called as a witness herein, having been first duly 18 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 19 EXAMINATION 20 BY MS. McAULIFFE: 21 Q. So can you please state your name for the 22 record. Sure. Tom Hawbecker. 23 Α. Let the record reflect this is the 2.4 Q.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

deposition of Thomas Hawbecker taken pursuant to notice and scheduled to today's date by agreement of the parties. This deposition will be taken in accordance with all applicable rules.

Do you mind if I call you Tom, or would you prefer Mr. Hawbecker?

- A. Tom's fine.
- Q. Okay. So, Tom, have you ever been deposed before?
- 10 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So I just have to go over a couple rules for the record so that they're on there. First is that we both have to let each other finish even if we can anticipate the question or the answer. So please just wait until I'm done asking the question before you begin to answer. If you don't understand the question or you'd like something clarified, just let me know and I'll be happy to clarify the question. We have a court reporter with us and she'll be taking down everything that you say, so just make sure you provide a yes-or-no answer. So no unh-unhs, nodding your head or shrugging your shoulders. If you need to take a break, just let me know and we can certainly take a break. There just

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

		Page 8
1	can't be a	question pending when you'd like to take a
2	break.	
3		Do you have any questions before we start?
4	Α.	I do not.
5	Q.	Okay. So let's get started.
6		Where are you right now when this
7	deposition	is being taken?
8	Α.	At my office.
9	Q.	Okay. And what is your date of birth?
10	Α.	November 8, 1974.
11	Q.	Where do you currently live?
12	Α.	Burr Ridge, Illinois.
13	Q.	And what's your address in Burr Ridge?
14	Α.	11602 Briarwood Lane in Burr Ridge, 60527.
15	Q.	And do you live with anyone?
16	Α.	My wife and four kids.
17	Q.	Okay. What's your highest level of
18	education?	
19	Α.	Law degree. Juris Doctorate.
20	Q.	And where did you go to law school?
21	Α.	Ohio State.
22	Q.	What year did you graduate from Ohio
23	State?	
24	Α.	2002.

Page 9 Are you practiced -- Are you licensed to 1 2 practice law in Illinois? 3 Α. Yes, I am. 4 How long have you been an attorney? Since Ο. 5 you graduated? 6 Α. Yes. We started this firm in 2003, I 7 believe. 8 Okay. So right out of law school? Ο. 9 Α. Um-hum. And are you part of any legal 10 Q. 11 organizations? 12 Α. I'm sorry? 13 Are you part of any legal organizations, Ο. 14 like the Bar Association --15 Α. Yes. 16 -- anything like that? Q. 17 I believe Chicago Bar Association. I Α. 18 believe -- Honestly, I can't remember them all. 19 Ο. That's okay. 20 And you mentioned you founded the firm in 21 2003. 22 So that was right out of law school, 23 right? 24 Α. Yeah. It wasn't exactly right out of law

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 10 school, but it was shortly thereafter, yes. 1 2 Ο. And what kind of areas does your firm 3 focus on? 4 Α. When we started the firm? 5 Ο. When you started the firm --Everything. 6 Α. 7 -- and now. 0. Okay. Everything when we started the firm 8 Α. 9 because it was a new firm, right. So, you know, you try -- you try to get a little business. But out of 10 11 the gates residential real estate was always a big part of the firm, and as that grew, we stopped doing 12 13 other things because we stayed busy with closings, 14 residential real estate. 15 And do you typically represent buyers or 16 sellers in closings or is it a mix? 17 Α. It's a mix. 18 How many residential real estate closings Ο. 19 would you say you've done? 20 Α. Since we started? 21 Q. Yes. 22 Thousands. Thousands. Α. 23 Q. Great. 2.4 And have you ever done a residential real

Page 11 estate closing with a relocation company before? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. How many closings would you say involved relocation companies? 4 Over the span of 20 years? Gosh. Oh, I 5 6 don't know. Hundreds. 7 Great. And has it been -- Or when did 0. these occur? Just over the course of your career? 8 9 Or has there been, you know, instances where they 10 seem to happen more often? 11 Α. No, just through the course of the career, 12 peppered in throughout an annual basis. 13 Ο. Do you remember any of the law firms that 14 were involved when there was a residential relocation 15 company? 16 Α. Yeah, I do. 17 Who were some of those law firms? Ο. I mean, like Ed Shapiro sticks in my head. 18 19 He represents a lot of relo companies. Morielli 20 Office -- Law Office represents a lot of relo 21 companies. I mean, those are the names that at least 22 ring a bell off the top of my head. 23 Had you ever worked with Sarah Wilkins Ο. 2.4 before?

- A. Before -- I don't know. We may have.
- Q. Okay. And had you ever done any closings involving American International Relocation Solutions before?
  - A. I can't recall.

- Q. Okay. How did the process work -- Is there a typical process when there's a relocation company involved or does it vary?
- A. No, there's -- You know, it's the same underlying contract. Usually there's addendums that are part of that contract. But the overall nature of the transaction is the same.
- Q. And does the -- In your experience, does the relocation company usually take title to the property or does the seller usually retain title?
- A. Usually the relo -- Usually at closing you will see two deeds. You would see one from the prior owner to the relo, and then one from the relo company to the buyer. Although I know that that isn't always the case.
- Q. And in your experience did you ever contact the homeowners directly when these closings were going on or their rep -- their closing attorney directly, or was it usually the relocation service?

Page 13 It was usually the relocation service. 1 Α. 2 Ο. Okay. And are you familiar with the 3 Illinois Residential Real Property Disclosure Act? Yeah, I am. 4 Α. And do you know that the relocation 5 Ο. 6 companies are immune under the act? 7 Α. Yes, I believe they don't have a duty to disclose. 8 9 Ο. Okay. And did you review the property disclosures for 2726 West Cortez Street? 10 11 I can't recall. I mean, this is 5, 6 12 years ago or whatever it was. 13 Yeah. So now I'd like to talk Ο. 14 specifically about the property here. 15 And when did you -- Which is 2726 West 16 Cortez Street, Unit 1, Chicago, Illinois. 17 So when did you first learn about this 18 case that's going on right now? 19 Maybe a couple years ago. I think my 20 client had reached out to me asking for a copy of the 21 file. 22 And did you review anything in particular Ο. for your deposition today? 23 24 Α. Yeah, just some of the correspondence that

Page 14 1 had taken place. 2 Ο. Okay. The correspondence --3 Α. A review -- I'm sorry. A review of the Attorney Review Letters. 4 Okay. And did you speak with anyone in 5 6 preparation for your deposition today? 7 I spoke with Kirk in my office, and I Α. spoke with Carol Oshana. 8 9 Ο. Okay. And did -- What did you speak about with Carol Oshana? 10 11 Essentially that, a review of the attorney Α. review correspondence. 12 13 And did you ever speak to Ms. Sgariglia Ο. 14 about this case? 15 Α. Who? 16 0. Ms. Sgariglia. She's the buyer. 17 Oh. You know, I -- No, I haven't spoken Α. 18 with her specifically about this case other than when 19 she had reached out a couple years ago just asking 20 for the file. And even then I don't think we got 21 into it too much. But I can't recall exactly. 22 Okay. And -- So you were the Plaintiff's Ο. 23 real estate closing attorney for this property? 24 Α. Yeah, I represented Melinda, yes.

Page 15 Do you know how she found you? 1 Ο. 2 Α. Probably a referral from her agent. 3 Q. And do you remember when you started working on the closing? 4 Can you rephrase that a little precisely. 5 Α. 6 So you were the initial attorney Q. 7 that was contacted by AIRES' closing attorney? 8 Α. Yeah. Probably. Yes. 9 Ο. Did anyone else work with you on the 10 closing? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Who was that? 13 Kirk Langefeld in my office, and then Paul Α. 14 Garber covered the closing. 15 Ο. Okay. And why were there multiple 16 attorneys on the case? 17 That's just the way that we're structured Α. as a firm. We always have two attorneys on every 18 19 single file. With the volume of closings that we do, 20 we're often out covering closings, and you don't want 21 the correspondence to come to a screeching halt, so 22 there's always an attorney in the office that can 23 facilitate correspondence while -- You know, 24 typically I'm the one that's out covering the

closings, or my law partner, Paul, is out covering the closings.

- Q. So did Kirk take over the case or was he just involved in signing some of the response letters later on?
- A. I can't recall how this particular transaction transpired. Usually -- I mean, there's always exceptions. But usually Kirk is more in the file handling the attorney review correspondence, and then I'm out covering closings. Or if there's issues, I'll step in. But that can always change. If the workload is too much, I'll jump in and handle files. You know, if he's on vacation or something, I'd be jumping in and handling files. So I certainly handled my fair share of files. How this one was handled, I can't recall.
- Q. So now I'd like to turn to some items that were in the file. So if you don't recognize them, that's totally fine, just let me know.

But do you know if Melinda ever had the property inspected?

- A. Yes, I believe she did.
- Q. Okay. And did you see a copy of the inspection report?

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 17 1 I would have, yes. Α. 2 Ο. Okay. So I'm going to pull up a copy of 3 the inspection report. 4 And could we please mark this as Exhibit 1. 5 6 Can you see the home inspection report 7 that I pulled up here? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. Do you know when the inspection occurred? Presumably after she got under contract, 10 Α. 11 shortly thereafter. 12 Q. Okay. And do you -- Did you see any red 13 flags in this inspection report? 14 I did not review the inspection report and 15 I wouldn't be able to recall. I mean, do you want me 16 to take a second to take a look at it? If you'd like. Or we can discuss the 17 Q. 18 correspondence you had with Sarah Wilkins since you 19 said you had reviewed that previously? 20 Α. Yeah, go ahead. 21 Ο. Like I said, I'm gonna turn to the 22 correspondence you had between Sarah Wilkins. And I'll start with the first letter that it was 23 24 exchanged between her and your firm. It's from

Page 18 June 14th. 1 2 And could we please mark this as 3 Exhibit 2. 4 So have you seen this document before? 5 Α. Yes. You signed this document on the last page, 6 Q. 7 right? Α. Yes. 8 9 Ο. What's the purpose of this initial letter 10 that you sent to Sarah? 11 Kind of a due diligence letter. The contracts -- The boilerplate contracts as written 12 13 aren't perfect, so there are always what you would 14 call typical attorney-modification requests. We look 15 for representations, try to get a little more 16 background on the property that may not be solicited 17 just from an inspection report or the residential property disclosures. That's about it. 18 19 Do you remember what modifications or what 20 information you were looking for from Sarah? 21 Α. Probably what's written in the letter. 22 Okay. And so we can go over -- If you 23 want to take a second to go over the letter. 24 So these are some of the things that you

Page 19 asked for. 1 2 Α. Um-hum. 3 Q. And -- Okay. So I will just start on 4 Page 1, and you can let me know when you're done taking a look at it, and I can go to the next page. 5 6 Yeah, go ahead. Yeah, I looked. 7 familiar with this. 8 O. Okay. 9 Α. Sorry. Just making sure. Are you waiting for me to respond on something? 10 11 Yes. I was just going to move to the next Ο. page when you were ready. 12 13 Α. Oh, yeah, I've reviewed all the pages. 14 Okay. So did you draft this letter? Ο. 15 Α. I can't recall. It would have been myself 16 or Kirk. 17 Okay. But you reviewed it and signed it Q. 18 before it went out? 19 Α. Correct. 20 And as you can see, you asked about Q. 21 insurance claims? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Is that -- Is this typical in these Ο. 24 letters that you send out?

Page 20 It's standard in our letters. 1 Α. 2 Ο. Okay. And you also asked about water 3 infiltration and damage. Is that typical? Α. In our letters it is. 4 5 Okay. And when you use the word seller in Ο. 6 this letter, who do you mean when you say seller? 7 AIRES. Α. And I'll turn now to the next letter that 8 9 was from June 18th. If we could mark this as Exhibit 3. 10 11 Have you seen this document? 12 Α. Yes, I have. I'm sorry. 6-18. Yes. 13 So would you like a moment to review this Ο. 14 response? 15 Α. No. I mean, this is part of what I 16 reviewed. 17 Ο. Okay. So what did Sarah say in regards to 18 your questions about water infiltration and damage? 19 I -- Before getting to the exact spot, I'm 20 sure they said they don't have any knowledge because 21 they're a third-party relocation company. So I don't 22 know -- So on my letter that question would have been 23 9A and 8E -- Yeah, 9A, they say that they don't have 24 any knowledge, and same thing with 8E.

- Q. And what did you think about AIRES saying that it couldn't provide information because it was a relocation company?
- A. That's not surprising. We'll still ask the question. But that's a typical response that we would receive with a relocation company.
- Q. And do you -- What is your response usually to that? Would you like elsewhere? Or what's the process after they respond that way?
- A. Yeah, we try to dig a little deeper and see if there's an ability for them to contact the people that they have the relationship with or had the relationship with, see if they can get answers to those -- those questions.
- Q. Okay. So -- Now I'll turn to the next letter that was exchanged between both of you, and this was from June 22nd.

If we can mark this as Exhibit 4.

And have you seen this document?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you'll see Kirk signed this one, right?
- 23 A. Yes.

Q. So did you help prepare the response?

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

- A. I can't recall. We may have discussed --
- Q. Would you have reviewed it before it went out or would that be Kirk's responsibility?
- A. Kirk can certainly do that without me having to review it.
- Q. Why would he continue to keep asking about the water damage if they had stated that they didn't have that information?
- A. Because we just don't take the initial response as a given, right? We want to see if there's an opportunity that they can get a direct answer from the person that lived in the property. I mean, we understand that the relo company does not reside in the property, and these are important questions, especially with condominiums. Because if you have problems with condominiums, these are why these questions are in these letters, there's water.
- Q. Right. And the same with insurance claims?
  - A. Exactly.

- Q. Right. So in various places Kirk uses the term prior owner.
- Did you ever discuss who the prior owners
  were with Kirk?

A. No, but I think this comes -- I should say I can't recall that. We may have. But this kind of comes back to what we said originally, that we're assuming in these situations we're referencing a prior owner as the person that would have conveyed their interest to AIRES.

- Q. And when would that interest have typically been conveyed in your experience with relocation companies?
- A. My understanding would be that right at the outset of the transaction, before AIRES even had signed the contract with my client, that they had -- they would have had an even hand from what we would coin as the prior owner.
- Q. Okay. So just -- With what you just said, I would like to turn to the title commitment that you received in this case?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And please mark this as Exhibit 5.

      I'll pull it up.
- 21 Have you seen this document before?
- 22 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And what is it?
- A. It's a title commitment issued by

Page 24 Attorneys' Title Guaranty. 1 2 Ο. When did you receive this title 3 commitment? 4 Α. In my file it shows that we would have received this on July 12th of 2018. 5 6 And what is a title commitment? Ο. 7 A title commitment is a -- I guess what we would use this for is it would show vesting and it 8 9 would show exceptions to title. It would confirm the legal description, the tax ID number, and it gives us 10 11 a glance into, you know, what we're dealing with, are there exceptions on title that need to be had, are 12 13 there delinquent taxes, et cetera. 14 And is AIRES on the title commitment? Ο. 15 Α. No. 16 Q. And I can go to the page. 17 But who is on the title commitment? 18 Α. Nicholas Gonring and Kelsey Gonring, 19 husband and wife as tenants by the entirety. 20 And you didn't receive any changes to the Ο. 21 title commitment after this first letter? 22 Α. Not that I see, no. 23 Would you have if AIRES had taken title? Ο. 2.4 No. Could have. I'm not -- Could have. Α.

Page 25 1 But typically not. 2 Ο. And now I'd just like to return -- Sorry 3 to jump around here -- to the letters that we were discussing before. This one is the July 2nd letter 4 5 and the July 3rd response provided by your firm. 6 So please mark this as Exhibit 6. 7 So do you recognize this document? Α. Okay. You know what, I had gotten out of 8 9 the file. This is the July -- July 3rd letter you're 10 referencing? 11 Ο. So it's the July 2nd letter sent by 12 Sarah Wilkins, and then July 3rd with the responses next to her comments in the letter. 13 14 Got it. Α. 15 Q. So you'll see again that Kirk signed this. 16 Did you have any input in this response? 17 I don't recall. Α. 18 And like you said, you -- he had authority O. 19 to send it without you reviewing it? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Ο. So you'll see that he said a few times, 22 okay, with -- next to statements where AIRES states 23 that it can't provide representation or warranties. 24 What does that mean?

Page 26 That he was probably in agreement with 1 2 that representation. Yeah, I think -- I think that would be it. It might be a better question for Kirk. 3 4 Yeah. And then I'd just like to pull up Ο. 5 last letter that we have that was sent by Sarah Wilkins on July 5th. 6 7 And please mark this as Exhibit 7. So have you seen this letter? 8 9 Α. Yes. And what happened after you received this 10 Q. 11 letter? I don't know. 12 Α. 13 So you'll see she attached an addendum to Ο. 14 Purchase and Sale Contract. That was executed, 15 right? 16 I would assume so. Α. 17 Q. But have you --18 Α. Yeah. It looks like I have a 19 fully-executed addendum, yes. 20 Okay. So did you send any other letters Ο. 21 than the ones that we just went over? 22 Just -- I don't know if what you're Α. 23 looking at -- Just Kirk signing off on the July 5, 24 2018 letter on July 6, 2018. We would have sent that

Page 27 1 correspondence back. But no -- No changes. 2 agreed, 7-6-18, and Kirk's signature. 3 Q. Did you communicate by e-mail with Sarah 4 ever? 5 Α. I would imagine that we did. Did you ever talk on the phone or by text? 6 Q. 7 I can't recall. Α. So in all of these letters where did you 8 Ο. 9 think AIRES was getting the information that they 10 were providing you? 11 My understanding is that there's always a Α. 12 relocation representative. 13 And what would that person do? O. 14 Give the answers to the attorney. Α. 15 Ο. And where would the representative be getting the information? 16 17 They would make the decisions. Hey, we're Α. 18 a third-party relocation company, we can't disclose 19 in regards to inspection requests. Hey, let's give 20 them a \$3,000 credit in lieu of repairs. Or, yes, 21 we'll do this or, yes, we'll do that. 22 But the information they were able to Ο. 23 provide, do you know where they would have gotten 2.4 that?

- A. Well, I believe that there was discussions between AIRES -- I mean, I know now that there were discussions between AIRES and the Gonrings.
  - O. And how do you know that?
- A. Carol mentioned that there was correspondence between those two parties.
- Q. And that's what you're basing that statement off of?
- A. In this particular situation, yes. Had -- Had I not known that, the information would have come from what I previously stated, from the contact at the relocation company, the representative.
- Q. So at the time when this was all going on, you didn't know where AIRES was getting their information?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Did you ever go over these letters with Carol or Melinda?
- A. Carol wouldn't have been involved at that time. But absolutely we -- we would have reviewed these letters with Melinda.
  - Q. And before or after you sent the response?
- A. What typically happens is when a letter comes in, a response comes in, we'll send it to the

Page 29 client, and then give them a chance to review, and we 1 2 tell them to reach out to us once they've had an 3 opportunity to review, then we would discuss it at that point, formulate a response, talk through the 4 issues, et cetera. 5 6 Q. Okay. And did Carol show you any 7 correspondence between AIRES and the Gonrings? 8 Α. No. 9 Ο. Have you seen any of that correspondence? 10 Α. No, I have not. 11 And what did Carol exactly tell you about 0. this case? 12 13 Α. Nothing other than that, the two parties 14 were talking. 15 Ο. Over e-mail or by phone? 16 I can't remember what she said. Just Α. 17 maybe corresponding. So maybe both. 18 Ο. And did she say anything about what the 19 correspondence contained? 20 Α. No. 21 Ο. Okay. So now I'd like to turn to a 22 different topic, if that's all right. 23 So you knew that AIRES was a relocation 24 company, right?

Page 30 1 Correct. Α. 2 Ο. Did that concern you at all or was it fine 3 in your eyes? 4 No -- It's not overly concerning. But we 5 typically, even before this situation had developed, know that, hey, when you're dealing with a 6 7 third-party relo, you know, these representations and such that we ask for in attorney review typically 8 9 don't get answered, they're just going to say, hey, we've never resided in the property, I think we'll, 10 11 you know, let clients know that. 12 Q. And -- So you stated before it was your 13 understanding that typically the Gonrings would have 14 transferred title to AIRES? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. And did anyone ever communicate that to 17 you? 18 Α. That title would have been transferred? 19 That title was transferred? O. 20 Other than just the way that the Α. No. 21 transaction kind of manifested, right? All the correspondence. Who signed the settlement statement. 22 You know, who was the seller in the contract. 23

mean, those sorts of things. Just the indicia that

AIRES was the owner of the property.

- Q. But Sarah Wilkins never affirmatively said that AIRES had title?
- A. Not that I can recall. But I don't -- I don't know.
- Q. Did you or anyone at your firm ever ask if AIRES had title?
  - A. I can't recall.
- Q. But in the title commitment AIRES wasn't on it when you received it, right?
- A. Yeah, correct. But that's not -- That's not atypical mind you. For a relo company to record a deed before the subsequent closing, there can be a number of issues that can develop. One, it could trigger a due on sale. If the mortgage wasn't paid off, there's a transfer of a beneficial interest. It could trigger a due on sales clause. It can alert an assessor's office, hey, we got a new value here. So I thing the typical protocol for relo companies is to record simultaneous deeds at closing, for that reason, I would suspect.
- Q. And you said before that deeds are typically change at closing from the owner to the relocation company to the buyer, right?

A. Yeah, for those reasons that I just stated.

- Q. Yeah. So just to clarify.

  What did you mean when you said deed in hand?
- A. Well, title could have been transferred, but not recorded. Right? So, you know, as part of the arrangement between AIRES and the Gonrings, and -- You know, to give -- Hey, Gonring -- Gonrings are out, they've transferred title, they're no longer part of this transaction, they have a deed in hand, just not recorded. Then they will record that first at closing followed by the deed from the relo company to what would have been Melinda.
- Q. Did they ever represent to you that they had this deed?
  - A. No.

- Q. But just based on your experience you assumed that they had it when they signed the contract?
- A. Yeah. And I guess I should say five -whatever, five years ago, maybe they represented
  that. Maybe there was a conversation on that. But I
  can't recall one way or the other.

Page 33 1 All right. One moment. Ο. Sorry. 2 Α. No problem. 3 Q. So just -- When you said it was five years ago and you couldn't recall, what were you basing --4 5 assuming that they had the contract on? 6 Α. I'm sorry. Say that again. 7 So what were you basing the fact that they Ο. had a deed on? 8 9 Α. What was I basing -- Why I was assuming that they had a deed in hand? 10 11 Yes. Ο. Just because they're the seller. 12 Α. Oh. 13 They've held themselves out to be the seller. 14 Usually you're the owner of the property if you're 15 selling it. 16 Ο. Yeah. So you stated that -- Let me 17 clarify. I'm sorry. 18 You stated that they may have told you 19 though that they had a deed. 20 What are you basing that on? 21 Α. Oh, I don't know. Just based on 22 experience with relocation companies I know that it -- a deed may have been executed between the prior 23 24 owners and the relo company, but not recorded.

Page 34 1 doesn't get recorded until closing. 2 Ο. But they -- You're speculating based on 3 your experience that they may have told you that they had a deed? 4 5 Yeah. Whether they told me that or not, I don't know. But I guess what I'm saying is when I 6 7 get a contract and it says seller on there and they are holding themselves out to be a seller, I'm going 8 9 to assume that that is the seller, that that is the 10 owner of the property. 11 MS. McAULIFFE: Okay. So I'd like to turn a 12 little bit -- Oh, okay. I'd like to take a break 13 actually at this time, if that's all right, for five 14 minutes, to go off the record and we can reconvene at 15 9:46. 16 THE WITNESS: Sure. It's okay with me. 17 (WHEREUPON, WE WERE OFF THE 18 RECORD.) 19 BY MS. McAULIFFE: 20 So I'll just take down the shared screen Ο. 21 so it's less distracting. Okay. 22 So, Tom, I'd just like to take a step back 23 and -- You said you had done hundreds of relocation 24 company closings before -- and talk about that a

Page 35 little bit. So you mentioned the typical procedures 1 2 are for a title to transfer from the owner to the 3 relocation company to the buyer. How often would you say that happens? 4 I would -- I mean -- I don't know. I 5 Α. 6 don't know. Often. 7 Would you say --Q. Α. More often than not. 8 9 Ο. Okay. So 60 percent of the time would you 10 say that's fair? 11 Α. Probably more than that. 12 Q. Would you say 80 percent of the time? 13 Α. Yeah, maybe. 14 Okay. How often do the relocation -- How Ο. 15 often do you ask the relocation companies if they've 16 received title or not? 17 I can't recall. Α. 18 Would you say that's a typical question Ο. 19 you ask or not? 20 No, I would say that it's not a typical Α. 21 question that we ask. 22 Okay. So does relocation companies hold Ο. themselves out as having title though? 23 Yeah, I --2.4 Α.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

MR. McCARTHY: Hang on a second. Hang on a second. I'm going to object to that question as mischaracterizing the testimony.

MS. McAULIFFE: Okay. I will withdraw that question.

You can strike that.

## BY MS. McAULIFFE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So generally would you say now that the relocation companies hold themselves out as having title?
- 11 MR. McCARTHY: Object to the lack of foundation.
- MS. McAULIFFE: All right. I'll withdraw that question.

## 14 BY MS. McAULIFFE:

- Q. So in the typical situation you said that you don't typically ask the relocation companies if they have title?
- A. In the typical situation we do not, that I can recall. In this situation I believe our letters indicate where we ask AIRES to contact the prior owner. I read that, that's gonna assume that a conveyance of title has taken place. We're asking about the prior owner. So my letters or approach letters or whatever will indicate, I think by those

Page 37

questions, on who we think the owner of the property is. And that's -- This isn't -- This isn't isolated to this particular transaction. Because those are -- Those are the responses that you can get from a relo company when you ask those insurance claims, water infiltration, hey, we don't know anything about it. It is then customary for us to go back and say can you please contact the prior owner and see if you can get a little more information on this, if possible.

- Q. But you didn't ask AIRES if, in fact, the Gonrings were prior owners or if they had taken title?
- A. I can't recall other than what's listed in the letter indicating that we think there's a prior owner, which in this case would be the Gonrings.
- Q. So there's nothing in the letter though where you ask if they have taken title or not?
- A. Not -- Not directly. Not that I can recall.
- Q. So in the letters or your e-mails you never asked AIRES directly if they had taken title though, right?
  - A. Not that I recall.
  - Q. And you don't have any e-mails or letters

Page 38 where you asked them directly though? 1 2 Α. You know, I didn't -- Not that I recall. 3 But I didn't go through all of the e-mails. Okay. And in these other -- You stated 4 Ο. 5 that the letter was a typical letter that you send 6 out. 7 So that letter doesn't normally include that title question? 8 9 Α. No. 10 Q. And have you ever done a closing with a 11 relocation company when they don't have title? I don't know. 12 Α. 13 So if 80 percent or approximately 80 Ο. 14 percent of the situations you've been in the owner 15 passes title to the relocation company to the buyer, do you know that they -- that, in fact, happened? 16 17 No, not if I don't see a deed showing that Α. 18 at closing. 19 And do you typically ask for the deed? O. 20 Yeah, probably. Α. 21 Q. But you didn't in this case, right? 22 Well, I don't know that. I wasn't at Α. 23 closing. 24 Q. Okay. So -- But in the correspondence

that we had, you didn't ask to see a deed that AIRES had --

- A. Not that I -- I'm sorry. Not that I see.
- Q. Okay. All right. So you mentioned that you spoke to Carol about this.

Did she have any deed or proof of any deeds passing from Gonrings to AIRES?

- A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Okay. And -- Sorry to keep jumping around.

But when you said that approximately 80 percent goes from owner to relo to buyer, does that mean that 20 percent of the closings the relocation company doesn't have the deed?

MR. McCARTHY: Can I just place an objection that that is a mischaracterization of his testimony. He didn't say it was approximately 80. He said he didn't know. You then said was it -- could it be more than 60? Probably more than 60. Could it be 80? Maybe 80. Yeah, maybe 80. So he didn't say it's approximately 80. His answer to the question was he didn't know.

23 BY MS. McAULIFFE:

Q. Okay. So in the -- You said more often

than not it goes from owner to relo to buyer.

So in the situations where it doesn't go from owner to relo to buyer, the relocation company doesn't have a deed, correct?

- A. I don't know that. I don't know if they don't have a deed that's sitting there and they ask a buyer for whatever reason to re-execute a deed directly to the new buyers? I don't know. I'm not -- I'm not privy to any of that.
- Q. So what do you base the more often than not it goes from owner to relo to buyer on then?
- A. You know I just -- I'm trying to think.

  We'll take, for example, last year. If we did 1,400 closings, I would say maybe 15 or 20 of those might have been relo, maybe. I mean, I don't know. So, you know, these are few and far between. But when I'm -- But when I'm -- When I think of a relo closing, I think of having two deeds at closing.

  More often than not I usually see two deeds. I mean, that's all I can say, I guess.
- Q. Okay. But in this situation that's not what happened?
  - A. Yeah, apparently not.
  - Q. Okay. And when you say apparently not,

you mean it didn't happen, right?

- A. Well, I -- When I say apparently not, I don't know if there was ever a deed that was executed between the Gonrings and AIRES, or if a new deed was executed, for whatever AIRES said, hey, you know what Gonrings, we lost the original deed, they conveyed it to us. At this point we are two weeks away from closing or a week away from closing, would you mind executing a new deed, directly to the Gonrings. I mean, I don't know -- I don't know any of that. I don't know the behind the scenes because that's between AIRES and the Gonrings.
- Q. And you never asked either of them what was going on with the title?
- A. No. No. Now, in the end if I get a title commitment from the title company that insures my client, that's what I'm most concerned with.
- Q. Yeah. It doesn't matter to you either way as long as your client has a title that works, right?
- A. At closing I would probably agree with you. It probably matters a little more when we're going through attorney review though.
  - Q. What do you mean by that?
  - A. I mean that if I'm getting good clean

Page 42 title at closing and I only see one deed, or if AIRES 1 2 would have said, hey, we're not the owners of the 3 property, the questioning and the correspondence in attorney review would have taken a much different 4 5 tone. 6 And did your client end up with clean Q. 7 title in the end --8 Α. Yes. 9 O. -- at this closing? Okay. 10 And did you ever try to contact the 11 Gonrings? No. Not that I'm aware of. 12 Α. 13 Why not? Ο. 14 Because we would have assumed that they 15 were out of the picture. 16 And did anyone ever tell you you couldn't Ο. 17 talk to them? I -- I don't recall. 18 Α. But in Sarah Wilkins and in all that 19 Ο. 20 correspondence, no one mentioned that you were 21 forbidden from talking to the Gonrings? 22 Not that I see. Not that I can see in the Α. 23 correspondence. 2.4 And in her deposition Ms. Sgariglia Q.

Page 43 mentioned that you told her that she couldn't contact 1 2 the Gonrings. 3 Did you ever say that? Not that I can recall. 4 Α. 5 MS. OSHANA: I'm going to object to 6 mischaracterization of testimony. Thank you. 7 BY MS. McAULIFFE: 8 In your experience with the relocation Ο. 9 companies, had you ever contacted the original owners directly? 10 11 Α. Not that I'm aware of. I don't think we 12 ever have any of the contact information. 13 MS. OSHANA: I'm sorry. I have one more 14 objection I forgot to put on the record. It's also 15 attorney-client privilege. Thank you. 16 BY MS. McAULIFFE: 17 All right. So now I'd like to turn to the Ο. 18 complaint that Ms. Sgariglia filed in this case. 19 Did you ever see the complaint or did 20 anyone show it to you? 21 Α. I have not. 22 Ο. Okay. So I'll pull up a copy of the 23 complaint. 2.4 We can mark it as -- I believe we're on

Page 44 Exhibit 7? 1 2 MS. REPORTER: Exhibit 8. 3 MS. McAULIFFE: Exhibit 8. Thank you. BY MS. McAULIFFE: 4 So you'll see here that it says that 5 Ms. Sgariglia is alleging that by failing to disclose 6 7 the title owner, it induced her closing attorney, you, to refrain from directly contacting with the 8 9 Gonrings. 10 Do you think that's a fair statement? 11 MS. OSHANA: Are you showing an exhibit because 12 I don't see any? 13 MS. McAULIFFE: Okay. Can you see it now. 14 BY MS. McAULIFFE: 15 All right. So you'll see in Paragraph 61 it reads that Defendant AIRES' representation that it 16 was the current owner which caused her attorney to 17 18 refrain from demanding direct communication with the actual owners of the condo. 19 20 Would you say that's a fair statement? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. And why do you think you were refrained from direct communication -- Why do you 23 24 think you refrained from direct communication with

them?

- A. Because -- Because they were the prior owners. They were out of the picture is what we're assuming.
  - O. And why did you assume that?
- A. Well, because our Attorney Review Letters referenced them as the prior owners. I think that the point here is that if Sarah or AIRES would have say, hey, I noticed that you mentioned prior owners, hey, the Gonrings are still the owners of the property, then we're not going to take -- we are a third-party relocation company, we cannot make any representations, we would have never settled for that.
- Q. But why can't you talk to the prior owners of a property?
- A. Well, I suppose you can. But if you're buying a property, are you going to go back two or three owners and ask them questions especially when a relo is involved? It's just not going to be typical for you to do that.
- Q. But you knew they were the last ones to occupy the property, right?
  - A. I would assume that that's what we

concluded.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Because AIRES told you that they had never occupied the property?
- A. Well, right. And we're asking in this Attorney Review Letter, hey, contact the prior owners. That is our attempt to get these answers directly from the Gonrings. But that third-party relocation company barrier was continuously put forth.
  - Q. What barrier are you referring to?
- A. That I can't get the representations directly from the Gonrings. The only -- The only correspondence or representations I'm going to get are from AIRES.
- Q. But it would have been possible to get those -- that information from the Gonring?
- 17 A. Yes, we tried. Our letters -- Our letters
  18 indicate that we tried.
  - Q. But that was asking AIRES, not the Gonrings, for that information, right?
  - A. Yeah. Correct. I mean, what are we going to do? Try to hire an investigator to locate their address and phone number and give them a call. It's just not feasible to do that.

- Q. But you knew who -- But you knew who they were, right?
  - A. Only a -- Only a name.

- Q. Did you ever ask AIRES for any more information about them?
- A. No, other than getting a representation -- getting answers to these questions from them which -- which AIRES said we couldn't get.
- Q. Which -- But AIRES actually said that they didn't -- they couldn't provide the information.

  They didn't say they couldn't get it if they wanted to, right?
- A. Well, had AIRES said, hey, you guys keep referencing prior owner. I just want you to know that the Gonrings are the current owners of the property. I can tell you wholeheartedly that the response would have been, hey, you need to answer these questions. I need this -- I need to see answers coming directly from the Gonrings. Not from you AIRES. But directly from the Gonrings on these questions that we put forth in our Attorney Review Letter.
- Q. But you only referenced prior owner in one letter, right?

- A. Yeah -- Or -- I don't know. I'd have to look through them. But --
- Q. Right. And you never asked AIRES for a phone number or address or any way to contact them, right?
  - A. Not that I recall.

- Q. And in the letters you never directly asked to contact them?
- A. I think we did. We asked them, hey, please contact the prior owner. But for us to specifically contact them, I don't believe that we asked that.
- Q. So -- One moment, please. All right.

  And you discussed previously about it

  would have been -- not the -- I'm summarizing. But

  you discussed that you -- it would have been a red

  flag if you had known that the Gonrings were current

  owners.

What would you have done differently if you had known they were current owners?

A. Well, I would have treated this just like any other transaction in that Sarah is an attorney representing the sellers. That kind of layer of relowould have been removed and these questions that

Page 49 we're asking especially as it pertains to 1 2 insurability and the water infiltration, the ones 3 that we pushed back on, I would have required -- We would have requested, hey, I need these questions 4 answered. This needs to come directly from the 5 6 sellers whom presumably you're representing. 7 And what's the difference between seller Ο. and owner? 8 9 Α. In my opinion, in this situation, nothing, they're one and the same. I'm assuming that they're 10 11 one and the same. We're assuming that they're one and the same. 12 13 All right. I'd like to turn to again some O. 14 answers that Ms. Sqariqlia provided in her 15 interrogatories, that she provided. 16 Do you know what interrogatories are? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Okay. Just making sure. All right. Ο. 19 So please mark this as Exhibit 9. 20 I'll give you a moment to read No. 7 in 21 the response. No. 7? 22 Α. 23 Ο. Yes. 24 Okay. Α.

Page 50 All right. So we discussed this a little 1 2 bit before, but -- Have you seen this before? 3 Α. No. 4 Okay. So you'll see in No. 7 that we Ο. 5 asked Melinda what evidence she had for stating that as is typical in real estate transactions involving 6 7 real estate -- relocation companies, there are often two deeds recorded at closing. One deed is for the 8 9 previous owner to the relocation company. And the second deed is from the relocation company to the 10 11 buyer. And she responded that her evidence was Plaintiff's real estate counsel. 12 13 Did you ever tell Melinda this? 14 No, I can't recall. Α. 15 Ο. But you -- Do you believe that to be true? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And what's your basis for that statement? Q. 18 Α. That there's two deeds? 19 Ο. Yes. 20 Just experience. Α. 21 Q. Okay. MS. McAULIFFE: All right. That was all the 22 23 questions that I had. 2.4 If anyone else have any questions, you can

Page 51 1 proceed. 2 MS. OSHANA: I have questions. 3 MR. McCARTHY: I have questions too. MR. GOOD: I have questions at the end, but I'd 4 5 like to go last. 6 MS. OSHANA: All right. Go ahead, Mr. McCarthy, 7 I'll go after you. 8 MR. McCARTHY: Okay. Thanks, Carol. 9 Good morning, Tom. My name is Paul McCarthy. I represent the Gonrings in this case. 10 11 EXAMINATION BY MR. McCARTHY: 12 13 How many lawyers are in your firm? Ο. 14 Α. Seven. 15 And how many are lawyers who attend real 16 estate closings? Six. 17 Α. 18 And how many -- How many lawyers attended Ο. 19 real estate closings back in 2018? 20 Probably four. Α. 21 And I understood you to say and wanted to 22 confirm that Paul Garber is an attorney at your office who covered the closing of this transaction 23 24 for Melinda's purchase of this condominium unit, am I

Page 52 1 right about that? 2 Α. Correct. At least I believe so. It --3 The only thing that we have is an e-mail stating that he was going to be there, that I saw. 4 5 Is Paul still with your firm? Ο. Α. 6 Yes. 7 All right. What is the percentage of your Ο. practice that focuses on real estate -- residential 8 9 real estate transactions and closings? 10 Α. Probably 85 percent. 11 So it's a vast majority of your practice? Ο. 12 Α. Yes. 13 What is the other 15 percent? Q. 14 Estate planning and probate. Α. 15 Do you have your file with you? Q. Yes, it's a digital, but it's in front of 16 Α. 17 me. 18 Can you tell me what is your file Ο. 19 comprised of? If you can kind of take us through 20 what's in your file? 21 Α. Yeah, we have folders in the file. So I go to, you know, 2018 old closings. Sort by S. I go 22 23 to Melinda's file. You know, I can see receipts. 24 receipts folder. Mortgage contingency. Power of

1 attorney. Attorney review. Title. Addendums.

- 2 Association. And then they all -- Correspondence
- 3 goes into those folders. What sits out are, you
- 4 know, engagement letters, the contract, assessed
- 5 values, tax bills, closing statements, and then, you
- 6 know, all the documents from closing, the final
- 7 | settlement statement, et cetera.
- Q. Can you look in your file and tell me what disclosures you have in your file?
- 10 A. When I look at the contract, I have a
- 11 | seller's property disclosure statement, and then I
- 12 have an Illinois residential real property
- 13 disclosure.
- 14 Q. All right. And the seller's property
- 15 | disclosure statement, who is that from?
- 16 A. It has the sellers names on it, and it is
- 17 | signed by the seller, the Gonrings, and a prospective
- 18 buyer, Amanda something or other, two prospective
- 19 buyers, and then there's a side initial with
- 20 Melinda's initials on there.
- 21 Q. And when would you have received that
- 22 disclosure statement in the course of your firm's
- 23 representation of Melinda?
- 24 A. It looks like when we would have received

Page 54 1 a copy of the contract. 2 Ο. So right at the beginning? 3 Α. Yes. All right. Are you -- Is this the 4 Ο. document that's in your file? Do you see it? 5 6 Yeah, I'm just going back to mine. Yep, it looks to be the same document. 7 MR. McCARTHY: All right. I would like to mark 8 9 this as Exhibit 9. 10 MS. McAULIFFE: 10. 11 THE REPORTER: Exhibit 10. 12 BY MR. McCARTHY: 13 Tom, if you can just review with me what Ο. 14 else would you have received in this initial tranche 15 of information? You indicated the Purchase and Sale Agreement; is that right? 16 17 Correct. It's typically that and Α. Yeah. 18 the disclosures that we -- that we receive at the 19 outset. 20 All right. And then you also indicated Ο. 21 that you had a State of Illinois Disclosure form? 22 Α. Correct. 23 All right. We'll get to that one in a Ο. 24 second.

Page 55 And is it your practice and your firm's 1 2 practice to review the disclosures when they're 3 received? 4 Α. Typically, yes. Or is it -- Is it not that important 5 Ο. 6 because you have your standard letters that go out 7 that asks specific questions? Α. I would say it's typical practice that we 8 9 do review those. And, yes, these are standard 10 letters, so those requests are always in the letters. 11 Kind of a belt and suspender kind of --Ο. Yeah, kind of. 12 Α. 13 So the disclosure that we're looking at, Ο. 14 Exhibit 10, is on an AIRES form, correct? 15 Α. Correct. And it identifies Nicholas and Kelsey 16 Ο. 17 Gonring, am I right about that? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And then it goes through and it has Ο. 20 Melinda's electronic signatures on each page; is that 21 right? 22 Α. Correct. And then at the end it indicates that the 23 Ο. 24 sellers are Kelsey and Nicholas Gonring. They signed

this document in May of '18. The buyer is listed as AIRES. This is dated June 6th of '18. And then there's another buyer on here for Melinda, and that's dated June 7 of '18.

Am I right about all of those things?

A. Yes.

- Q. So you would have known from the inception of this matter that this is a relocation situation where the relocation company is going to be the buyer from the Gonrings and then the relocation company is going to be the seller to Melinda?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And you indicated that while roughly 60 percent of the time there are typically two deeds at closing -- And I want to specify, and I think you said this in your testimony -- but one deed is from the owner to the relocation company, and the second deed is from the relocation company to the buyer?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And none of that is a surprise to you because you guys get the closing packets in advance of closing, correct?
  - A. No, that's not correct. Typically we

- don't see any of that until you get to closing.

  That's more typical than not. Actually that's
- 3 probably 95 percent of the time.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. All right. So sometimes, but not often, you get the closing packets in advance, but mostly you receive them at the closing?
- A. That's correct. By closing packet, I'm assuming deed, affidavit, title, bill of sale.

  Sellers closing packet you don't see until the closing table typically, yes.
- Q. What do you typically see with respect to the closing in advance of the closing? Do you get a -- Do you get a closing statement, a draft closing statement, at least?
- A. Exactly. You get a preliminary closing statement from the title company.
- Q. All right. So let's talk about the other way that this goes, and that is that -- that sometimes the deed is issued directly from the owner to the buyer and there is no deed with a relocation company, am I right about that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And that happens -- The balance of, let's say, if 50 percent is typical, then the other -- the

other portion is roughly 40 percent?

MS. OSHANA: I'm going to object because that mischaracterizes his testimony. He didn't say that it occurred 60 percent of the time versus 40 percent. Thank you.

## BY MR. McCARTHY:

2.4

- Q. Well, I want to be -- I want to be fair to you, Tom. So why don't you share with us what is your best estimate of that percentage.
- A. I just -- I don't know. I'm trying to put myself at the closing table on some of these and -- I would just say it's more often than not, and what I'm accustomed to seeing are two deeds. I mean, that's as good of an answer as I can give you. I'm sorry.
- Q. No, that's fine. And I just want to be clear on the record that the other way that that goes is that there's one deed at closing and that one deed is from the owner to the buyer and it doesn't go through the relocation company, fair?
  - A. Fair.
  - Q. All right.
- A. And the only situation that I can affirmatively state that that applied was in this situation.

- Q. Well, but those are -- Those are the two ways that it would go at closing, am I right about that, that --
  - A. Well, I know that there's two ways --
- 0. -- that --

- A. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- Q. I'm just trying to make sure that you and I are communicating. I'm understanding you need to say there's two ways that this goes. One way is that there are two deeds at closing from owner to relocation company and from relocation company to buyer. And the other way that this can go is that —that the deed goes from owner to new buyer and there is no deed to the relocation company, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And from the beginning of this transaction we know that -- that there's going to be a situation where the Gonrings are conveying title or they're going to be the sellers to AIRES and AIRES is going to be the seller to Melinda, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And in your work in the real estate area, you understand that there's often the situation where there is mutual agreements. In other words, a

Purchase Agreement from owner to relocation company and then relocation company has Purchase Agreement with buyer.

That's common, isn't it?

- A. I -- I -- I don't know what is executed between owner and relocation company. I only know what's executed between relocation company and buyer. I don't think I've ever been privy to that.
- Q. You would presume there's a Purchase Agreement of some kind?
  - A. Agreed. Yes, I would have presumed that.
- Q. All right. So let's go -- So we see that on the -- the initial disclosure, that we have a situation where the Gonrings are going to -- they're identified as sellers. We've got two buyers on this document. And then I want to scroll up and -- on Paragraph 6, Section 6, it says structural items.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And 6A asks are you aware of any past or present water leakage in the house or other structure? And there's handwritten response to this that says Unit 3 had leaks on west-facing windows, HOA sealed building to resolve Unit 3 leak.

Page 61 1 Do you see that here? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Would you have made note of that at the time when this came in, or might that have been 4 something that wouldn't have been noteworthy to you? 5 No, I would say that that would have been 6 7 something that was noteworthy. 8 Ο. Because what this is -- this is 9 identifying is that now the buyer has knowledge that Unit 3 had leaks on the west-facing windows; is that 10 11 right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And the buyer has knowledge that an action Ο. 14 was taken by the HOA to seal the building to resolve the Unit 3 leak, fair? 15 16 Α. Fair. 17 And I assume, but should ask, you probably Ο. 18 don't have any specific recollection of this 19 language? 20 Α. Correct. 21 Ο. Then the other disclosure that you 22 identified in your file -- Well, let me ask you this So -- Would you agree with me that as of 23 as well. 24 May of 2018 that you're on notice, the buyer is on

Page 62 notice that the Gonrings were -- Well, let me --1 2 Strike that question. That's not a good question. 3 Does this sellers property disclosure statement tell you, Tom, that the Gonrings are in 4 5 occupancy of that unit? Α. No, it does not. 6 7 They could have moved out May 25th of Ο. 8 2018? 9 Α. Exactly. But that's something that could be asked 10 Ο. 11 of your client, Melinda. You could ask Melinda, you 12 know, did you go to the inspection? Was the unit occupied? Was there furniture? Was there clothes 13 14 Those sorts of things, right? 15 Α. Agreed. Correct. 16 Q. And there's nothing -- Forgive me. 17 Michigan attorney. 18 There's nothing under Illinois law -- And 19 I think the other counsel asked this a number of There's nothing that prohibits you from 20 times. 21 contacting the Gonrings, correct? 22 Well --Α. 23 I get that it's not standard, but I'm just Ο. 24 saying that there's no legal prohibition, right?

- A. Well, there is a prohibition. If we had known that they were still the owners of the property, I would have to go through their representation. I can't contact another party who's represented by counsel.
- Q. What about through real estate agents?

  Can you contact the real estate agent?
- A. If I know that they're represented by counsel, I wouldn't -- I would direct all correspondence through their counsel.
- Q. All right. Fair enough.

Melinda, however, Melinda could contact her -- Presumably she had a real estate agent, right?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. And that real estate agent can talk to the sellers' real estate agent, fair?
- A. Certainly.
- Q. And ask for specific information from the Gonrings if they were to push that, fair?
  - A. Fair.
  - Q. Right. All right. The Illinois Realtors
    Residential Real Property Disclosure Report that's in
    your file, how many of those do you have? Is it just
    one or two?

- A. I have one that I'm looking at right now that was attached to the contract. Do you want me to look through my -- I see I've received another one, but it looks to be -- 6/7 -- It appears to be the same one.
  - Q. And who is -- Who filled it out?
  - A. The Gonrings did.
- Q. All right. I'm going to mark as Exhibit

  11 -- Let me just make a note for myself here. I'm

  going to mark as Exhibit 11 the Illinois Realtors

  Residential Real Property Disclosure Report. This is

  signed by Melinda on Dot -- in Dotloop which is an

  electronic signature platform for real estate,

  correct?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And that's dated June 7, 2018.

    Am I right about that?
- 18 A. Yes.

- Q. And this one is filled out by an agent for American International Relocation Services.
  - Do you have that in your file?
- A. I see where they sign as prospective
  buyer. But it's filled out by the Gonrings as seller
  in the box above.

Page 65 Yep. Correct. Thank you for that. 1 Ο. 2 this is filled out by the Gonrings and then signed by 3 an agent for AIRES identified as prospective buyer, and then signed again by Melinda? 4 5 Α. Correct. 6 All right. So, again, everyone is --Q. 7 everyone is on notice that there are going to be --8 there's two deals going on here. 9 One is between the Gonrings and AIRES, and another one is between AIRES and Melinda, fair? 10 11 Α. Yes. I'm marking as Exhibit 11 -- I'm sorry --12 Q. 13 12, another Illinois Realtors Residential Real 14 Property Disclosure Report that has Xs through it, 15 and it is signed by Amanda -- I can't really see her 16 last name very well -- an agent for AIRES, and then 17 signed by Melinda. 18 Do you see that? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Do you have this in your file? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Do you have any other disclosures in your Q. file? 23 24 Just Raydon. Α.

Q. Okay.

2.4

- A. Two Raydon disclosures. One that was completed by the Gonrings, and one that is just X'd out and signed for by AIRES.
- Q. Okay. Counsel asked you questions about the inspection report. And as I recall, your answer was you do -- that is something that you and your firm take a look at to consider what the conditions are of the property that were identified by the inspector?
- A. Yes and no. We will largely defer to our clients when it comes to inspection requests because what are issues for some people are not issues for others. We're not at the home inspecting the property. So there are times where we don't review the inspection report at all if I get the requests directly from my client. We would review it if they have questions.
- Q. And forgive my lack of geographic knowledge.

But where are you in relation to the city?

- A. 20 minute train ride -- 15 miles.
- Q. And what percentage of your work deals with purchase agreements relating to condominiums?

Page 67 Half. 1 Α. 2 Ο. And I assume a lot of that is in the city 3 itself? 4 Α. Correct. Is there -- Is there any knowledge on your 5 Ο. part as a real estate dedicated lawyer with respect 6 to buildings that are constructed with 7 split-face-brick? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. And what is your knowledge in that regard? 11 That they need to be taken care of. Α. need to be maintained because they could be -- they 12 13 can be porous. 14 And is there -- Is split-face-brick now 15 prohibited from being used as a construction material 16 in the city of Chicago? 17 I don't know. Α. 18 MR. McCARTHY: Just to clarify. I'm sorry. 19 think it's called split-face-block. 20 BY MR. McCARTHY: 21 Q. Is that the same thing, Tom? 22 Yeah, I think we're talking about the same Α. thing. We're talking about non-brick. 23 2.4 All right. And do you typically ask your Q.

clients, hey, listen, is this a split-face-block construction because you need to be concerned about that?

- Sometimes I think we will -- we may have Α. that conversation.
- Would you agree with me that if it came to Q. your attention that the building is made of split-face-block, that would be something that you would talk to them about?
- I don't think that I can agree or I mean, this falls within the purview of disagree. an inspection. I mean, the City is absolutely littered with split face -- I've owned three condos in the City and all of them had split face. Some had very, very minor issues. When you're proactive with it, that's the -- that's the element that you stress. You've just got to be proactive with it. When you're proactive with it, my experience is that it's just fine.
- And by being proactive with it, does that mean sealing the split-face-block?
  - Α. Exactly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

What else does it mean to be proactive Ο. 24 with split-face-block other than sealing?

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-567-8658 973-410-4098

- A. You know, your typical flashing, tuckpointing, sealing.
- Q. And when you say that you've owned three condominiums that were constructed with split face block, so you have personal knowledge of being an owner of one of those units?
  - A. Correct.

Q. And you said it can just be, you know, minor issues.

What are the minor issues that you experienced as a condominium owner of a split-face-block building?

- A. Minor leak. Little like spot on the sealing or around the window.
- Q. And that occurred on two other units that you owned?
- A. Yeah. Out of three, I would say honestly one that I can only think of.
- Q. And what was the most significant problem that you had with the three condominium units that you owned that were constructed of split-face-block?
- A. On my unit I was a first floor and the sixth unit. I was a duplex down. Water had come somehow and came down through the fireplace in my

Page 70 unit. 1 2 Ο. And what problems did that present? 3 Α. I think that was the roof, to be quite honest with you. I can't recall having -- The 4 5 building may have had -- Other units may have had leaks around the windows, I'm trying to think -- I 6 7 can't recall having -- having leaks in any of my units other than the one that I just disclosed to 8 9 you. I could be wrong. This is many, many years 10 ago. Understood. And you don't -- Do you have 11 Ο. 12 any recollection of having knowledge that this unit, 13 Unit 1, in this building was in a building made of 14 split-face-block? 15 Α. I can't recall. 16 Q. You probably don't. 17 Yeah, I can't recall. Α. 18 And in your experience, one thing that O. 19 would be important to you to share with a client who 20 is purchasing a building in a -- purchasing a 21 condominium unit in a building constructed of

split-face-block would be to inquire as to when the block was last sealed?

22

23

24

Α. Yeah, that's typical. That can be

typical.

2.4

- Q. All right. I'm going to get back to the other document that would have been at the beginning of your representation. And I take it from your -- from the way you described this process, Tom, that your group works a bit as a team, that you and Kirk commonly work on situations together, and that's not atypical whatsoever in your practice?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And whether you attend the closing, Kirk attends the closing or another lawyer attends the closing, that's also common in your practice?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. I would like to mark as

  Exhibit 13 a Purchase Agreement. This is a

  Condominium Real Estate Purchase and Sale Contract,

  and my guess is, but I want to confirm with you, this

  is a -- this is a form agreement created by the

  Chicago Association of Realtors that is unique to the

  sale of condominium units?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And under this Purchase Agreement the property here at the top is defined as Unit 1 of this building, correct?

Page 72 1 Correct. Α. 2 Ο. The purchaser is Melinda, and the seller 3 is AIRES, right? 4 Α. Yes. And that's consistent with all of the 5 Ο. 6 disclosures that show -- that reveal we're going to 7 have two transactions; we've got one deal from the Gonrings to AIRES, and another deal from AIRES to 8 9 Melinda, right? 10 Α. Right. 11 Ο. No secret about that here, right? 12 Α. Right. 13 And then under the signature lines we have Ο. 14 the signature lines for all of the appropriate 15 parties, correct? 16 Α. It appears so, yes. 17 And then as I understand it, under Ο. 18 Illinois law there's a 5-day period to get something 19 done with attorneys? Can you explain that for me? 20 Yeah, upon acceptance of a contract Α. 21 there's typically a 5 business day attorney 22 inspection review period, and within those five 23 business days it gives a buyer an opportunity to have 24 an inspection and for us to prepare a letter, like we Page 73

did here, to be able to send over to the sellers' side, the sellers get the proposed modifications or whatever and send it to the buyer's side, and then the parties have a reasonable amount of time to reach an agreement on all of those issues, and if an agreement cannot be reached, the contract will terminate and both parties part ways, the buyers get a return of their earnest money.

Q. Help me understand the time period here, and I'm asking because I literally just don't understand it. So we have an acceptance date of June 8, 2018, and a signature date by Melinda in May of 2018.

It's my assumption -- But maybe you can help me understand specifically -- you would have received this Purchase Agreement in the disclosures -- Let me just ask you when? When would you have received those?

- A. It's typically after the seller signs. So it looks like it was saved in our folder on June 8th.
- Q. All right. So June 8, 2018 begins the five-day attorney review period?
  - A. That's exactly right, five business days.
  - Q. All right. So assuming there's a weekend

in there, you have seven days from June 8th, so you have until June 15, '18 to have the buyers -- to have a lawyer approve -- lawyers approve the contract?

A. Yeah, more or less.

Q. All right. But the letters that we see between your office and Sarah Wilkensen [sic], those go well outside June 15.

Is that -- Is that part of this five-day period, Tom, or is that a different process?

- A. I'm sorry. Can you state that again.
- Q. The letters between your firm and the lawyer for AIRES where, you know, there are questions that are being asked and counsel marked those as exhibits, those are well after and outside the date of June 15th, aren't they?
- A. Yeah, well. The initial letter -- All that's required is for you -- for each party's respective attorneys to make the modifications within that five business days. Then, you know, it can stretch for as long as the parties want to or until either party terminates.
- Q. And I think the last document that was marked as between your office and Sarah Wilkins was stretched into July of 2018.

Page 75 Is that -- Just for my own edification, is 1 2 that still part of this five-day period or is that 3 different? No, it's part of that same process. Part 4 Α. 5 of that same five-day period. 6 And are the parties, through the lawyers, 7 agreeing to extend that five days to encompass these 8 discussions? 9 Α. Yeah, but either party can terminate at 10 any time. Okay. Fair enough. All right. 11 Ο. 12 So in addition to that original Purchase 13 Agreement, then there is an AIRES addendum to 14 Purchase Agreement, and this was provided at the same 15 time apparently that AIRES signed off on the Purchase 16 Agreement. 17 Would you agree with that? 18 Α. Yeah, it appears so. 19 All right. And then Melinda, your client, O. 20 signs off on that on June 8th as well? 21 Α. I don't -- Where is that on your -- Is 22 that --23 Buyer. And it just looks like an M. O. 24 Let me look at the contract. That's -- I Α.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 76 would assume it is. That's the addendum. 1 2 Ο. Here. I can go to the top and just -- We 3 could look to see --I don't -- I don't know -- I don't know 4 Α. 5 if -- Honestly, I can't tell you. 6 Well, it's signed by AIRES and seller. We Q. 7 can agree on that much. I'm looking at --8 Α. 9 O. It's signed by someone as buyer, and the 10 someone has -- It looks to be an M. Does that look 11 to be an M to you? 12 Α. I'm just looking at the initials. Yeah, I 13 suppose. I mean, I can't imagine who else would have 14 signed that. 15 And then we also have an addendum to the 16 Purchase and Sale Contract that's also part of 17 Exhibit 13 -- I just included these all in one document for convenience -- is the addendum to the 18 19 Purchase and Sale Agreement, and this is dated and

A. Yeah, that would have been a result of that attorney review. That wasn't part of the initial contract.

signed by AIRES on July 5 and by your client on

20

21

22

23

24

July 7th?

- Q. Right. That's why we have an addendum to the purchase -- All to say we have an addendum that is giving your client a \$3,000 credit at close?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. All right. Have we reviewed all of the disclosures that were in your file?
  - A. As far as I can tell, yes.
- Q. Now you indicated you have a folder in your file called Association.

What's in the Association folder?

- A. What's called a 22.1 disclosure rules and regs, budget, declaration and bylaws, HOA bank statement, paid assessment letter and meeting minutes.
- Q. Okay. Can you explain for the benefit of someone who's unfamiliar with the 22.1?
- A. Yeah, 22.1 is a statutory requirement that just really addresses threshold questions for a condominium purchaser. You know, the most important typically are, hey, are there any capital expenditures that the Association intends to undertake, and how are those capital expenditures going to be financed? So it really puts a prospective buyer on notice of, you know, of

Page 78 potential incidents of getting dinged with a special 1 2 assessment or an increase in assessments or what may 3 be problematic with a particular building. Super --Very important disclosure. 4 5 All right. I'm going to mark -- Nope, that's not it. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 14 the 6 7 22.1. Is that what this document is? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. And for the benefit of the -- of the jury, 11 this is a document that gets filled out by the Condominium Association itself? 12 13 Α. Correct. 14 And tell me what's -- From your 15 perspective as counsel for a buyer of a condominium unit in Chicago, what -- what are you looking for in 16 this document? 17 18 Really everything that's on there. 19 mean, those important threshold questions. So this 20 is -- This is a document that we absolutely review. 21 It's probably the single most important condominium 22 document, in my opinion. So I think that the 23 questions on the disclosure statement are pretty

self-explanatory. How much are in reserves? Are

24

Page 79 there any specific projects that are upcoming? 1 2 capital expenditures that we should anticipate? 3 are those going to be financed? Special assessment? Increase monthly? Loans in some circumstances. 4 Is 5 there anything not in compliance with the condominium declaration? Are there any liens on the property? 6 7 So I think the document itself is -- is pretty self-explanatory. 8 9 MS. OSHANA: Counsel -- Sorry, Mr. McCarthy. 10 Can you just make -- I want to make sure it's the correct line. Can you just go to the signature page, 11 12 please. Thank you. 13 BY MR. McCARTHY: 14 And this document -- Can you confirm, Tom, 15 that in your file you have the same 22.1 signed by John Gorr on behalf of the Board of Managers? 16 Confirmed. 17 Α. 18 Okay. And the 22.1 with respect to this Q. situation identifies the amount of reserves for 19 capital expenditures of \$2,208, right? 20 21 Α. Correct. And then it indicates, are there any 22 23 reserves designated for any specific projects? 24 And the answer to that question is, no,

Page 80 1 correct? 2 Α. Correct. 3 Q. And then it also asks are there any capital expenditures anticipated in the next two 4 5 fiscal years that would require special assessment? 6 And the answer to that question was? 7 Α. No. You also indicated that you had meeting 8 Ο. 9 minutes in your file. What are the meeting minutes of? 10 11 It looks like just one page, May 7, 2018, Α. 12 lists item discussed. Really they're talking about the tuckpointing. It looks like -- It looks like 13 14 their agreement to commit to Arrow. It looks like 15 their agreement to contract with Arrow Masonry & 16 Exteriors to perform work on the building. 17 Does your file indicate when you received Q. 18 that? 19 The meeting minutes? You know -- They're 20 saved in our file on June 29th --21 Q. I'm going to --22 -- 2018. Α. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 15 a June 29 23 Ο. 2.4 e-mail. This is from Ariana Listecki,

Page 81 1 L-I-S-T-E-C-K-I. 2 Is that someone from your office? 3 Α. Yes. And do you know who this was sent to you? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Just by looking at the cc, yes. And who -- Who are the recipients? 6 Q. 7 Actually, I don't know. I'm assuming that Α. our client, Melinda, is M dot aeunc. MC at 8 9 @Properties would have been the buyer's agent, Megan. Kirk in our office. Copies to our action step file. 10 11 Ο. I also assume that given the nature of 12 your practice, you know a ton of real estate agents? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And you know and probably have your own Ο. 15 opinions about who's good and who's really diligent and who's kind of sloppy, you probably know that? 16 17 Yeah, a little bit. Α. 18 Were you familiar with the agents on both Ο. 19 sides of this transaction? 20 I don't know. Let me look who the agent Α. 21 was on the list side. Certainly on the buy side I was. On the sell side, no, not -- not anything 22 23 specific. 24 Q. All right. SO exhibit 15 is -- is -- This

is your -- your firm's launching and circulating of the meeting minutes. So we don't -- It doesn't show where you received them from, but it shows you're sending them out to your client and to other parties; is that right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And then the meeting minutes contain a May 7, 2018 meeting minute.

And would this have been reviewed?

A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. This would be important, would it not?
- A. Yeah. I mean, minutes aren't even required pursuant to Section 22.1 of the Illinois Condominium Property Act. You got to make specific requests for those. But, yeah, sometimes you can gain insightful information on -- in the meeting minutes. As a practitioner we will -- we place a lot of emphasis on the 22.1 disclosure as well.
- Q. Understood. I guess -- I guess -- Let me ask it this way. In this situation, given the AIRES Seller's Disclosure Form that specifically identified water getting into Unit 3 and the HOA sealing the building to address those issues, in that context would you agree with me that the meeting minutes

would be important?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. Yes. There's a caveat to that, I quess. So because there were two disclosures that were attached to the contract, one was a Seller's Disclosure that the Gonrings had provided to the relo company, and one was the Residential Real Property Disclosure that the Gonrings completed. Pursuant to the latter, the Residential Real Property Disclosure, if someone reasonably believes an issue has been corrected, they are not under a duty to disclose that issue, right. So you don't get a litany of 20 repairs that a homeowner has made over their ownership of 20 years. So when you look at that --So theoretically when you look at -- when you couple the seller's disclosure that says, hey, we had some leaking around the window, it's been tuckpointed, with the Residential Real Property Disclosure but does not lists any issues, I think the conclusion there to is that therefore that issue has been resolved.
- Q. And your point is an important one.

  So if there had been an issue historically but it had been addressed and resolved, there's no duty to disclose?

A. Exactly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. All right. But in this situation there was a disclosure as to water coming into Unit 3 as well as the HOA sealing the building that we identified on the earlier document, correct?
  - A. Right.
- Q. All right. And now we have a May 7, 2018 meeting minute where it indicates tuckpoint and seal the exterior of the building, last sealed, 2012, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And it also identifies that Arrow Masonry & Exteriors had issued a quote, the scope of that work is spot grinding, spot tuckpointing, caulking, flashing installation, and sealing of the east-west and north elevation of split-face-block, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And the cost of that. So it's \$16,000 -- \$16,840 was the cost of that quote?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And this meeting minute is informing your office and your client that that quote was being agreed upon and accepted and that work was being done?

Page 85 1 Α. Correct. 2 Ο. Fair? 3 Α. Right. Fair. And given your knowledge and experience 4 Ο. 5 with split-face-block, this would be good news and presumably was in the event you read this at the time 6 7 that this was a prudent thing to do for the care and treatment of split-face-block? 8 9 Α. Agreed. 10 Ο. Does your file contain -- Maybe you can 11 just look at it and tell us what it does contain that 12 is in preparation for the actual closing? What sort 13 of information is in your file in that regard? 14 You mean the file that I would take to 15 closing? 16 Whatever information was Ο. No. No. 17 provided in advance of closing that deals with 18 closing, whether it's just a --19 Α. Yeah. 20 -- preliminary closing statement or, you Ο. 21 know, further title work. Just walk us through what 22 your file --The full digital folder. Everything that 23 Α. 24 I relayed to you earlier is accessible. Everything

is digital.

- Q. All right. I understand that. Actually there's a separate question. Can I get a copy -- Can I get a digital copy of your file following the deposition? If I give you my e-mail, is that something you can send to me?
  - A. Yeah. I would --
- MS. OSHANA: If you want, you would send it for all of us.

## BY THE WITNESS:

- A. Yeah, I'm just wondering if there's any attorney-client privileged stuff in there. I guess -- I'd just have to parse through it just to see what -- if there's any e-mails that are saved in there between myself and my client -- or our firm and our client. I don't see that there are in this file.
- MR. McCARTHY: Well, let's do this. We'll provide you everyone's e-mail addresses at the conclusion of the deposition. Then when you send a link, whether it's through a Share File or Dropbox or whatever is easiest for you, just indicate in the cover e-mail whether any documentation was withheld on the basis of privilege, or if anything was identified in that regard, just indicate that as

Page 87 1 well. Okay? 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. 3 MR. McCARTHY: All right. My question was just inarticulate. 4 5 BY MR. McCARTHY: 6 What I was trying to find out was -- So Q. 7 now we're after July 5. You've got the addendum to the Purchase Agreement. You've got a \$3,000 credit 8 9 coming to your client. And now things kind of go quiet until there's the closing, I'm assuming, 10 11 correct? More or less, yes. 12 Α. Yeah. 13 Because once you have the documented 0. 14 contract and amendment, now all -- all that's left to 15 be done is the work incidental to getting ready for closing, satisfying the title company, satisfying 16 17 lenders, you know, a knit here, a knit there, fair? 18 Α. Fair. 19 All right. And then when we're getting Ο. 20 ready for closing, what documentation is your office 21 provided in advance on this transaction specifically? 22 What does your file contain? Well, it's all kind of muddled in here. 23 Α. 24 But I just see that I have, you know, a closing

Page 88 1 confirm that was sent from the title company, an 2 e-mail, you know, sending to our client an e-mail 3 telling me how much my client wired. I don't even see -- and then e-mail saying title company has wire. 4 5 I don't even see, which isn't atypical, I don't even see that we received a preliminary settlement 6 7 statement in this case. I don't see one saved. All right. Let me mark -- I'm going to 8 Ο. 9 share my screen here. THE WITNESS: Can I duck out for 30 seconds? 10 MR. McCARTHY: Of course. 11 12 THE WITNESS: I've got to go use the restroom. 13 I'll be right back. 14 MR. McCARTHY: Sure. 15 (WHEREUPON, WE WERE OFF THE 16 RECORD.) 17 Back on the record after a short MR. McCARTHY: 18 break. 19 BY MR. McCARTHY: 20 I want to mark as Exhibit 16 what I think Ο. 21 you're going to describe as the Closing Statement. 22 But you tell me what this is called in your world? 23 Α. Yeah, that's the Settlement Statement. 24 And this is -- It looks like the print Q.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 89 date and time is 7-25-18. That's the date of 1 2 closing, I'll represent to you. And so presumably 3 this would be then something that would have been provided to Paul of your office when he attended the 4 closing that day? 5 6 Α. Correct. 7 And it identifies -- It's consistent with Ο. all of the Purchase Agreements and the amendments and 8 9 the addendums that Melinda is the buyer and AIRES is the seller, correct? 10 11 Α. Correct. 12 Q. And this transaction closed consistent 13 with that approach? 14 Α. Correct. 15 And this then identifies all of the flow 16 of funds, the deposit amount, the ins and outs, the 17 charges and whatnot, and identifies that Melinda 18 needs to bring to closing 36,537.69, right? 19 Α. Correct. 20 Ο.

Q. And this would have been something -Because that specific amount needs to be provided,
oftentimes these are provided in advance, aren't
they?

21

22

23

2.4

A. Yeah, they are. I mean, typically just

about a day prior to closing.

- Q. Does your file reflect that? Does it contain this document in there?
- A. No, it doesn't. I had said that earlier. I don't see that we received a prelim before closing.
- Q. Okay. Now, walk us through closing. So you're -- And I recognize that -- that Paul Garver, G-A-R-V-E-R, is that how you spell his last name?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. So Paul is at the closing to the best of your information. Share with us what information -- what documents he's going to be presented with to review with Melinda at the closing table?
- A. Yeah. So the -- The typical flow of this is you get to the title company and then there's all of the loan documents. So those are usually the first documents that you go through, right, the note and the mortgage and all of the disclosures.

  Actually, the Settlement Statement is probably the first document you go through. Once you go through the Settlement Statement, sign that, you go through all of the loan documents because those need to be send back to the lender usually, and then the lender reviews them. So you want to kind of get those

2.4

Page 91

documents to them as soon as possible so you're not sitting at closing for three hours. Once you're done with all of the loan documents, then there's a seller's packet, and that's where you'll find the deed, the affidavit, the title, bill of sale, all of the statements Kirk does, whatever -- whatever is applicable to that particular transaction. And then after that, after you review all of those, you know, you're hoping that by that time the lender says, hey, we've reviewed everything, we're good to disburse and checks are cut and the parties go their own way.

Q. Okay. So describe the process for me if you would, Tom, because maybe our process here in Michigan is a little different.

Where do closings typically take place?

- A. At the title company. So at this particular -- You know, this was in the loop. This would have been down on Wacker. You go into the title company --
- Q. And this is called Attorneys' Title Guaranty?
  - A. Correct. Correct.
  - O. So that's the title company in this case?
  - A. Yeah, at 1 South Wacker. So, yeah, you go

into a room. There's other closings that are going on. But you go into your own little room. You have your closure or an at-the-table funding stage. So everything is handled and processed right there at the closing. You know, you usually budget about an hour and a half for a closing when things go right, hour, hour and a half, but they can last two, two and a half. A lot of times they're just waiting on the lender to get back to you. Or they might say, hey, we don't like the way that this is signed, you need to re-sign this. I mean, there's a number of things that could happen at closing. Typically that's how it goes.

- Q. So at the closing there would be Paul and Melinda and a closer in the room?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. And the closer comes in, they have all the documents, and they're basically going through one after another all of the documents that need to be reviewed, executed and signed?
- A. Right. They have a set of closing instructions from the lender that says, hey, this is what we need back. So she'll go through and notarize the documents that have been executed, pull out the

funding documents, so they're called, and get those back over to the lender where the lender will review?

2.4

- Q. And is it common that -- Is there a split closing? Like where is the AIRES representative and where are the Gonrings?
- A. Yeah. Typically the seller does not show up to closing or the seller's attorney, but they certainly can, just because there's only a handful of documents that a seller needs to execute, right.

  It's really kind of a buyer's show at closing. So the sellers pre-sign their documents. Then they're kind of done and the attorney will sign the Settlement Statement or any ancillary documents remotely via power of attorney or something like that.
- Q. Okay. Other than the closing statement itself, what other documents are signed at closing that, again, confirm Melinda as buyer and AIRES as seller?
- A. Well, let me look. I have docs from closing -- Let's see what the -- I get my waived title, of course. You know, I received a FIRPTA listing AIRES as the seller, AIRES.
  - Q. Can you identify that acronym for the

court reporter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. Yeah, it's just a Foreign -- It's an IRS, what, Foreign Real Estate Property -- Foreign Investment Real Estate Property. I don't know what it actually stands for. I just know it as a FIRPTA. It just certifies that the seller has a U.S. tax paying Social Security number or an EIN so that proceeds -- There's a provision of the IRS Code, Section 1445, sellers of foreign entity, you have to withhold proceeds from the sale to ensure applicable capital gains taxes get paid. So when we ask for a FIRPTA it's essentially saying they're exempt from any withholding. So that was completed on behalf of AIRES. I see the deed. I don't have a copy of the ALTA Statement, who signed that. My presumption is that would have been signed by the relocation company as well.
- Q. All right. And let's mark the deed as Exhibit 17.

Is that showing on your screen?

- A. Yeah.
- Q. And, again, this -- this deed falls into the second category. It's -- It's the less popular or the less common, but it's a deed directly from the

Gonrings to your client, and not -- There's no separate deed that's going through the relocation company, correct?

A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. And Paul would have seen this at closing,
  Paul would have said -- If that was a problem, he
  would say, no, no, no, we've got to do something
  else, fair?
  - A. Yeah, he could have. Yes.
- Q. And this was acceptable to him because really just to have a separate deed from Gonrings to AIRES and then AIRES to Melinda, this deed accomplishes the same thing, doesn't it?
- A. Yeah, more or less. You know, what conversation may have happened with the title company. And in the end again, if the title company -- The title company is the one that insures it. So they're comfortable with the fact that they have a deed here executed by the Gonrings and everything else executed by the relocation company, they are comfortable with insuring the transaction, which gives us, in turn, a lot of comfort as well.
- Q. Fair enough. All right. So in summary, at the time this transaction closed in July of 2018,

Page 96 the buyer and your office had knowledge of the leak 1 2 in Unit 3, correct? 3 Α. Well, active or repaired? Repaired. Well, the disclosure that there 4 Ο. 5 had been a leak, right? 6 Α. Correct. Yes. 7 That the HOA addressed it through sealing Ο. the building, correct? 8 9 Α. Correct. Disclosure of the meeting minutes that 10 Q. 11 identified the contractor to do that work, correct? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Identified the scope of that work, O. 14 correct? 15 Α. Yes. Generally, correct. 16 Identified the cost of that work, correct? Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 You had a 22.1 disclosure that confirmed Ο. 19 that no capital expenditures were anticipated the next two years, fair? 20 21 Α. Fair. 22 All of the purchase agreements revealed that the Gonrings were sellers to AIRES and AIRES was 23 24 the seller to your client, correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. And then at closing Melinda accepted and your office accepted a deed directly from the Gonrings to her and did not demand a deed through AIRES and then AIRES to her, correct?
- A. That I can't speak to. I don't know what happened at closing. I don't know what the conversations may have been at closing.
- Q. You would agree with me it -- at the end of the day it doesn't matter so long as title is conveyed to Melinda for the unit, correct?
- A. Yeah, I -- I guess I would agree with that.
- Q. And you would -- You would concede that Paul obviously didn't put the brakes on the closing because this deed was executed and conveyed? He didn't pull the plug and refuse to move forward on behalf of your client?
- A. Well, yeah, I would say -- Yeah. This closed. This closed on the scheduled closing date.
- Q. All right. And do you recall having any discussion with Paul after the closing or at any point in time that there's anything amiss or problematic here?

Page 98 Not that I recall. 1 Α. 2 MR. McCARTHY: Thank you. That's all I have. 3 MS. OSHANA: Okay. I have a few. Mr. Hawbecker, my name is Carol Oshana. I 4 5 am the attorney for the Plaintiff, Melinda. 6 Counsel, you have some documents on your 7 screen. MR. McCARTHY: Sorry. 8 9 EXAMINATION BY MS. OSHANA: 10 11 Let's go back. One second, please. I'm 0. 12 going to share screen as well. 13 Counsel previously marked this exhibit as 14 Exhibit 13. I'm just going to use the same number 15 for this one. Now, this is the real estate contract 16 that was executed -- Let me make this a little 17 smaller so you could see it. This is the contract executed between 18 19 Melinda and, it says, OOR, which is scratched out. 20 What does OOR mean? 21 Α. Owner of Record. Okay. And it was scratched out and 22 0. 23 replaced as AIRES. 24 Why is that done typically?

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 99 A lot of times to satisfy lender 1 2 requirements. 3 Q. Yes. Okay. So the lender actually needs to know on the contract the Owner of Record, isn't 4 5 that correct? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And here it's represented essentially that Ο. 8 the Owner of Record is AIRES, isn't that correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. And going down -- Paragraph 15 of the contract articulates that there is to be attorney 11 modifications within five business days after 12 13 acceptance date which is considered the attorney 14 approval period, right? 15 Α. Correct. These are -- You have a couple of options 16 Q. 17 here according to the attorney modification provision 18 of the contract. Number one, you may approve this contract in its entirety. 19 20 Do you see that? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And/or you can propose modifications to this contract; is that correct? 23

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

2.4

Α.

Correct.

- Q. Okay. These modifications, these what we call Attorney Review Letters, these are legally binding statements, aren't they?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Okay. So when you send a letter to a seller and ask questions like is there water coming into the building, and they say, no, that is a legally-binding representation, isn't it?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, if you call someone that you consider to be the previous owner, they are no longer the owner, but they are the prior owner, if you call them and ask them is there water leaking in the building and they answer yes or no, is that legally binding according to this contract?
  - A. No.
- 17 MR. McCARTHY: Objection to form.
- 18 BY MS. OSHANA:
  - Q. In other words, as attorneys we're doing closings -- And I do them often as well -- is it -- it is not our understanding when we're doing closings that when we call previous owners that that is a modification to the contract, isn't that correct?
  - MR. McCARTHY: Objection to the form.

Page 101 1 Speculation. 2 BY THE WITNESS: 3 Α. I would say, yes that's correct. MS. OSHANA: Let me just clarify it further for 4 5 addressing the form. BY MS. OSHANA: 6 7 Current owners modify the -- can modify 0. the contract -- Let me strike that. Let me make this 8 9 clear. 10 Only current owners may accept or reject 11 modifications to a contract, isn't that correct? 12 MR. McCARTHY: Object to form. Foundation. 13 BY THE WITNESS: 14 I would say that's a fair statement, yes. 15 BY MS. OSHANA: 16 0. And isn't it also a fair statement to say 17 that the prior owner and the prior owner before them 18 and the prior owner before them and the prior owner 19 before them have no obligation to modify the contract, isn't that correct? 20 21 Α. Correct, there would be no privity there. Right. So isn't it true that in your 22 23 mind, in your experience, simply calling a previous 24 owner has no contractual benefit to your client?

Page 102 1 I would agree with that. Α. 2 0. Okay. So in other words, you wouldn't 3 normally take it upon yourself to call previous owners of property because they do you no good 4 legally, isn't that right? 5 6 Α. Correct. 7 So this was not previously introduced to 0. you. I'm gonna use this -- I believe we're on 8 9 Exhibit 18. 10 Is that correct, Madam Court Reporter? 11 THE REPORTER: Yes, that's correct. MS. OSHANA: Okay. Thank you. 12 13 BY MS. OSHANA: 14 So this is a document Gonring 000123. 15 That's the Bates stamp. It is an e-mail from Kelsey Gonring to a bunch of people. But one of them is 16 Amanda Flucker of AIRES and Sarah Wilkins of Wilkins 17 18 Law. 19 Do you see this? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. And you also see Nicholas Gonring is cc'd on here as well? Do you see that? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Now, I know you've -- I mean, you've never Q.

seen this document before, right?

1

2

13

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. I've never seen this document before.
- 3 Q. Okay. Let's go over it for just a second. Ms. Gonring says, Hello everyone. Thank you for 4 5 looping us in ahead of time given the holiday. Nick and I have reviewed the buyer's response and have 6 7 decided to agree to the buys terms, open quote, 8 \$3,000 closing credit and 125 percent tax proration, closed parentheses, outlined in the attached 9 10 document. The next paragraph says to address items -- to address Item No. 12 stating, open quote, with 11 12 respect to Item 15, another inquiry has been made

whether rental restrictions are in place, and a

further response will be provided, end quote. There

are no rules or regulations regarding renting of the

with the property's Condominium Association regarding

17 property. This information can be found in the

18 Association documents that are attached. Okay.

Now, were you aware that Ms. Gonring was talking to Amanda Flucker during the closing?

A. No. Well, when you say during the closing, I wasn't there. If you're assuming during the pendency of attorney review, then my answer is no.

Page 104 1 Let me just start -- From the beginning to 0. 2 the end, okay. 3 From the beginning when the contract was signed, until closing, were you aware that the 4 5 Gonrings were in communications with Attorney --Attorney Sarah Wilkins? 6 7 Α. No. Okay. Now, did you know that it was the 8 9 Gonrings that were making the decisions about closing cost credits and tax prorations? 10 11 Α. No. 12 MR. McCARTHY: I'm sorry. I couldn't get to 13 unmute quick enough. Please register my objection to 14 the lack of foundation for that question. 15 BY MS. OSHANA: 16 Did Ms. Wilkins, the attorney, did she Ο. 17 ever tell you that she is in communication with the 18 Gonrings? 19 Α. Not that I recall. 20 Does this e-mail surprise you? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 0. Why? 23 Α. This just isn't how I expect that a relo

transaction transpires. This -- On this, this is

24

just a -- this is a normal residential real estate transaction where I would deem Sarah is representing the Gonrings.

2.4

- Q. And if you believed that Sarah Wilkins was representing the Gonrings, how would your approach be different?
- A. Well, I think I stated this earlier in that the big push is on the representations and -You know, I can't recall what happened. But when you ask somebody, hey, do this, they say, no, do this again, please, contact the prior owner, no, one more time, please, do this, no. I guess the bottom line would have been we would have insisted that any representations or all responses, really, for that matter, need to come on behalf of the Gonrings, not on behalf of the relocation company. So you omit that shield.
- Q. Do you feel that the relationship between attorneys in closings is typically an amicable one?
  - A. For the most part, yes.
- Q. And do you believe that attorneys in real estate closings, the buyer's attorney and the seller's attorney, typically have trust among one another?

- A. Typically, yes.
- Q. Do you anticipate that an attorney in a real estate closing representing the other side will fully disclose information to you?
  - MR. CASEY: Objection to form.
  - MR. McCARTHY: Same objection. Join.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Can I answer?
- 8 MS. OSHANA: Yes.

## BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yeah. I mean, to the extent that they get that information from their clients, yes. I don't think an attorney is going to withhold, specifically at their whim, withhold information that was provided to them by their clients.
- 15 BY MS. OSHANA:
  - Q. So when you were asking about the prior owner, you assumed that the Gonrings were no longer owners of this particular property that's being purchased by Melinda, isn't that correct?
    - A. Yeah, that's correct.
  - Q. Okay. And obviously looking at this e-mail now and understanding that the Gonrings were communicating with Ms. Wilkins, do you believe that you were deceived?

MR. CASEY: Objection to form.

MR. McCARTHY: Same objection.

## BY THE WITNESS:

A. I -- We certainly would have pushed back in a different demeanor. Like had this e-mail been sent to us by Ms. Wilkins that said, hey, here's the response on the outstanding questions, and I would have seen this e-mail, that would have raised a brow for sure.

## BY MS. OSHANA:

Q. Let me show you another one. Exhibit 19. This is a July 5, 2018 e-mail. Mind you the closing -- Just as a reminder. The closing occurred on July 25. This document is Bates stamped Gonring 000125. Okay. So this e-mail is, again, dated July 25, 2018. It's from Nick Gonring to Amanda Flucker, and it is cc'ing Kelsey Gonring, Garrett Luehrs, L-U-E-H-R-S, and Terry Wilkins of swilkin -- swilklaw.com. Nick said -- Nick Gonring writes, Good morning. Is it common for us to have zero contact with the attorneys that are working on our behalf through AIRES. This seems to be a definitive bottleneck in closing this deal in any sort of timely fashion. We are aware that through the home sale

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

process AIRES is to sign the addendum. Given the time sensitivity of this sale, we are hopeful and confident that you can take our verbal agreement of the buyer's addendum (\$3,000 total closing credit and 125 percent tax proration) and finalize the sale today. This sale process has been stalemated with too many hands in the pot and our potential buyer is threatening to walk away from this deal. This whole experience has been anything but expeditious and needs to get finalized ASAP. Call me live with any questions.

Now, as you can see -- Let me -- After reading this e-mail, do you believe that -- do you get the impression, I should say, that Nick Gonring believes that Wilks Law is their attorney?

A. Yes.

2.4

MR. CASEY: Objection to form.

MR. McCARTHY: Object to foundation.

Complete -- Calls for speculation. Ask anyone on the street this question.

MS. OSHANA: Yes. Exactly. I will ask anyone on the street this question.

BY MS. OSHANA:

Q. So if you had seen this e-mail, what would

Page 109 you have -- what would you have assumed? 1 2 I mean, I'm looking at it now. It's just 3 sneaky. Very sneaky, right. They're controlling the responses that we're getting, yet shielding behind 4 5 I'm a relo company, never occupy the property, don't disclose. That's -- That's -- That's my initial 6 7 blush on this. So you feel that you were deceived? 8 Ο. 9 Α. Yeah. 10 MR. McCARTHY: Objection. Complete lack of 11 foundation. Calls for speculation. It has nothing 12 to do with anything. 13 MR. CASEY: AIRES joins in that objection. 14 BY MS. OSHANA: 15 Ο. Do you feel that you were deceived? Yeah, I think that this e-mail is 16 Α. 17 deceiving. And it's deceiving because it's not 18 Ο. 19 disclosing the relationship between the attorneys and 20 the Gonrings, isn't that correct? 21 MR. CASEY: Objection to form MR. McCARTHY: Objection to form and foundation. 22 BY THE WITNESS: 23 2.4 Α. Yeah, I would agree with that.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 110 MS. OSHANA: And let me be clear. 1 2 BY MS. OSHANA: 3 Q. It's not reflecting the relationship between Nicholas Gonring and Kelsey Gonring and Wilk 4 Law; is that correct? 5 6 I would agree. Α. MR. CASEY: Objection to form. 7 8 BY MS. OSHANA: 9 Ο. Now -- Well, let me ask you another 10 question as we're going over this. 11 You've been practicing law in real estate 12 for how many years? 13 Α. About 20. About 20. 14 In your 20 years of practicing law, have Ο. 15 you ever seen a real estate agent answer Attorney 16 Review Letters? 17 No. Not that we would accept anyway. Α. 18 Have you ever seen it? O. 19 Α. No. 20 Is it common in your experience for people Q. 21 to represent that they are the sellers when they don't actually have title? 22 MR. McCARTHY: Object to foundation. Calls for 23 24 speculation.

Page 111 MR. CASEY: Same objection. 1 2 BY THE WITNESS: 3 Α. No, it's not common. BY MS. OSHANA: 4 In fact, typically when you sell property 5 6 in our understanding of doing real estate law --Never mind ours. Let me -- Let me strike that 7 8 question. That's a bad question. 9 I've been doing real estate law like you 10 for 20 years, so I understand you, but I'm going to 11 try to stick it to you and not with me. So in real estate closings isn't it almost 12 13 always the case if not always the case that the 14 seller is the owner? 15 Α. Yes. 16 So when you're doing this closing for Q. 17 Melinda, you believed that AIRES was the owner? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And you believed that AIRES, as the O. 20 relocation company, has an arm's-length transaction 21 with the Gonrings, isn't that correct? 22 That has already been done, yes. Α. 23 Ο. Yes. 2.4 Α. Yes.

- Q. So in your mind, the Gonrings and AIRES are not working together on this deal?
- A. Yes, in my mind. When I look at this e-mail that you had on the screen, my take on this, the response would be -- Well, I probably wouldn't respond at all because I would say you're not my client. Right?
  - Q. Who's not your client? Could you clarify.
- A. The Gonrings. I'm saying when I'm looking at this e-mail, and I'm putting myself in Ms.

  Wilkins' shoes, and I get an e-mail like this on my understanding of a relocation transaction, I wouldn't even respond. I've got no duty to report to

  Mr. Gonring.
- Q. Right. I'm going to go back to the Settlement Statement that was Exhibit 16 that is dated July 25, 2018. This is -- I like to call this like a closing Excel spreadsheet.

Is that a fair statement?

A. Sure.

2.4

Q. And basically the Excel spreadsheet, this Settlement Statement, gives an idea to the buyer and the seller where their money is going, isn't that fair?

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 113 1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο. So, for example, it's showing how much the 3 seller is selling the property for and how much the buyer is purchasing the property for, isn't that 4 5 right? 6 Α. Correct. 7 And then there's credits that are provided Ο. from the seller to the buyer. So, for example, here 8 9 the seller is giving \$4,525.91 as county property taxes from January 1, 2018 through July 25, 2018, 10 11 right? 12 Α. Correct. 13 And that's because property taxes for 2018 Ο. 14 are payable in 2019, isn't that right? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Ο. So the seller is saying, here, take this 17 money, your bill won't come out until next year, but 18 I'm paying it to you now, isn't that right? 19 That's right. Α. 20 So this document is signed by Sarah Ο. 21 Wilkins as the agent for AIRES, isn't that right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 So you would assume that that tax credit Ο. 24 is being paid from AIRES to Melinda Sgariglia, isn't

Page 114 1 that correct? 2 Α. Correct. 3 Q. And also at the bottom you see where it says on the seller's side, on the left, it says due 4 5 to seller? Do you see that part, the second to the last part? 6 7 Α. Yes. And it says 27,477.10 isn't that right? 8 Ο. 9 Α. Correct. Who do you think is -- Who do you think 10 Q. 11 gets that check? I would say that that check goes to AIRES. 12 Α. 13 Because they're the owner? Q. 14 Α. Correct. 15 O. Is that correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Okay. Now, earlier you were shown a Q. 18 disclosure report by counsel -- two disclosure 19 reports, okay. 20 THE WITNESS: Carol, I hate to do this. I was 21 thirsty. I drank water. Give me one minute. 22 MS. OSHANA: Take your time. 23 THE WITNESS: One minute. 2.4 (WHEREUPON, WE WERE OFF THE

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 115 1 RECORD.) 2 BY MS. OSHANA: 3 Ο. So I had -- I am on Exhibit 19. No, that was my last one. I think this is Exhibit 20. This 4 5 is a July 13, 2018 e-mail. Yes, I can read that. 6 Α. 7 So this is a July 13, 2018 e-mail. It's Ο. Bates stamped Gorr 00239. Now, this is an e-mail 8 9 from John Gorr dated July 13, 2018, and the e-mail is to Nick Gonring, Kelsey Gonring, and other people 10 11 that you don't know. 12 But obviously now you know who the Gonrings are, correct? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Okay. In the letter he talks about how 16 he's president of the HOA. He says, moisture was 17 detected in the southwest window area after the last hard rain. Due to that, my buyer backed out. So I'm 18 19 back on the market and disclosing the previous water 20 issues ahead of time. After the last inspection 21 Arrow Masonry came back and applied a second layer of 22 sealant at the entire west-facing wall above the 23 third-floor windows, and they applied the same 24 elastomeric sealant to the capstones. I have a

moisture meter and will be monitoring the moisture levels in the wood over the next few weeks. also be looking at if there are any other areas of water infiltration. I hope the secondary work resolved it for good. The moisture monitoring will help me determine that. Next paragraph. Regardless, if I'm unable -- Sorry -- If I'm able to sell, I'll be taking a hit on the selling price and will be putting money in escrow to cover any future problems. Being that it has been documented as a building issue, this escrowed money would cover Unit 3's portion of future work. I'm not entirely sure how this will work out or how it affects any other units and would rather resolve the issue and make no concessions. I have not received any responses from anyone, so I'm just proceeding as I'm advised. Ι recommend talking to your realtors and lawyers or let me know if you have any input. Then he does go on to say, on request, we are giving the potential buyers the background of the issues and how we handled them as best as possible similar to the italicized wording Then he goes on to write: We filed a claim below. with the building insurance. The insurance hired ESI to inspect building. Based on the report, the claim

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 117 1 was denied, but we utilized their scope of work. 2 Unit 3 -- 2014 -- Sorry. It goes into all this 3 detail. It also mentions an ESI Engineering Report. The report recommends to retain a masonry contractor 4 5 to make exploratory openings in the masonry to determine the caused water infiltration. And it goes 6 7 on. Now, this was done before closing because 8 9 remember closing was July 25, 2018. Here, the 10 building president, the Association President, is 11 discussing giving concessions to his seller --12 Sorry -- his buyer. He's the seller -- to his buyer, 13 escrowed money that would cover Unit 3's portion of 14 future work. 15 Now, have you ever seen this e-mail 16 before? 17 Α. No. 18 Okay. Based on this e-mail, would you Ο. 19 believe if you had received this that the 20 -- the disclosure reports should have been updated? 20 21 Α. Yes. MR. McCARTHY: Object to form and foundation. 22 23 BY MS. OSHANA: 24 And I want to discuss the Disclosure Q.

Page 118 Reports. I'm talking about Exhibits -- the 1 2 Residential Real Property Report, Exhibit 11, and 3 Exhibit 12, the AIRES -- Sorry -- No, the AIRES disclosure is Exhibit 10, and the Residential Real 4 5 Property Report is Exhibit 11. So the -- Either one of those documents. But especially the Residential 6 7 Real Property Report. Let me ask it that way. Should the Residential Real Property 8 9 Disclosure Report have been updated based on this information? 10 11 MR. McCARTHY: Object to form and foundation. Calls for speculation. 12 13 BY THE WITNESS: 14 If the water didn't hit the Gonrings' 15 unit, the Residential Real Property Disclosure wouldn't address that. If this is a common element, 16 17 I think the Residential Real Property Disclosure 18 excludes common elements. 19 MS. OSHANA: Fair enough. 20 BY MS. OSHANA: 21 Q. How about the 22.1, should it have been 22 disclosed? 23 Α. Yes. In any of these real estate 24 transactions if something is different from it was on

the day of the contract, I think it triggers a duty to disclose. As a matter of fact, in the form e-mails that we send to our sellers when we're in receipt of a contract, is a line item that says please advise our office if you become aware of any changes to the Residential Real Property Disclosure prior to closing, because you should have a duty to disclose that. It says that in our form e-mails to our clients.

Q. It seems, based on this, that John Gorr who's the President of the HOA was also the one that signed 22.1 was anticipating future work.

Do you see that?

A. I would agree.

MR. McCARTHY: Objection. Form. And it's a mischaracterization.

BY MS. OSHANA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.4

Q. If there is anticipated future work that is -- If the President of the Association anticipates future work, should they disclose that on the 22.1?

MR. McCARTHY: Object to form. Foundation.

22 Calls for speculation. It's an incomplete

23 recitation.

MR. GOOD: Join in those objections.

Page 120 1 THE WITNESS: Just to say, you guys are noting 2 objections for the record. I'm still free to answer 3 the question, or should I not answer the question? MR. GOOD: You should answer the question unless 4 instructed not to. 5 BY THE WITNESS: 6 7 Yeah, I would agree with that. Α. BY MS. OSHANA: 8 9 O. You've obviously represented a lot of sellers, correct? 10 11 Α. Yes. And you've obviously received a lot of 12 Q. 13 22.1 reports, isn't that fair? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And as a real estate attorney you actually 16 help people, especially with small associations, help them do the 22.1, isn't that right? 17 18 Yeah, they'll have questions, and we'll 19 answer questions, yes. 20 Okay. If you had seen this e-mail and you Ο. 21 were representing the seller, what would you have 22 done differently? What would you have done? Listen, our cardinal rule, and I don't 23 think it's the Cardinal rule for everybody, but if 24

Page 121 there's ever a question, you always disclose. 1 2 Whether you walk that fine line, hey, well, I think 3 this might be exempt, I don't think I need to disclose. My -- Inevitably I will let it be the 4 5 client's decision. But my suggestion always is disclose. Because by disclosing you can put them on 6 7 notice, yes, you may lose a buyer, you may lose a buyer, but it's also gonna circumvent the possibility 8 9 that a year after closing you get notice that you didn't disclose something that you should have 10 11 disclosed. It's our cardinal rule. You're gonna get 12 the same answer from everybody in this firm that does 13 real estate. But that's our take on it. Other 14 attorneys may be different. 15 Ο. Okay. Now, you said that you received some documentation from the Association and meeting 16 17 minutes, right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Which ones? O. 20 In our -- Just the -- The only minutes I Α. 21 have are the May 7, 2018 minutes. 22 That's the only minutes you got? Ο. Yeah. Hold on. Yeah, I don't see any 23 Α. 24 other meeting minutes.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 122 How about this one? Did you ever get this 1 2 It's dated March 19, 2018. We're gonna mark 3 this as Exhibit 21. Gorr 00247. Just review it, 4 please. 5 Α. Yeah, you can scroll down. Okay. 6 Okay. I just want to understand. Q. 7 You never received this March 19, 2018 meeting minutes; is that right? 8 9 Α. It is not in our file. 10 Ο. I'm going to stop the share for one 11 second, please. Can you give me a minute? Um-hum. 12 Α. 13 (WHEREUPON, WE WERE OFF THE 14 RECORD.) 15 MS. OSHANA: I'm back. Can you hear me? 16 THE WITNESS: Yep. 17 BY MS. OSHANA: 18 In your Attorney Review Letter, you asked Ο. 19 for meeting minutes, right? 20 Α. Yeah. 21 Let me go back and make sure I have the 22 correct exhibit number. This should be Exhibit 2. 23 Let me just make sure. Yes, this is Exhibit 2. This 24 is the June 14, 2018 letter.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 123 You asked for the last 12 months 1 2 Association minutes, isn't that right? 3 Α. Yes. And going back, remember the closing was 4 Q. 5 July 25, 2018, these minutes are March 19, 2018. 6 Is that within the last 12 months? 7 Α. Yes. Now -- Now -- I'm sorry. Let's go back to 8 Ο. 9 it, March 19, 2018. Now I understand that you received one set of minutes, and those were dated, I 10 11 believe, May 7, 2018; is that right, May 7, 2018? 12 Α. Hold. Let me pull that back up. May 7, 13 2018. 14 Okay. Now, since you had asked for the Ο. 15 last 12 months of meeting minutes, did you believe that the May 7, 2018 meeting minutes were the only 16 17 meeting minutes that the Association had for the last 18 12 months? 19 Yeah, that would have been what we Α. 20 concluded. 21 Q. Okay. Would this March 19, 2018 meeting minutes, would you consider this important for a 22 buyer, in your experience? 23 24 Α. Yes.

Page 124 MS. OSHANA: Okay. For right now I have nothing further. I might follow up. Why don't we proceed so we don't waste time. MR. GOOD: Who wants to go next? MS. OSHANA: Ross, are you coming next? MR. GOOD: I guess I am. I'll go next. Tom, my name is Ross Good. I represent Mr. Gorr and the Condominium Association. I will do my best to be brief. You'll have to forgive me, I'm going to go a little out of order, but I'll try to continue along the last line of questioning. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOOD:

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Who did you request the meeting minutes Ο. from?
  - Α. From the sellers' attorney.
- 17 Which at the time you understood to be Ο. 18 AIRES' attorney; is that correct?
  - Yes, Ms. Wilkins, via the letter, via our Attorney Review Letter.
  - Q. At any time did you reach out to John Gorr for the Condominium Association, to your knowledge?
    - Α. Not to my knowledge.
    - I'm going to attempt to show you -- an a Q.

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 125 exhibit directly. 1 2 Are you able to see the July 13th e-mail 3 which has previously been marked as Deposition Exhibit 20? 4 5 Α. Yeah. We just went through this one, 6 right? Yes. 7 Ο. 8 Α. Okay. 9 O. One of the sentences reads, Being that it has been documented as a building issue, this 10 11 escrowed money would cover Unit 3 -- No. 3's portion 12 of future work. 13 Do you see that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And it's your understanding that Unit -that the issue is the one that was disclosed on the 16 17 Seller Disclosure Form that was discussed earlier in 18 your deposition; is that correct? 19 Α. Right. 20 According to the paragraph above, the Ο. 21 sentence I'm highlighting reads, After Arrow Masonry 22 came back and applied a second layer of sealant at the entire west-facing wall above the third-floor 23 24 windows and they applied the same elastomeric sealant

to the capstones, do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And then it continues, I have a moisture meter and will be monitoring the moisture levels in the wood over the next few weeks. I'll also be looking at if there are any other areas of water infiltration. I hope the secondary work resolved it for good. The moisture monitoring will help me to determine that.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Based on your review of this e-mail, is it your understanding that Mr. Gorr believed that the moisture issue had been resolved but he was going to continue monitoring to see if a further moisture issue emerged?
- MS. OSHANA: Object to foundation. Thank you. BY THE WITNESS:
- A. In isolation looking at this, yeah, I think you could draw that inference. I guess I say in isolation because that doesn't -- that wouldn't jibe with the March -- the meeting minutes that I don't think we received.
- 24 BY MR. GOOD:

- And those meeting minutes were from March 0. of 2018, correct?
  - Α. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Okay. And draw your attention further Q. down the page. I'm going to go through the timeline that is laid out in the Gorr e-mail that we're still discussing. On March 6, 2018, Bral contract to do exploratory work to determine the scope of work for repairs. May 3, 2018 Bral's conclusion from exploratory work, need to grind/tuckpoint and add elastomeric sealant. May 7, 2018, Arrow's proposal for grind/tuckpoint and add elastomeric sealant to all sides of building and inside parapet. And, finally, on June 4, 2018, Arrow concluded the work. Do you see that?

- Α. Yep.
- So based on that, is it your understanding Ο. that the work was concluded on June 4, 2018?
  - Α. No. I wouldn't agree with that.
- Q. Okay.
- 21 Α. And this is why.
- 22 Please. 0.
- 23 Had I been privy to this letter, there's Α. 24 no way that I would have advised Melinda to move

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 128 forward without getting a copy of the ESI Engineering 1 2 Report, without getting a report from building 3 doctor, not getting a copy of the Bral contract. So these are -- These are notes promulgated between unit 4 owners. And what I have come to discover over the 5 years of doing this is that anything that's going to 6 7 be memorialized, knowing it is memorialized can sugarcoat things. Maybe not intentionally. I'm not 8 9 saying that there's always an intent to deceive. had we been privy to this, I 100 percent can assure 10 11 you that the follow up would have been you need to 12 get me copies of those reports, and then you would 13 let us make a determination, A, do we feel 14 comfortable in that this issue has been resolved. 15 And looking back at your records, the 22.1 16 Disclosure, what day did that occur? 17 I can pull it up if necessary. 18 Α. I got it right here. It's saved in my 19 file as June 29th. 20 What information, if any, do you believe Ο. 21 is inaccurate on that disclosure as you sit here now? Well, I --22 Α. 23 MR. McCARTHY: Object to form. Calls for

24

speculation.

Page 129 1 BY THE WITNESS: 2 Α. Yeah, it would be hard for me to answer 3 that. I would love to see the ESI Engineering Report, right, and then let us draw a conclusion as 4 5 to whether or not they think that the issues have been adequately resolved. 6 7 MR. GOOD: Okay. BY MR. GOOD: 8 9 Ο. And I believe you said earlier you would 10 want to see the ESI Engineering Report, the Bral 11 restoration, um --We would have asked for copies of all of 12 Α. 13 those. 14 All three of those? Ο. 15 Α. Yes. Right. 16 Q. And you never reached out to John Gorr or 17 the Condominium Association, correct? 18 Α. Not that I can recall. 19 Okay. Did you review the buyer's O. 20 inspection report? 21 Α. In this particular situation, I don't -- I 22 don't know. I think I had said this earlier, that we

24 requests. But when they have specific questions or,

23

will largely defer to the buyers on their inspection

- 1 hey, Tom, can you pull up this section and let me
- 2 know your thoughts, we're happy to dive into that.
- 3 But by and large we look to the buyers to say, hey,
- 4 | you let me know what your inspection requests are,
- 5 and if you have questions we're happy to talk about
- 6 it. You know, sometimes, hey, is this reaching, is
- 7 | this something that's fair game? So it's a little of
- 8 those conversations.
- 9 Q. Do you recall offhand if the buyer's
- 10 | inspection report found any water damage in a common
- 11 | area basement?
- 12 A. No, I don't.
- 0. And if water damage was uncovered in a
- 14 | buyer inspection report, would it be your custom and
- 15 | practice to disclose it to the seller?
- 16 A. I guess it depends on the buyer. I mean,
- obviously we're not going to do that without consent
- 18 of the buyer. So it's going to be up to the buyer.
- 19 MR. GOOD: I have no further questions.
- 20 MR. McCARTHY: I have just a couple of follow
- 21 ups.
- 22 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. McCARTHY:
- Q. I understood you to say, and want to

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

confirm, that the Gonrings have no duty to disclose for their Unit No. 1 moisture on a window in Unit No. 3; is that correct?

- A. You know what, what unit number is this?
- O. Gonrings are Unit 1. Gorr is Unit 3.
- A. Yeah, the Residential Real Property
  Disclosure, you know, if I've looked at it, I'm
  fairly certain that it says that the disclosure is
  not intended to cover common elements of the
  building.
  - Q. It is limited to the unit, correct?
- A. Correct. I'm just trying to pull up the actual language.
  - Q. It says at the bottom, note, these disclosures are not intended to cover the common elements of the condominium.
  - A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. But only the actual residential real property, including limited common elements allocated to the exclusive use thereof that form an integral part of the condominium unit.
- A. Right.
- Q. These disclosures are intended to reflect the current condition of the premises and do not

include previous problems, if any, that the seller reasonably believes have been corrected.

And that relates to their own specific unit, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And that's what -- That's the advice you give your clients when they are sellers, correct?
- A. Well, not necessarily. I think it comes full circle again that -- if there's a building issue, I would let them know, hey, you don't have to disclose buildings issues, per se, but I would always advise doing that. That's how -- That's how we handle it.
- Q. Understood. But the duty to disclose as contained in the disclosure relates only to and exclusively to the actual unit. It doesn't relate to other units or other common elements.
- A. Correct. That's my interpretation of it as well.
- Q. Now, with respect to counsel's questions about the Gonrings' e-mails to Amanda and Sarah, as we reviewed in my earlier questions, it was no secret that there were two transactions that were going to take place in this situation, one from the Gonrings

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

to AIRES, and one from AIRES to Melinda, correct?

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. So we have another side of this transaction which is between AIRES and the Gonrings, don't we?
- A. Right. Right.
- Q. And so if the Gonrings were agreeing as between themselves and AIRES that they were going to provide a \$3,000 concession, you would expect there to be discussions between the Gonrings and AIRES in that regard, wouldn't you, in a relocation setting, fair?
- A. I mean, I would say that that would be very -- That's not something that I would have thought was happening.
- MS. McAULIFFE: Objection to form. Sorry, I couldn't get my hand on the mute.
- 18 BY MR. McCARTHY:
  - Q. But you anticipate that there's a Purchase Agreement -- We reviewed this earlier in my earlier examination -- there's a Purchase Agreement between AIRES and the Gonrings on the purchase of the unit, right?
    - A. Correct. I would assume so, yes.

- Q. And, therefore, there has to be some discussion between someone about what the terms of that transaction are or if there are going to be changes to that, for example, a \$3,000 concession, fair?
  - A. Between AIRES and the Gonrings?
- 7 Q. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. No. That's not how -- That's not how I would have thought that this transaction was being handled. The \$3,000 credit -- My understanding as to how these work is if somebody is going to give a \$3,000 credit, AIRES is the one that determines whether or not they're getting a \$3,000 credit, not the Gonrings.
- Q. Well, maybe the Gonrings were just misapprehending that as people who weren't familiar with that process.
- You would concede that too, wouldn't you?
- 19 MS. OSHANA: Objection, form.
- MS. McAULIFFE: Objection, foundation.
- 21 BY THE WITNESS:
- A. I don't -- I don't think that I would. I don't think that I would.

24

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

BY MR. McCARTHY:

- Q. Why not? I'm not tracking.
- A. Our correspondence is between us and AIRES, and I think our correspondence makes that very clear. It's addressed. AIRES is littered on the attorney review correspondence. I guess if you're saying is it fair to conclude that the Gonrings felt they were still part of this transaction, I can't get into their heads, but I would say they shouldn't have.
  - Q. Yeah, and I'm not asking you to get in their heads. Let --

We'll just conclude on, you understood, your office understood, your file reflects there are two transactions in this case; one between the Gonrings and AIRES, and another between AIRES and your client, fair?

- A. Two separate transactions, yes.
- Q. You contemplate two different Purchase Agreements, and the one side of that that you're focused on is Melinda's side, right?
- A. Correct. I would agree with that.

MR. McCARTHY: Thank you much. That's all I have.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 136 MS. OSHANA: Let me ask this in sum, Counsel. 1 2 Sorry, Mr. Hawbecker. 3 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. OSHANA: 4 Do you believe after reviewing the meeting 5 minutes that I've shown you and the correspondence 6 between the Gonrings and AIRES' attorney, do you 7 believe that there was not full disclosure to you as 8 9 per your Attorney Review Letters? MR. McCARTHY: Object to lack of foundation, and 10 11 a misrepresentation of the testimony. 12 MS. McAULIFFE: Join in that. 13 BY THE WITNESS: 14 Yes, I believe -- I would state that there 15 was nondisclosure. BY MS. OSHANA: 16 17 There was not disclosure by whom? Q. 18 Α. By both parties. 19 AIRES and the Gonrings? 0. 20 Yeah. Α. 21 MS. OSHANA: Thank you. Nothing further. 22 MR. GOOD: I have nothing further as well. 23 MS. OSHANA: Okay. So we'll be back at 2.4 1 o'clock.

Page 137 MR. McCARTHY: I've got one last question in 1 2 light of that question by counsel. 3 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. McCARTHY: 4 5 Again, the Gonrings have no duty to 6 disclose as to Unit 1 water or moisture on the window 7 in Unit 3, right? 8 Only as it pertains to the Residential Α. 9 Real Property Disclosure. Not as it pertains to our questions as highlighted in the attorney review 10 11 correspondence. 12 MR. McCARTHY: Thank you. No further questions. 13 MS. McAULIFFE: I have no further questions 14 either. So we'll being back at 1:00? 15 MR. McCARTHY: Sounds good. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you all. 17 (WHEREUPON, WE WERE OFF THE 18 RECORD AT 12:19 P.M.) 19 20 21 22 23 2.4

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 138 1 CERTIFICATE 2 OF 3 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 4 I, Trudy G. Gordon, a Certified Shorthand 5 6 Reporter of the State of Illinois, CSR License No. 7 084-004077, do hereby certify: 8 That previous to the commencement of the 9 examination of the aforesaid witness, the witness was duly sworn by me to testify the whole truth 10 11 concerning the matters herein; 12 That the foregoing deposition transcript 13 was stenographically reported by me and was 14 thereafter reduced to typewriting under my personal 15 direction and constitutes a true and accurate record of the testimony given and the proceedings had at the 16 17 aforesaid deposition; 18 That the said deposition was taken before 19 me at the time and place specified; 20 That I am not a relative or employee or 21 attorney or counsel for any of the parties herein, 22 nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel for any of the parties hereto, nor am I 23 24 interested directly or indirectly in the outcome of

Page 139 this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my hand at Chicago, Illinois, this 3rd day of June, 2023. andy H. Hodon TRUDY G. GORDON, CSR CSR License No. 084-004077 

[& - 29th] Page 1

<b>&amp; &amp;</b> 1:9 3:2 80:15	<b>122</b> 5:14 <b>124</b> 4:7	<b>18th</b> 20:9 <b>19</b> 5:12,14	115:5,7,9 117:9 121:21
84:13 0 000123 5:11	125 103:8 108:5 12:19 137:18 12th 24:5	107:11 115:3 122:2,7 123:5 123:9,21 <b>1974</b> 8:10	122:2,7,24 123:5,5,9,11,11 123:13,16,21 127:2,7,9,11,14
102:14 <b>000125</b> 107:15 <b>00239</b> 115:8 <b>00247</b> 122:3	<b>13</b> 5:6 71:15 76:17 98:14 115:5,7,9	1:00 137:14 1:19 1:6	127:18 <b>2019</b> 113:14 <b>2023</b> 1:19
<b>0024</b> 7 122:3 <b>05684</b> 1:6 <b>084-004077</b> 3:24 138:7 139:11	130 4:8 136 4:9 137 4:10 13th 125:2	2 4:15 18:3 122:22,23 2,208 79:20 20 4:16 5:13	139:4 <b>21</b> 4:17 5:14 122:3 <b>22.1</b> 5:7 77:11
1 1 2:8 4:14 13:16 17:5 19:4 70:13	14 5:7 78:6 122:24 1445 94:9 14th 18:1 15 5:8 40:14	11:5 39:13 40:14 66:22 83:11,13 110:13,13,14 111:10 115:4	77:16,17 79:15 79:18 82:13,18 96:18 118:21 119:12,20 120:13,17
71:23 91:24 113:10 131:2,5 136:24 137:6 <b>1,400</b> 40:13 <b>10</b> 4:23 54:10	52:13 66:22 74:2,7 80:23 81:24 99:10 103:12 <b>15th</b> 74:15	117:19 125:4 <b>200</b> 2:3 <b>2002</b> 8:24 <b>2003</b> 9:6,21 <b>2012</b> 84:9	128:15 22.1. 78:7 22nd 21:17 23 4:18 23rd 1:18
54:11 55:14 118:4 <b>100</b> 128:10 <b>102</b> 5:11	16 5:9 88:20 112:16 16,000 84:18 16,840 84:19	<b>2012</b> 84:9 <b>2014</b> 117:2 <b>2018</b> 5:12,13,14 24:5 26:24,24 51:19 52:22	25 4:19 107:14 107:16 112:17 113:10 117:9 123:5
<b>107</b> 5:12 <b>11</b> 5:2 64:9,10 65:12 118:2,5 <b>115</b> 5:13	<b>1600</b> 3:3 <b>161</b> 3:2 <b>17</b> 4:14 5:10 94:19	61:24 62:8 64:16 73:12,13 73:21 74:24 80:11,22 82:8	25th 62:7 26 4:20 27,477.10 114:8 2726 13:10,15
<b>11602</b> 8:14 <b>12</b> 5:4 65:13 103:11 118:3 123:1,6,15,18	<b>1741</b> 139:9 <b>18</b> 4:15 5:11 56:1,2,4 74:2 102:9	84:7 95:24 107:12,16 112:17 113:10 113:10,13	29 80:23 29th 80:20 128:19

## [2nd - accomplishes]

Page 2

<b>2nd</b> 25:4,11	<b>40</b> 58:1,4	<b>64</b> 5:2	9
3	<b>44</b> 4:21	<b>65</b> 5:4	9 4:22 49:19
<b>3</b> 4:16 5:13	<b>49</b> 4:22	<b>6a</b> 60:20	54:9
20:10 60:23,24	<b>49503</b> 2:19	<b>6th</b> 56:2	<b>94</b> 5:10
61:10,15 82:22	5	7	<b>95</b> 57:3
84:3 96:2	<b>5</b> 4:18,20 5:12	<b>7</b> 4:20 26:7	<b>98</b> 4:6
117:2 125:11	13:11 23:19	44:1 49:20,22	<b>9:00</b> 1:18
127:9 131:3,5	26:23 72:18,21	50:4 56:4	<b>9:46</b> 34:15
137:7	76:20 87:7	64:16 80:11	<b>9a</b> 20:23,23
<b>3's</b> 116:11	107:12	82:8 84:7	a
117:13 125:11	<b>50</b> 57:24	121:21 123:11	
<b>3,000</b> 27:20	<b>51</b> 4:5	123:11,12,16	<b>a.d.</b> 1:19
77:3 87:8	<b>54</b> 4:23	127:11	<b>a.m.</b> 1:18
103:8 108:4	<b>55</b> 2:18	<b>7-2</b> 4:19	ability 21:11
133:9 134:4,10	<b>5th</b> 26:6	<b>7-25-18</b> 89:1	able 17:15
134:12,13	6	<b>7-3</b> 4:19	27:22 73:1
<b>30</b> 88:10		<b>7-6-18</b> 27:2	116:7 125:2
<b>300</b> 2:19	6 4:4,19 13:11	<b>71</b> 5:6	above 64:24
312-244-6700	25:6 26:24	<b>78</b> 5:7	115:22 125:20
2:10	60:17,17 127:7	<b>7th</b> 76:21	125:23
312-404-8390	6-14-2018 4:15	8	absolutely
2:4	<b>6-18</b> 4:16 20:12		28:20 68:12
312-899-6626	6-22 4:17	8 4:21 8:10	78:20
3:4	6-29 5:8	44:2,3 73:12	accept 101:10
<b>3200</b> 2:9	6/7 64:4	73:21	110:17
<b>33</b> 2:2	<b>60</b> 35:9 39:19	80 5:8 35:12	acceptable
<b>36,537.69</b> 89:18	39:19 56:14	38:13,13 39:11	95:10
<b>3rd</b> 25:5,9,12	58:4	39:17,20,20,20	acceptance
139:3	60527 8:14	39:21	72:20 73:11
4	60601 3:3	<b>85</b> 52:10	99:13
_	60602 2:3	<b>88</b> 5:9	accepted 84:23
4 4:17 21:18	<b>60606-3610</b> 2:9	8e 20:23,24	97:2,3 accessible
127:14,18	61 44:15	8th 73:20 74:1	85:24
<b>4,525.91</b> 113:9	616-235-3500	75:20	
	2:20		accomplishes
			95:13

## [accordance - alta]

Page 3

accordance 7:4	135:5	61:23 68:6,10	31:1,3,7,9 32:8
accurate	addresses	75:17 76:7	36:20 37:10,21
138:15	77:18 86:18	82:24 97:9,12	39:1,7 41:4,5
accustomed	addressing	102:1 103:7	41:12 42:1
58:13	101:5	109:24 110:6	44:16 45:8
acronym 93:24	adequately	119:14 120:7	46:2,14,19
<b>act</b> 13:3,6	129:6	127:19 135:22	47:4,8,9,13,20
82:14	advance 56:22	agreed 27:2	48:3 55:14
<b>action</b> 61:13	57:5,12 85:17	60:11 62:15	56:2 59:19,19
81:10 139:1	87:21 89:22	84:23 85:9	65:3,9,10,16
active 96:3	advice 132:6	agreeing 75:7	66:4 72:3,8,8
<b>actual</b> 44:19	advise 119:5	133:7	74:12 75:13,15
85:12 131:13	132:12	agreement 5:6	76:6,20 82:20
131:18 132:16	advised 116:16	6:5,6 7:2 26:1	89:9 93:4,18
actually 34:13	127:24	54:16 60:1,2	93:23,23 94:14
47:9 57:2 81:7	aeunc 81:8	60:10 71:15,18	95:12,12 96:23
86:2 90:19	<b>affects</b> 116:13	71:22 73:5,6	96:23 97:5,5
94:5 99:3	affidavit 57:8	73:16 75:13,14	98:23 99:8
110:22 120:15	91:5	75:16 76:19	102:17 107:22
add 127:10,12	affirmatively	80:14,15 87:8	108:1 109:13
addendum	31:2 58:23	108:3 133:20	111:17,19
26:13,19 75:13	aforesaid 138:9	133:21	112:1 113:21
76:1,15,18	138:17	agreements	113:24 114:12
77:1,2 87:7	<b>agent</b> 15:2 63:7	59:24 66:24	118:3,3 124:18
108:1,4	63:13,15,16	89:8 96:22	133:1,1,4,8,10
addendums	64:19 65:3,16	135:20	133:22 134:6
12:10 53:1	81:9,20 110:15	<b>ahead</b> 17:20	134:12 135:4,5
89:9	113:21	19:6 51:6 59:6	135:16,16
addition 75:12	agents 63:6	103:5 115:20	136:7,19
address 8:13	81:12,18	aires 1:8 2:15	<b>alert</b> 31:17
46:23 48:4	<b>ago</b> 13:12,19	15:7 20:7 21:1	alleging 44:6
82:23 103:10	14:19 32:22	23:6,11 24:14	allocated
103:11 118:16	33:4 70:10	24:23 25:22	131:19
addressed	<b>agree</b> 6:8,9,10	27:9 28:2,3,14	<b>alta</b> 94:15
83:23 96:7	6:11,13 41:20	29:7,23 30:14	

## [amanda - attached]

Page 4

7.70.40		10.2	
amanda 53:18	anticipated	areas 10:2	assessments
65:15 102:17	80:4 96:19	116:3 126:6	78:2
103:20 107:16	119:18	ariana 5:8	assessor's
132:21	anticipates	80:24	31:18
amendment	119:19	<b>arm's</b> 111:20	association 3:6
87:14	anticipating	arrangement	9:14,17 53:2
amendments	119:12	32:8	71:19 77:9,10
89:8	<b>anyway</b> 110:17	<b>arrow</b> 80:14,15	77:21 78:12
american 1:7	apparently	84:12 115:21	103:13,18
2:14 12:3	40:23,24 41:2	125:21 127:14	117:10 119:19
64:20	75:15	<b>arrow's</b> 127:11	121:16 123:2
amicable	appearances	articulates	123:17 124:8
105:19	2:1	99:11	124:22 129:17
amiss 97:23	appeared 2:6	<b>asap</b> 108:10	associations
amount 73:4	2:14,22 3:6	<b>asked</b> 19:1,20	120:16
79:19 89:16,21	appears 64:4	20:2 37:21	assume 26:16
ancillary 93:13	72:16 75:18	38:1 41:13	34:9 36:21
annual 11:12	applicable 7:4	48:3,8,9,12	45:5,24 61:17
<b>answer</b> 7:14,16	91:7 94:10	50:5 62:10,19	67:2 76:1
7:21 22:12	applied 58:23	66:5 74:13	81:11 113:23
39:21 47:17	115:21,23	122:18 123:1	133:24
58:14 66:6	125:22,24	123:14 129:12	assumed 32:19
79:24 80:6	approach	asking 7:15	42:14 106:17
100:14 103:23	36:23 89:13	13:20 14:19	109:1
106:7 110:15	105:5	22:6 36:22	assuming 23:4
120:2,3,4,19	appropriate	46:4,19 49:1	33:5,9 45:4
121:12 129:2	72:14	73:10 106:16	49:10,11 57:8
answered 30:9	approval 99:14	135:11	73:24 81:7
49:5	<b>approve</b> 74:3,3	asks 55:7 60:20	87:10 103:22
answers 21:13	99:18	80:3	assumption
27:14 46:6	approximately	assessed 53:4	73:14
47:7,19 49:14	38:13 39:11,17	assessment	<b>assure</b> 128:10
anticipate 7:14	39:21	77:13 78:2	attached 26:13
79:2 106:2	area 59:22	79:3 80:5	64:2 83:4
133:19	115:17 130:11		103:9,18

[attempt - block] Page 5

	I		1	
attempt 46:6	138:22	<b>bad</b> 111:8	50:15 52:2	
124:24	attorneys 4:18	balance 57:23	102:8 105:21	
<b>attend</b> 51:15	15:16,18 24:1	<b>bank</b> 77:12	106:23 108:13	
71:10	72:19 74:18	<b>bar</b> 9:14,17	117:19 123:11	
attended 51:18	91:20 100:19	<b>barrier</b> 46:8,10	123:15 128:20	
89:4	105:19,21	<b>base</b> 40:10	129:9 136:5,8	
attends 71:11	107:21 109:19	<b>based</b> 32:18	136:14	
71:11	121:14	33:21 34:2	believed 105:4	
attention 68:7	atypical 31:12	116:24 117:18	111:17,19	
127:4	71:8 88:5	118:9 119:10	126:13	
attorney 6:7	authority 25:18	126:12 127:17	believes 83:9	
9:4 12:23 14:4	avenue 2:18	basement	108:15 132:2	
14:11,23 15:6	<b>aware</b> 42:12	130:11	<b>bell</b> 11:22	
15:7,22 16:9	43:11 60:20	basically 92:18	<b>belt</b> 55:11	
18:14 27:14	103:19 104:4	112:21	beneficial	
30:8 41:22	107:24 119:5	basing 28:7	31:16	
42:4 43:15	b	33:4,7,9,20	benefit 77:15	
44:7,17 45:6	<b>b</b> 4:11	<b>basis</b> 11:12	78:10 101:24	
46:5 47:21	back 23:3 27:1	50:17 86:23	<b>best</b> 58:9 90:10	
48:22 51:22	34:22 37:7	<b>bates</b> 102:15	116:21 124:9	
53:1,1 62:17		107:14 115:8	better 26:3	
72:21 73:22	45:18 49:3	<b>beginning</b> 6:6	<b>big</b> 10:11 105:8	
76:23 86:12	51:19 54:6	54:2 59:16	<b>bill</b> 57:8 91:5	
93:7,12,14	71:2 88:13,17	71:3 104:1,3	113:17	
98:5 99:11,13	90:23 92:9,23	<b>begins</b> 73:21	<b>bills</b> 53:5	
99:17 100:2	93:2 98:11	<b>behalf</b> 2:6,14	binding 100:3	
103:23 104:5,6	107:4 112:15	2:22 3:6 6:12	100:8,14	
104:16 105:22	115:19,21	79:16 94:13	birth 8:9	
105:23 106:2	122:15,21	97:18 105:15	<b>bit</b> 34:12 35:1	
106:12 108:15	123:4,8,12	105:16 107:21	50:2 71:6	
110:15 120:15	125:22 128:15	<b>believe</b> 9:7,17	81:17	
122:18 124:16	136:23 137:14	9:18 13:7	<b>block</b> 67:19	
124:18,20	backed 115:18	16:22 28:1	68:1,8,21,24	
135:6 136:7,9	background	36:19 39:8	69:5,12,21	
137:10 138:21	18:16 116:20	43:24 48:11	70:14,22,23	
10,110,100,21			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Variant Lagal Colutions				

[block - certain] Page 6

84:16 85:5,8	127:13 128:2	130:9	cardinal
<b>blush</b> 109:7	131:10 132:9	<b>buyers</b> 10:15	120:23,24
<b>board</b> 79:16	<b>buildings</b> 67:7	40:8 53:19	121:11
boilerplate	132:11	60:15 73:7	care 67:11 85:7
18:12	<b>bunch</b> 102:16	74:2 116:19	career 11:8,11
bottleneck	<b>burr</b> 8:12,13,14	129:23 130:3	<b>carol</b> 2:4 6:9
107:23	business 10:10	<b>buying</b> 45:18	14:8,10 28:5
<b>bottom</b> 105:12	72:21,23 73:23	<b>buys</b> 103:7	28:18,19 29:6
114:3 131:14	74:19 99:12	<b>bylaws</b> 77:12	29:11 39:5
<b>box</b> 64:24	<b>busy</b> 10:13	c	51:8 98:4
brakes 97:15	<b>buy</b> 81:21	<b>c</b> 81:1	114:20
<b>bral</b> 127:7	<b>buyer</b> 12:19	<b>c.s.r.</b> 3:23	case 12:20
128:3 129:10	14:16 31:24	caitlin 2:10 6:8	13:18 14:14,18
<b>bral's</b> 127:9	35:3 38:15	call 7:5 18:14	15:16 16:3
<b>break</b> 7:23,24	39:12 40:1,3,7	46:23 100:2,10	23:17 29:12
8:2 34:12	40:11 50:11	100:12,22	37:15 38:21
88:18	53:18 56:1,3,9	100:12,22	43:18 51:10
<b>briarwood</b> 8:14	56:19 57:20	112:17	88:7 91:23
<b>brick</b> 67:8,14	58:18 59:12,13	called 6:17	111:13,13
67:23	60:3,7 61:9,13	67:19 77:9,11	135:15
<b>brief</b> 124:9	61:24 64:23	88:22 91:20	<b>casey</b> 2:13
<b>bring</b> 89:18	65:3 72:23	93:1	106:5 107:1
<b>brow</b> 107:8	75:23 76:9	<b>calling</b> 101:23	108:17 109:13
<b>budget</b> 77:12	77:24 78:15	calls 108:19	109:21 110:7
92:5	89:9 93:18	109:11 110:23	111:1
<b>building</b> 60:24	96:1 108:7	118:12 119:22	category 94:23
61:14 68:7	112:22 113:4,8	128:23	caulking 84:14
69:12 70:5,13	115:18 117:12	<b>campau</b> 2:18	caused 44:17
70:13,20,21	117:12 121:7,8	capital 77:20	117:6
71:24 78:3	123:23 130:14	77:22 79:2,20	caveat 83:2
80:16 82:23	130:16,18,18	80:4 94:11	cc 81:5
84:4,9 96:8	<b>buyer's</b> 73:3	96:19	<b>cc'd</b> 102:21
100:7,13	81:9 93:10	capstones	<b>cc'ing</b> 107:17
116:10,23,24	103:6 105:22	115:24 126:1	certain 131:8
117:10 125:10	108:4 129:19	110.2 . 120.1	

#### [certainly - commencement]

Page 7

certainly 7:24	<b>civil</b> 1:16	<b>close</b> 77:3	112:18 117:8,9
16:14 22:4	<b>claim</b> 116:22	<b>closed</b> 89:12	119:7 121:9
63:17 81:21	116:24	95:24 97:20,20	123:4
93:8 107:4	<b>claims</b> 19:21	103:9	closings 10:13
certificate 3:24	22:19 37:5	<b>closer</b> 92:15,17	10:16,18 11:3
138:1	clarified 7:17	closing 11:1	12:2,22 15:19
certified 1:14	clarify 7:18	12:16,23 14:23	15:20 16:1,2
138:3,5	32:3 33:17	15:4,7,10,14	16:10 34:24
certifies 94:6	67:18 101:4	31:13,20,23	39:13 40:14
certify 138:7	112:8	32:13 34:1	51:16,19 52:9
cetera 24:13	clark 3:2	38:10,18,23	52:22 91:15
29:5 53:7	<b>clause</b> 31:17	40:18,18 41:8	92:1 100:20,21
chance 29:1	<b>clean</b> 41:24	41:8,20 42:1,9	105:19,22
change 16:11	42:6	44:7 50:8	111:12
31:23	<b>clear</b> 58:16	51:23 53:5,6	closure 92:3
changes 24:20	101:9 110:1	56:15,22,23	clothes 62:13
27:1 119:6	135:5	57:1,5,6,7,9,10	cmcauliffe 2:11
134:4	<b>client</b> 13:20	57:12,12,13,13	<b>code</b> 94:8
charges 89:17	23:12 29:1	57:15 58:11,17	<b>coin</b> 23:14
<b>check</b> 114:11	41:17,19 42:6	59:2,10 71:10	<b>come</b> 15:21
114:12	43:15 62:11	71:11,12 85:12	28:10 49:5
checks 91:11	66:17 70:19	85:15,17,18,20	69:23 105:15
chicago 2:3,9	75:19 76:20	87:10,16,20,24	113:17 128:5
3:3 9:17 13:16	77:3 81:8 82:4	88:21 89:2,5	<b>comes</b> 23:1,3
67:16 71:19	84:22 86:12,15	89:18 90:1,5,6	28:24,24 66:12
78:16 139:3	86:16 87:9	90:10,13 91:2	92:17 132:8
<b>circle</b> 132:9	88:2,3 95:1	92:5,6,12,14,21	comfort 95:22
circulating	96:24 97:18	93:4,7,10,16,17	comfortable
82:1	101:24 112:7,8	93:21 95:5	95:18,21
circumstances	135:17	97:2,7,8,15,20	128:14
79:4	client's 121:5	97:22 103:8,20	<b>coming</b> 47:19
circumvent	clients 30:11	103:22 104:4,9	84:3 87:9
121:8	66:12 68:1	106:3 107:13	100:6 124:5
<b>city</b> 66:21 67:2	106:11,14	107:13,23	commencem
67:16 68:12,14	119:9 132:7	108:4 111:16	138:8

Veritext Legal Solutions

#### [commencing - contained]

Page 8

commencing	12:18 20:21	concerning	79:14 88:1
1:18	21:3,6 22:13	30:4 138:11	93:18 131:1
comments	27:18 28:12	concession	confirmed
25:13	29:24 31:12,24	133:9 134:4	79:17 96:18
<b>commit</b> 80:14	32:13 33:24	concessions	<b>consent</b> 130:17
commitment	34:24 35:3	116:15 117:11	consider 66:8
23:16,24 24:3	37:5 38:11,15	conclude 135:7	100:10 123:22
24:6,7,14,17,21	39:14 40:3	135:13	considered
31:9 41:16	41:16 45:12	concluded 46:1	99:13
<b>common</b> 60:4	46:8 50:9,10	123:20 127:14	consistent 72:5
71:12 93:3	56:9,10,17,18	127:18	89:7,12
94:24 107:20	57:16,21 58:19	conclusion	constitutes
110:20 111:3	59:11,11,14	83:18 86:19	138:15
118:16,18	60:1,2,6,7 83:6	127:9 129:4	constructed
130:10 131:9	87:16 88:1,4	condition	67:7 69:4,21
131:15,19	90:15 91:16,19	131:24	70:21
132:17	91:23 94:16	conditions 66:8	construction
commonly 71:7	95:3,16,17,17	condo 3:6	67:15 68:2
communicate	95:20 105:16	44:19	contact 12:22
27:3 30:16	109:5 111:20	condominium	21:11 28:11
communicating	complaint 4:21	51:24 69:11,20	36:20 37:8
59:8 106:23	43:18,19,23	70:21 71:16,20	42:10 43:1,12
communication	complete	77:19 78:12,15	46:5 48:4,8,10
44:18,23,24	108:19 109:10	78:21 79:5	48:11 63:4,7
104:17	completed 66:3	82:14 103:13	63:12 105:11
communicati	83:7 94:13	124:8,22	107:20
104:5	compliance	129:17 131:16	contacted 15:7
companies 11:4	79:5	131:21	43:9
11:19,21 13:6	comprised	condominiums	contacting 44:8
23:9 31:19	52:19	22:15,16 66:24	62:21
33:22 35:15,22	concede 97:14	69:4	contain 82:8
36:9,16 43:9	134:18	<b>condos</b> 68:13	85:10,11 87:22
50:7	concern 30:2	confident 108:3	90:3
company 11:1	concerned	confirm 24:9	contained
11:15 12:8,14	41:17 68:2	51:22 71:17	29:19 132:15

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

#### [contemplate - course]

Page 9

	_		
contemplate	contractual	61:20 62:15,21	corrected 83:10
135:19	101:24	63:14 64:14	132:2
context 82:23	controlling	65:1,5 67:4	corresponden
contingency	109:3	69:7 71:9,13	13:24 14:2,12
52:24	convenience	71:21,24 72:1	15:21,23 16:9
continue 22:6	76:18	72:15 77:4	17:18,22 27:1
124:11 126:15	conversation	78:13 79:11,21	28:6 29:7,9,19
continued 3:1	32:23 68:5	80:1,2 82:6	30:22 38:24
5:1	95:15	84:5,10,11,16	42:3,20,23
continues	conversations	84:17,20 85:1	46:13 53:2
126:3	97:8 130:8	87:11 89:6,10	63:10 135:3,4
continuously	conveyance	89:11,14,19	135:6 136:6
46:8	36:22	91:22,22 92:16	137:11
contract 12:10	conveyed 23:5	95:3,4 96:2,6,8	corresponding
12:11 17:10	23:8 41:6	96:9,11,12,14	29:17
23:12 26:14	97:11,16	96:15,16,24	<b>cortez</b> 13:10,16
30:23 32:20	conveying	97:1,5,11 99:5	<b>cost</b> 84:18,19
33:5 34:7 53:4	59:18	99:8,15,23,24	96:16 104:10
53:10 54:1	<b>copies</b> 81:10	100:9,23 101:3	counsel 50:12
64:2 71:16	128:12 129:12	101:11,20,21	62:19 63:5,9
72:20 73:6	<b>copy</b> 13:20	102:6,10,11	63:10 66:5
74:3 75:24	16:23 17:2	106:19,20	74:13 78:15
76:16,24 80:15	43:22 54:1	109:20 110:5	79:9 98:6,13
83:4 87:14	86:3,4 94:14	111:21 113:6	114:18 136:1
98:15,18 99:4	128:1,3	113:12,15	137:2 138:21
99:11,18,19,23	corporation	114:1,2,9,14,15	138:23
100:15,23	1:8 2:16	114:16 115:13	counsel's
101:8,11,20	correct 19:19	120:10 122:22	132:20
104:3 119:1,4	28:16 30:1	124:18 125:18	<b>county</b> 113:9
127:7 128:3	31:11 40:4	127:2,3 129:17	couple 7:11
contractor	46:21 52:2	131:3,11,12	13:19 14:19
96:11 117:4	54:17,22 55:14	132:4,7,18	83:14 99:16
contracts 18:12	55:15,22 56:20	133:1,24	130:20
18:12	56:23,24 57:7	135:22	<b>course</b> 11:8,11
	59:14,15,21		53:22 88:11
L			

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

#### [course - designated]

02.22	_	7 • 7	101
93:22	d	deceived	defendants
<b>court</b> 1:1 5:16	<b>d</b> 4:1	106:24 109:8	1:10
6:3 7:19 94:1	<b>d.b.a</b> 2:15	109:15	<b>defer</b> 66:11
102:10	<b>d.b.a.</b> 1:8	deceiving	129:23
courts 1:17	damage 20:3	109:17,18	defined 71:23
<b>cover</b> 86:22	20:18 22:7	decided 103:7	definitive
116:9,11	130:10,13	decision 121:5	107:22
117:13 125:11	date 7:2 8:9	decisions 27:17	degree 8:19
131:9,15	73:11,12 74:14	104:9	delinquent
covered 15:14	89:1,1 97:20	declaration	24:13
51:23	99:13	77:12 79:6	demand 97:4
covering 15:20	<b>dated</b> 56:2,4	<b>dedicated</b> 67:6	demanding
15:24 16:1,10	64:16 76:19	<b>deed</b> 5:10 31:13	44:18
created 71:18	107:15 112:17	32:4,11,13,16	demeanor
credit 27:20	115:9 122:2	33:8,10,19,23	107:5
77:3 87:8	123:10	34:4 38:17,19	denied 117:1
103:8 108:4	day 1:18 72:18	39:1,6,14 40:4	<b>depends</b> 130:16
113:23 134:10	72:21 73:22	40:6,7 41:3,4,6	deposed 7:8
134:12,13	74:8 75:2,5	41:9 42:1 50:8	deposit 89:16
credits 104:10	89:5 90:1	50:10 56:16,18	<b>deposition</b> 1:13
113:7	97:10 119:1	57:8,19,20	4:13 6:2 7:1,3
<b>cs5760610</b> 1:24	128:16 139:3	58:17,17 59:13	8:7 13:23 14:6
<b>csr</b> 138:6	days 72:23	59:14 91:5	42:24 86:5,19
139:10,11	73:23 74:1,19	94:14,18,22,24	125:3,18
current 44:17	75:7 99:12	95:2,11,12,19	138:12,17,18
47:15 48:17,20	deal 72:7,8	97:3,4,16	depositions
101:7,10	107:23 108:8	<b>deeds</b> 12:17	1:17
131:24	112:2	31:20,22 39:7	describe 88:21
currently 8:11	dealing 24:11	40:18,19 50:8	91:12
<b>custom</b> 130:14	30:6	50:18 56:15	described 71:5
customary 37:7	deals 65:8	58:13 59:10	description
<b>cut</b> 91:11	66:23 85:17	<b>deem</b> 105:2	4:13 24:10
<b>cv</b> 1:6	<b>dearborn</b> 2:2	deeper 21:10	designated
	deceive 128:9	defendant	79:23
	120.7	44:16	

[detail - doing] Page 11

	I		
detail 117:3	46:7,12 47:19	114:18 117:20	division 1:2
detected	47:20 48:7	117:24 118:4,9	<b>docs</b> 93:20
115:17	49:5 57:19	118:15,17	doctor 128:3
determination	66:17 94:24	119:6 125:17	doctorate 8:19
128:13	97:3 125:1	128:16,21	document 18:4
determine	138:24	131:7,8 132:15	18:6 20:11
116:6 117:6	disagree 68:11	136:8,17 137:9	21:19 23:21
126:9 127:8	disburse 91:10	disclosures	25:7 54:5,7
determines	disclose 13:8	13:10 18:18	56:1 60:16
134:12	27:18 44:6	53:9 54:18	71:3 74:22
develop 31:14	83:10,24 106:4	55:2 65:22	76:18 78:8,11
developed 30:5	109:6 119:2,8	66:2 72:6	78:17,20,22
difference 49:7	119:20 121:1,4	73:17 77:6	79:7,14 84:5
different 29:22	121:6,10	83:3 90:18	90:3,20 102:14
42:4 74:9 75:3	130:15 131:1	131:15,23	103:1,2,10
91:14 105:6	132:11,14	discover 128:5	107:14 113:20
107:5 118:24	137:6	discuss 17:17	documentation
121:14 135:19	disclosed 70:8	22:23 29:3	86:22 87:20
differently	118:22 121:11	117:24	121:16
48:19 120:22	125:16	discussed 22:1	documented
<b>dig</b> 21:10	disclosing	48:14,16 50:1	87:13 116:10
digital 52:16	109:19 115:19	80:12 125:17	125:10
85:23 86:1,4	121:6	discussing 25:4	documents
diligence 18:11	disclosure 4:23	117:11 127:7	53:6 90:12,16
diligent 81:15	5:2,4,7 13:3	discussion	90:17,22 91:1
dinged 78:1	53:11,13,15,22	97:22 134:2	91:3 92:18,19
direct 22:11	54:21 55:13	discussions	92:24 93:1,9
44:18,23,24	60:13 61:21	28:1,3 75:8	93:11,13,17
63:9	62:3 63:22	133:10	98:6 103:18
direction	64:11 65:14	distracting	118:6
138:15	77:11 78:4,23	34:21	<b>doing</b> 10:12
directly 12:22	82:18,21 83:5	district 1:1,1	100:19,21
12:24 37:18,21	83:7,8,15,17	1:16	111:6,9,16
38:1 40:8 41:9	84:3 96:4,10	<b>dive</b> 130:2	128:6 132:12
43:10 44:8	96:18 114:18		

#### [dot - examination]

<b>dot</b> 64:12 81:8	117:15,18	emerged	14:23 50:6,7
dotloop 64:12	119:3,8 120:20	126:16	50:12 51:16,19
<b>draft</b> 19:14	125:2 126:12	emphasis 82:18	52:8,9,14
57:13	127:6 132:21	employee	59:22 63:6,7
<b>drank</b> 114:21	earlier 84:5	138:20,22	63:13,15,16
<b>draw</b> 126:20	85:24 90:4	encompass	64:13 67:6
127:4 129:4	105:7 114:17	75:7	71:16 81:12
dropbox 86:20	125:17 129:9	engagement	94:3,4 98:15
duck 88:10	129:22 132:22	53:4	105:1,22 106:3
<b>due</b> 6:1 18:11	133:20,20	engineering	110:11,15
31:15,17 114:4	earnest 73:8	117:3 128:1	111:6,9,12
115:18	easiest 86:21	129:3,10	118:23 120:15
<b>duly</b> 6:14,17	east 84:15	<b>ensure</b> 94:10	121:13
138:10	eastern 1:2	<b>entire</b> 115:22	estimate 58:9
<b>duplex</b> 69:23	<b>ed</b> 11:18	125:23	et 24:13 29:5
<b>duty</b> 13:7 83:10	edification 75:1	entirely 116:12	53:7
83:24 112:13	education 8:18	entirety 24:19	event 85:6
119:1,7 131:1	<b>ein</b> 94:7	99:19	everybody
132:14 137:5	eisenberg 3:2	entity 94:9	120:24 121:12
e	<b>either</b> 41:13,18	<b>escrow</b> 116:9	everyone's
e 4:1,11 5:8,11	74:21 75:9	escrowed	86:18
5:12,13,14	118:5 137:14	116:11 117:13	evidence 50:5
27:3 29:15	elastomeric	125:11	50:11
37:20,24 38:3	115:24 125:24	<b>esi</b> 116:23	<b>exact</b> 20:19
52:3 80:24	127:11,12	117:3 128:1	exactly 9:24
81:1 86:5,14	electronic	129:3,10	14:21 22:20
86:18,22 88:2	55:20 64:13	especially	29:11 57:15
88:2,4 90:8	element 68:16	22:15 45:19	62:9 68:22
102:15 104:20	118:16	49:1 118:6	73:23 84:1
106:22 107:5,8	elements	120:16	108:21
100.22 107.3,8	118:18 131:9	essentially	examination
107.12,13,18	131:16,19	14:11 94:12	4:4,5,6,7,8,9,10
108.15,24	132:17	99:7	6:19 51:11
112:10,11	elevation 84:16	<b>estate</b> 10:11,14	98:9 124:12
112.10,11		10:18 11:1	130:22 133:21

### [examination - file]

136:3 137:3	26:7 44:1,2,3	explain 72:19	101:14,16
138:9	44:11 49:19	77:15	112:19,24
examined 6:18	54:9,11 55:14	explanatory	118:19 120:13
example 40:13	64:8,10 65:12	78:24 79:8	130:7 133:12
113:2,8 134:4	71:15 76:17	exploratory	134:5 135:7,17
<b>excel</b> 112:18,21	78:6 80:23	117:5 127:8,10	<b>fairly</b> 131:8
exceptions 16:8	81:24 88:20	extend 75:7	<b>falls</b> 68:11
24:9,12	94:19 98:13,14	<b>extent</b> 106:10	94:22
exchanged	102:9 107:11	exterior 84:9	familiar 13:2
17:24 21:16	112:16 115:3,4	exteriors 80:16	19:7 81:18
excludes	118:2,3,4,5	84:13	134:16
118:18	122:3,22,22,23	<b>eyes</b> 30:3	<b>far</b> 40:16 77:7
exclusive	125:1,4	f	fashion 107:24
131:20	exhibits 4:13	<b>face</b> 67:8,14,19	feasible 46:24
exclusively	5:16 74:14	68:1,8,13,14,21	<b>february</b> 1:19
132:16	118:1	68:24 69:4,12	federal 1:15
execute 40:7	<b>expect</b> 104:23	69:21 70:14,22	<b>feel</b> 105:18
93:9	133:9	84:16 85:5,8	109:8,15
executed 26:14	expeditious	<b>facilitate</b> 15:23	128:13
26:19 33:23	108:9	facing 60:23	<b>felt</b> 135:7
41:3,5 60:5,7	expenditures	61:10 115:22	<b>file</b> 13:21 14:20
92:20,24 95:19	77:21,22 79:2	125:23	15:19 16:9,18
95:20 97:16	79:20 80:4	<b>fact</b> 33:7 37:10	24:4 25:9
98:16,18	96:19	38:16 95:18	52:15,18,20,21
executing 41:9	experience	111:5 119:2	52:23 53:8,9
<b>exempt</b> 94:12	12:13,21 23:8	<b>failing</b> 44:6	54:5 61:22
121:3	32:18 33:22	fair 16:15	63:23 64:21
<b>exhibit</b> 4:14,15	34:3 43:8	35:10 44:10,20	65:20,23 77:6
4:16,17,18,19	50:20 68:18	58:7,19,20	77:9 79:15
4:20,21,22,23	70:18 85:4	61:15,16 63:11	80:9,17,20
5:2,4,6,7,8,9,10	101:23 108:9	63:16,19,20	81:10 85:10,13
5:11,12,13,14	110:20 123:23	65:10 75:11	85:14,22 86:4
17:5 18:3	experienced	85:2,3 87:17	86:16,20 87:22
20:10 21:18	69:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	90:2 122:9
23:19 25:6		87:18 95:8,23 96:20,21	128:19 135:14
		70.40,41	

[filed - garrett] Page 14

<b>filed</b> 43:18	69:22 90:17,20	<b>foreign</b> 94:2,3	<b>four</b> 8:16 51:20
116:22	fiscal 80:5	94:3,9	franklin 2:8
<b>files</b> 16:13,14	<b>five</b> 32:21,22	<b>forgive</b> 62:16	<b>free</b> 120:2
16:15	33:3 34:13	66:19 124:9	<b>front</b> 52:16
<b>filled</b> 64:6,19	72:22 73:22,23	<b>forgot</b> 43:14	<b>full</b> 85:23 132:9
64:23 65:2	74:8,19 75:2,5	<b>form</b> 54:21	136:8
78:11	75:7 99:12	55:14 71:18	<b>fully</b> 26:19
<b>final</b> 53:6	<b>flag</b> 48:17	82:21 100:17	106:4
finalize 108:5	<b>flags</b> 17:13	100:24 101:5	funding 92:3
finalized	flashing 69:1	101:12 106:5	93:1
108:10	84:15	107:1 108:17	<b>funds</b> 89:16
<b>finally</b> 127:14	<b>floor</b> 69:22	109:21,22	furniture 62:13
financed 77:23	115:23 125:23	110:7 117:22	further 4:8,9
79:3	<b>flow</b> 89:15	118:11 119:2,8	4:10 85:21
<b>find</b> 87:6 91:4	90:14	119:15,21	101:4 103:15
<b>fine</b> 7:7 16:19	<b>flucker</b> 102:17	125:17 128:23	124:2 126:15
30:2 58:15	103:20 107:17	131:20 133:16	127:4 130:19
68:19 121:2	<b>focus</b> 10:3	134:19	130:22 136:3
<b>finish</b> 7:13	<b>focused</b> 135:21	formulate 29:4	136:21,22
fireplace 69:24	focuses 52:8	<b>forth</b> 46:9	137:3,12,13
<b>firm</b> 2:2 9:6,20	<b>folder</b> 52:24	47:21	<b>future</b> 116:9,12
10:2,4,5,8,9,12	73:20 77:8,10	forward 97:17	117:14 119:12
15:18 17:24	85:23	128:1	119:18,20
25:5 31:6	folders 52:21	<b>found</b> 15:1	125:12
51:13 52:5	53:3	103:17 130:10	g
66:8 74:11	<b>follow</b> 124:2	foundation	
86:15 121:12	128:11 130:20	36:11 101:12	<b>g</b> 1:14 3:23 90:8 138:5
<b>firm's</b> 53:22	followed 32:13	104:14 108:18	
55:1 82:1	following 86:4	109:11,22	139:10
<b>firms</b> 11:13,17	<b>follows</b> 6:18	110:23 117:22	gain 82:16
<b>firpta</b> 93:22	forbidden	118:11 119:21	gains 94:11
94:5,12	42:21	126:17 134:20	game 130:7
<b>first</b> 6:17 7:12	foregoing	136:10	garber 15:14
13:17 17:23	138:12	founded 9:20	51:22
24:21 32:12			garrett 107:17

#### [garver - gordon]

garver 90:7	37:7 38:3 40:2	79:3 80:21,23	48:17 51:10
<b>gates</b> 10:11	45:18 51:5,6,7	88:8,21 90:12	53:17 56:10
generally 36:8	52:22,22 55:6	92:1,18 95:2	59:18 60:14
96:15	58:18 59:2,6	98:12,14 99:10	62:1,4,21
geographic	59:12 60:12	106:12 110:10	63:19 64:7,23
66:19	62:12 63:3	111:10 112:15	65:2,9 66:3
getting 20:19	74:7 76:2	112:23 122:10	72:8 83:5,7
27:9,16 28:14	79:11 87:9	123:4 124:10	93:5 95:1,11
41:24 47:6,7	88:12 90:17,20	124:24 126:14	95:19 96:23
78:1 82:22	90:20,21 91:11	127:5 128:6	97:4 104:5,9
87:15,19 109:4	91:18,24 92:2	130:17,18	104:18 105:3,5
128:1,2,3	92:6,23 98:11	132:23 133:8	105:15 106:17
134:13	103:3 112:15	134:3,11	106:22 109:20
<b>give</b> 27:14,19	116:18 122:21	<b>gonna</b> 17:21	111:21 112:1,9
29:1 32:9	123:8 124:4,6	36:21 102:8	115:13 118:14
46:23 49:20	124:10 127:5	121:8,11 122:2	131:1,5 132:21
58:14 86:5	goes 39:12 40:1	<b>gonring</b> 1:9,9	132:24 133:4,7
114:21 122:11	40:11 53:3	2:22 5:11	133:10,22
132:7 134:11	55:19 57:18	24:18,18 32:9	134:6,14,15
<b>given</b> 5:16	58:16 59:9,13	46:16 55:17,24	135:7,16 136:7
22:10 81:11	92:13 114:12	102:14,16,21	136:19 137:5
82:20 85:4	116:22 117:2,6	103:4,19	<b>good</b> 3:4 4:7
103:5 108:1	<b>going</b> 12:23	107:14,16,17	6:11,11 41:24
138:16	13:18 17:2	107:19 108:14	51:4,9 58:14
<b>gives</b> 24:10	19:11 28:13	110:4,4 112:14	62:2 81:15
72:23 95:22	30:9 34:8 36:2	115:10,10	85:5 91:10
112:22	41:14,22 43:5	gonrings 6:13	102:4 107:19
<b>giving</b> 77:3	45:11,18,20	28:3 29:7	116:5 119:24
113:9 116:19	46:13,21 52:4	30:13 32:8,9	120:4 124:4,6
117:11	54:6 56:9,11	37:11,15 39:7	124:7,13 126:8
<b>glance</b> 24:11	58:2 59:17,19	41:4,6,9,12	126:24 129:7,8
<b>go</b> 7:11 8:20	59:20 60:14	42:11,21 43:2	130:19 136:22
17:20 18:22,23	64:8,10 65:7,8	44:9 45:10	137:15
19:5,6 24:16	71:2 72:6	46:7,12,20	gordon 1:14
28:17 34:14	77:23 78:5,6	47:15,19,20	3:23 138:5

#### [gordon - identified]

139:10	<b>halt</b> 15:21	<b>head</b> 7:22	hoa 60:24
<b>gorr</b> 3:6 79:16	<b>hand</b> 23:13	11:18,22	61:14 77:12
115:8,9 119:10	32:5,11 33:10	heads 135:9,12	82:22 84:4
122:3 124:8,21	133:17 139:3	hear 122:15	96:7 115:16
126:13 127:6	handful 93:8	<b>held</b> 33:13	119:11
129:16 131:5	<b>handle</b> 16:12	<b>hello</b> 103:4	<b>hold</b> 35:22 36:9
<b>gosh</b> 11:5	132:13	<b>help</b> 21:24 73:9	121:23 123:12
gotten 25:8	handled 16:15	73:15 116:6	holding 34:8
27:23	16:16 92:4	120:16,16	holiday 103:5
graduate 8:22	116:20 134:10	126:8	<b>home</b> 4:14 17:6
graduated 9:5	<b>handling</b> 16:9	<b>hereto</b> 138:23	66:14 107:24
<b>grand</b> 2:19	16:14	hereunto 139:2	homeowner
<b>great</b> 10:23	<b>hands</b> 108:7	<b>hey</b> 27:17,19	83:12
11:7	handwritten	30:6,9 31:18	homeowners
<b>grew</b> 10:12	60:22	32:9 37:6 41:5	12:22
<b>grind</b> 127:10	<b>hang</b> 36:1,1	42:2 45:9,10	honest 70:4
127:12	<b>happen</b> 11:10	46:5 47:13,17	honestly 9:18
grinding 84:14	41:1 92:12	48:9 49:4 68:1	69:17 76:5
<b>group</b> 71:6	happened	77:20 83:15	<b>hope</b> 116:4
guaranty 4:18	26:10 38:16	91:9 92:9,22	126:7
24:1 91:21	40:22 95:15	105:10 107:6	hopeful 108:2
guess 24:7	97:7 105:9	121:2 130:1,3	<b>hoping</b> 91:9
32:21 34:6	happening	130:6 132:10	<b>hour</b> 92:6,7,7
40:20 71:17	133:15	highest 8:17	<b>hours</b> 91:2
82:19,19 83:2	happens 28:23	highlighted	house 60:21
86:13 97:12	35:4 57:23	137:10	<b>hum</b> 9:9 19:2
105:12 124:6	<b>happy</b> 7:18	highlighting	122:12
126:20 130:16	130:2,5	125:21	hundreds 11:6
135:6	<b>hard</b> 115:18	hire 46:22	34:23
<b>guys</b> 47:13	129:2	<b>hired</b> 116:23	husband 24:19
56:22 120:1	<b>hate</b> 114:20	historically	i
h	hawbecker	83:22	idea 112:22
<b>h</b> 4:11 107:18	1:14 4:3 6:10	<b>hit</b> 116:8	identified
half 67:1 92:6,7	6:10,16,23 7:1	118:14	60:15 61:22
92:8	7:6 98:4 136:2		65:3 66:9
/2.0			05.5 00.7

#### [identified - interest]

	I	I	I
82:21 84:5	inception 56:7	information	inspection 4:14
86:24 96:11,13	incidental	18:20 21:2	16:24 17:3,6,9
96:16	87:15	22:8 27:9,16	17:13,14 18:17
identifies 55:16	incidents 78:1	27:22 28:10,15	27:19 62:12
79:19 84:12	include 38:7	37:9 43:12	66:6,12,16
89:7,15,17	132:1	46:16,20 47:5	68:12 72:22,24
identify 93:24	included 76:17	47:10 54:15	115:20 129:20
identifying	including	63:18 82:16	129:23 130:4
61:9	131:19	85:13,16 90:11	130:10,14
<b>il</b> 5:2,4	incomplete	90:11 103:17	inspector 66:10
illinois 1:1,8	119:22	106:4,11,13	installation
2:3,9,15 3:3	increase 78:2	118:10 128:20	84:15
8:12 9:2 13:3	79:4	informing	instances 11:9
13:16 53:12	indicate 6:5	84:21	instructed
54:21 62:18	36:20,24 46:18	initial 15:6	120:5
63:21 64:10	80:17 86:21,24	18:9 22:9	instructions
65:13 72:18	indicated 54:15	53:19 54:14	92:22
82:13 138:6	54:20 56:13	60:13 74:16	insurability
139:3	77:8 80:8	76:24 109:6	49:2
imagine 27:5	indicates 55:23	initials 53:20	insurance
76:13	79:22 84:8	76:12	19:21 22:18
immune 13:6	indicating	<b>input</b> 25:16	37:5 116:23,23
important	37:14	116:18	insures 41:16
22:14 55:5	indicia 30:24	inquire 70:22	95:17
70:19 77:19	indirectly	<b>inquiry</b> 103:12	insuring 95:21
78:4,19,21	138:24	ins 89:16	integral 131:20
82:11 83:1,21	induced 44:7	<b>inside</b> 127:13	intended 131:9
123:22	inevitably	insightful	131:15,23
impression	121:4	82:16	intends 77:21
108:14	inference	insisted 105:13	<b>intent</b> 128:9
inaccurate	126:20	<b>inspect</b> 116:24	intentionally
128:21	infiltration	inspected 16:21	128:8
inarticulate	20:3,18 37:6	inspecting	interest 23:6,7
87:4	49:2 116:4	66:14	31:16
	117:6 126:7		

#### [interested - know]

	1		
interested	66:13,13 68:15	<b>jumping</b> 16:14	71:10 81:10
138:24	69:9,10 73:5	39:9	91:6
international	82:23 83:18	<b>june</b> 18:1 20:9	kirk's 22:3 27:2
1:7 2:15 12:3	115:20 116:20	21:17 56:2,4	knew 29:23
64:20	129:5 132:11	64:16 73:12,20	45:22 47:1,1
interpretation	italicized	73:21 74:1,2,7	<b>knit</b> 87:17,17
132:18	116:21	74:15 75:20	know 7:18,24
interrogatories	item 80:12	80:20,23	10:9 11:6,9
4:22 49:15,16	103:11,12	122:24 127:14	12:1,9,19 13:5
introduced	119:4	127:18 128:19	14:17 15:1,23
102:7	items 16:17	139:3	16:13,19,20
investigator	60:17 103:10	<b>juris</b> 8:19	17:9 19:4
46:22	j	<b>jury</b> 78:10	20:22 24:11
investment	<b>january</b> 113:10	k	25:8 26:12,22
94:4	jibe 126:22	<b>k</b> 81:1	27:23 28:2,4
involved 11:3	job 1:24	<b>keep</b> 22:6 39:9	28:14 30:6,7
11:14 12:8	<b>john</b> 3:6 79:16	47:13	30:11,11,23
16:4 28:19	115:9 119:10	<b>kelly</b> 1:9	31:5 32:7,9
45:20	124:21 129:16	kelsey 2:22	33:21,22 34:6
involving 12:3	join 106:6	24:18 55:16,24	35:5,6 37:6
50:6	119:24 136:12	102:15 107:17	38:2,12,16,22
irs 94:2,8	joins 109:13	110:4 115:10	39:18,22 40:5
isolated 37:2	july 4:20 5:12	<b>kids</b> 8:16	40:5,8,12,15,16
isolation	5:13 24:5 25:4	<b>kind</b> 10:2 18:11	41:3,5,10,10,11
126:19,21	25:5,9,9,11,12	23:2 30:21	47:14 48:1
<b>issue</b> 83:9,11	26:6,23,24	48:23 52:19	49:16 52:22,23
83:19,22	74:24 76:20,21	55:11,11,12	53:4,6 58:10
116:11,14	87:7 95:24	60:10 81:16	59:4,17 60:5,6
125:10,16	107:12,14,16	87:9,23 90:24	62:12 63:8
126:14,16	112:17 113:10	93:10,12	67:17 69:1,8
128:14 132:10	115:5,7,9	<b>kirk</b> 14:7 15:13	74:12,19 76:4
<b>issued</b> 23:24	117:9 123:5	16:3,8 19:16	76:4 77:19,24
57:19 84:13	125:2	21:21 22:4,21	80:19 81:4,7
issues 16:11	jump 16:12	22:24 25:15	81:12,14,16,20
29:5 31:14	25:3	26:3,23 71:6	85:21 87:17,24
	45.5	20.3,23 /1.0	

[know - live] Page 19

	1		
88:2 91:8,17	law 2:2 8:19,20	<b>letter</b> 4:15,16	licensed 9:1
92:5 93:22	9:2,8,22,24	4:17,19,20	<b>liens</b> 79:6
94:4,5 95:14	11:13,17,20	17:23 18:9,11	lieu 27:20
97:6,7 99:4	16:1 62:18	18:21,23 19:14	<b>light</b> 137:2
102:24 104:8	72:18 102:18	20:6,8,22	<b>limited</b> 1:8 2:15
105:9 115:11	108:15 110:5	21:16 24:21	131:11,19
115:12 116:18	110:11,14	25:4,9,11,13	<b>line</b> 79:11
129:22 130:2,4	111:6,9	26:5,8,11,24	105:12 119:4
130:6 131:4,7	lawyer 67:6	28:23 37:14,16	121:2 124:11
132:10	71:11 74:3,12	38:5,5,7 46:5	lines 72:13,14
knowing 128:7	lawyers 51:13	47:22,24 72:24	link 86:20
knowledge	51:15,18 74:3	74:16 77:13	<b>list</b> 81:21
20:20,24 61:9	75:6 116:17	100:5 115:15	listecki 5:8
61:13 66:20	layer 48:23	122:18,24	80:24
67:5,10 69:5	115:21 125:22	124:19,20	<b>listed</b> 37:13
70:12 85:4	leak 60:24	127:23	56:1
96:1 124:22,23	61:15 69:13	letters 14:4	listen 68:1
<b>known</b> 28:10	96:1,5	16:4 19:24	120:23
48:17,20 56:7	leakage 60:21	20:1,4 22:17	<b>listing</b> 93:23
63:2	leaking 83:16	25:3 26:20	<b>lists</b> 80:12
l	100:13	27:8 28:17,21	83:18
<b>l</b> 81:1 107:18	leaks 60:23	36:19,23,24	<b>litany</b> 83:11
lack 36:11	61:10 70:6,7	37:20,24 45:6	literally 73:10
66:19 104:14	<b>learn</b> 13:17	46:17,17 48:7	littered 68:13
109:10 136:10	<b>left</b> 87:14 114:4	53:4 55:6,10	135:5
laid 127:6	<b>legal</b> 9:10,13	55:10 74:5,11	<b>little</b> 10:10 15:5
lane 8:14	24:10 62:24	100:2 110:16	18:15 21:10
langefeld 15:13	legally 100:2,8	136:9	34:12 35:1
language 61:19	100:14 102:5	level 8:17	37:9 41:21
131:13	lender 90:23,23	levels 116:2	50:1 69:13
large 130:3	91:9 92:9,22	126:4	81:17 91:14
largely 66:11	93:2,2 99:1,3	liability 1:8	92:2 98:16
129:23	lenders 87:17	2:15	124:10 130:7
launching 82:1	<b>length</b> 111:20	license 138:6	live 8:11,15
iduncining 02.1		139:11	108:10

#### [lived - mccarthy]

<b>lived</b> 22:12	75:23 76:10	mails 37:20,24	<b>marked</b> 74:13
<b>llc</b> 1:7 2:15	80:11,13,13,14	38:3 86:14	74:23 98:13
<b>llp</b> 2:8	88:24	119:3,8 132:21	125:3
loan 90:16,22	<b>loop</b> 91:17	maintained	<b>market</b> 115:19
91:3	<b>looping</b> 103:5	67:12	marking 65:12
<b>loans</b> 79:4	lose 121:7,7	majority 52:11	masonry 80:15
<b>locate</b> 46:22	<b>lost</b> 41:6	<b>make</b> 7:21	84:12 115:21
loftus 3:2	<b>lot</b> 11:19,20	27:17 45:12	117:4,5 125:21
loftusandeise	67:2 82:17	59:7 64:9	material 67:15
3:5	92:8 95:22	74:18 79:10,10	matousek 2:8
<b>long</b> 9:4 41:19	99:1 120:9,12	82:14 98:16	<b>matter</b> 41:18
74:20 97:10	<b>love</b> 129:3	101:8 116:14	56:8 97:10
<b>longer</b> 32:10	luehrs 107:18	117:5 122:21	105:15 119:2
100:11 106:17	m	122:23 128:13	matters 41:21
look 17:16	<b>m</b> 2:10 75:23	<b>makes</b> 135:4	138:11
18:14 19:5	76:10,11 81:8	making 19:9	matthew 2:13
48:2 53:8,10	madam 102:10	49:18 104:9	<b>mc</b> 81:8
64:3 66:8	made 61:3 68:7	managers	mcasey 2:13
75:24 76:3,10	70:13 83:12	79:16	mcauliffe 2:10
81:20 83:13,14	103:12	manifested	4:4 6:8,8,20
85:11 93:20	mail 5:8,11,12	30:21	34:11,19 36:4
112:3 130:3	5:13,14 27:3	<b>march</b> 5:14	36:7,12,14
looked 19:6	29:15 52:3	122:2,7 123:5	39:23 43:7,16
131:7	80:24 86:5,18	123:9,21	44:3,4,13,14
looking 18:20	86:22 88:2,2,4	126:22 127:1,7	50:22 54:10
26:23 55:13	102:15 104:20	mark 17:4 18:2	133:16 134:20
64:1 76:8,12	106:22 107:5,8	20:10 21:18	136:12 137:13
78:16 81:5	107:12,15	23:19 25:6	mccarthy 2:20
106:21 109:2	108:13,24	26:7 43:24	2:21 4:5,8,10
112:9 116:3	109:16 112:4	49:19 54:8	6:12,12 36:1
126:6,19	112:10,11	64:8,10 71:14	36:11 39:15
128:15	115:5,7,8,9	78:5,6 80:23	51:3,6,8,10,12
looks 26:18	117:15,18	88:8,20 94:18	54:8,12 58:6
53:24 54:7	120:20 125:2	122:2	67:18,20 79:9
64:4 73:20	126:12 127:6		79:13 86:17

#### [mccarthy - months]

87:3,5 88:11	84:21 96:10	mentions 117:3	mischaracteri
88:14,17,19	121:16,24	<b>meter</b> 116:1	58:3
98:2,8 100:17	122:8,19	126:4	mischaracteri
100:24 101:12	123:15,16,17	michigan 2:19	36:3
104:12 106:6	123:21 124:14	62:17 91:14	misrepresent
107:2 108:18	126:22 127:1	miles 66:22	136:11
109:10,22	136:5	<b>mind</b> 7:5 31:12	<b>mix</b> 10:16,17
110:23 117:22	megan 81:9	41:8 101:23	modification
118:11 119:15	<b>melinda</b> 1:4 2:6	107:12 111:7	18:14 99:17
119:21 128:23	14:24 16:20	112:1,3	100:23
130:20,23	28:18,21 32:14	<b>mine</b> 54:6	modifications
133:18 135:1	50:5,13 53:23	<b>minor</b> 68:15	18:19 73:2
135:23 136:10	56:3,11 59:20	69:9,10,13	74:18 99:12,22
137:1,4,12,15	62:11,11 63:12	<b>minute</b> 66:22	100:1 101:11
mckee 2:18	63:12 64:12	82:8 84:8,21	<b>modify</b> 101:7,7
mean 11:18,21	65:4,10,17	114:21,23	101:19
13:11 16:7	72:2,9 73:12	122:11	moisture
17:15 20:6,15	75:19 81:8	minutes 5:8	115:16 116:1,1
22:13 25:24	89:9,17 90:13	34:14 77:14	116:5 126:3,4
28:2 30:24	92:15 93:18	80:9,10,19	126:8,14,15
32:4 35:5	95:12 97:2,11	82:2,7,12,17,24	131:2 137:6
39:13 40:15,19	98:5,19 106:19	96:10 121:17	<b>moment</b> 20:13
41:1,10,23,24	111:17 113:24	121:20,21,22	33:1 48:13
46:21 58:13	127:24 133:1	121:24 122:8	49:20
68:11,12,21,23	melinda's	122:19 123:2,5	<b>money</b> 73:8
76:13 78:19	51:24 52:23	123:10,15,16	112:23 113:17
82:12 85:14	53:20 55:20	123:17,22	116:9,11
89:24 92:11	135:21	124:14 126:22	117:13 125:11
98:20 102:24	memorialized	127:1 136:6	monitoring
106:10 109:2	128:7,7	misapprehen	116:1,5 126:4
130:16 133:13	mentioned 9:20	134:16	126:8,15
meeting 5:8	28:5 35:1 39:4	mischaracteri	monthly 79:4
77:13 80:8,10	42:20 43:1	39:16 43:6	months 123:1,6
80:19 82:2,7,8	45:9	119:16	123:15,18
82:16,24 84:8			

[morielli - oh] Page 22

morielli 11:19	needs 49:5	<b>notes</b> 128:4	111:1 119:15
morning 51:9	89:18,21 93:9	noteworthy	133:16 134:19
107:20	99:3 108:10	61:5,7	134:20
mortgage	<b>never</b> 30:10	notice 7:2	objections
31:15 52:24	31:2 37:21	61:24 62:1	119:24 120:2
90:18	41:13 45:13	65:7 77:24	obligation
<b>move</b> 19:11	46:2 48:3,7	121:7,9	101:19
97:17 127:24	102:24 103:2	noticed 45:9	obviously
<b>moved</b> 62:7	109:5 111:7	noticing 6:7	97:15 106:21
muddled 87:23	122:7 129:16	<b>noting</b> 120:1	115:12 120:9
multiple 15:15	<b>new</b> 10:9 31:18	november 8:10	120:12 130:17
<b>mute</b> 133:17	40:8 41:4,9	<b>number</b> 24:10	occupancy 62:5
<b>mutual</b> 59:24	59:13	31:14 46:23	occupied 46:3
n	news 85:5	48:4 62:19	62:13
<b>n</b> 4:1	nicholas 1:9	92:11 94:7	<b>occupy</b> 45:23
name 6:6,21	2:22 24:18	98:14 99:18	109:5
47:3 51:9	55:16,24	122:22 131:4	occur 11:8
65:16 90:8	102:21 110:4	<b>nw</b> 2:18	128:16
98:4 124:7	<b>nick</b> 103:5	0	occurred 17:9
names 11:21	107:16,19,19	o'clock 1:18	58:4 69:15
53:16	108:14 115:10	136:24	107:13
nature 12:11	nodding 7:22	<b>object</b> 36:2,11	offhand 130:9
81:11	<b>non</b> 67:23	43:5 58:2	<b>office</b> 8:8 11:20
necessarily	nondisclosure	101:12 108:18	11:20 14:7
132:8	136:15	110:23 117:22	15:13,22 31:18
necessary	<b>nope</b> 78:5	118:11 119:21	51:23 74:6,23
128:17	<b>normal</b> 105:1	126:17 128:23	81:2,10 84:22
need 6:1 7:23	normally 38:7	136:10	87:20 89:4
24:12 47:17,18	102:3	objection 39:15	96:1 97:3
47:18 49:4	<b>north</b> 2:2,8 3:2	43:14 100:17	119:5 135:14
59:8 67:11,12	84:16	100:24 104:13	oftentimes
68:2 90:22	northern 1:1	106:5,6 107:1	89:22
92:10,19,23	notarize 92:23	107:2 108:17	<b>oh</b> 11:5 14:17
105:15 121:3	<b>note</b> 61:3 64:9	109:10,13,21	19:13 33:12,21
127:10 128:11	90:17 131:14	109:22 110:7	34:12

[ohio - page] Page 23

<b>ohio</b> 8:21,22	129:7,19	106:15 107:10	39:12 40:1,3
<b>okay</b> 7:8,11 8:5	136:23	108:21,23	40:11 44:7,17
8:9,17 9:8,19	<b>old</b> 52:22	109:14 110:1,2	47:14,23 48:10
10:7 12:2,6	<b>omit</b> 105:16	110:8 111:4	49:8 50:9
13:2,9 14:2,5,9	once 29:2 87:13	114:22 115:2	56:17 57:19
14:22 15:15	90:20 91:2	117:23 118:19	58:18 59:10,13
16:23 17:2,12	ones 26:21	118:20 119:17	60:1,6 69:6,11
18:22 19:3,8	45:22 49:2	120:8 122:15	98:21 99:4,8
19:14,17 20:2	121:19	122:17 124:1,5	100:11,12,12
20:5,17 21:15	oor 98:19,20	126:17 134:19	101:17,17,18
23:15 25:8,22	<b>open</b> 103:7,11	136:1,4,16,21	101:18,24
26:20 29:6,21	openings 117:5	136:23	105:11 106:17
34:11,12,16,21	opinion 49:9	oshanalaw 2:5	111:14,17
35:9,14,22	78:22	outcome	114:13
36:4 38:4,24	opinions 81:15	138:24	owners 22:23
39:4,9,24	opportunity	outlined 103:9	33:24 37:11
40:21,24 42:9	22:11 29:3	<b>outs</b> 89:16	42:2 43:9
43:22 44:13,22	72:23	<b>outset</b> 23:11	44:19 45:3,7,9
49:18,24 50:4	<b>options</b> 99:16	54:19	45:10,15,19
50:21 51:8	<b>order</b> 124:10	<b>outside</b> 74:7,14	46:6 47:15
66:1,5 75:11	organizations	outstanding	48:18,20 63:2
77:15 79:18	9:11,13	107:7	100:22 101:7
87:1,2 90:6	original 41:6	overall 12:11	101:10 102:4
91:12 93:16	43:9 75:12	overly 30:4	106:18 128:5
98:3,22 99:3	originally 23:3	own 75:1 81:14	ownership
100:1,5 102:2	oshana 2:2,4	91:11 92:2	83:13
102:12 103:3	4:6,9 6:9,9	132:3	p
103:18 104:2,8	14:8,10 43:5	<b>owned</b> 68:13	<b>p.m.</b> 137:18
106:21 107:15	43:13 44:11	69:3,16,21	packet 57:7,9
114:17,19	51:2,6 58:2	<b>owner</b> 12:18	91:4
115:15 117:18	79:9 86:8 98:3	22:22 23:5,14	packets 56:22
120:20 121:15	98:4,10 100:18	31:1,23 33:14	57:5
122:5,6 123:14	101:4,6,15	34:10 35:2	<b>page</b> 4:2,13
123:21 124:1	102:12,13	36:21,23 37:1	18:6 19:4,5,12
125:8 127:4,20	104:15 106:8	37:8,15 38:14	24:16 55:20

#### [page - practiced]

	T		
79:11 80:11	partner 16:1	percentage	<b>please</b> 6:5,21
127:5	<b>party</b> 20:21	52:7 58:9	7:15 17:4 18:2
<b>pages</b> 19:13	27:18 30:7	66:23	23:19 25:6
<b>paid</b> 31:15	45:12 46:7	perfect 18:13	26:7 37:8
77:13 94:11	63:4 74:21	perform 80:16	48:10,13 49:19
113:24	75:9	<b>period</b> 72:18,22	79:12 98:11
paragraph	<b>party's</b> 74:17	73:9,22 74:9	104:13 105:11
44:15 60:17	passes 38:15	75:2,5 99:14	105:12 119:5
99:10 103:10	passing 39:7	person 22:12	122:4,11
116:6 125:20	<b>past</b> 60:20	23:5 27:13	127:22
<b>parapet</b> 127:13	<b>paul</b> 2:20 6:12	personal 69:5	<b>plug</b> 97:17
parentheses	15:13 16:1	138:14	<b>point</b> 29:4 41:7
103:9	51:9,22 52:5	perspective	45:8 83:21
<b>parse</b> 86:13	89:4 90:7,10	78:15	97:23
<b>part</b> 9:10,13	92:14 95:5,6	pertaining 1:17	popular 94:23
10:12 12:11	97:15,22	pertains 49:1	<b>porous</b> 67:13
20:15 32:7,11	payable 113:14	137:8,9	portion 58:1
67:6 73:7 74:8	paying 94:7	<b>phone</b> 27:6	116:12 117:13
75:2,4,4 76:16	113:18	29:15 46:23	125:11
76:23 105:20	<b>pc</b> 2:18	48:4	possibility
114:5,6 131:21	pendency	picture 42:15	121:8
135:8	103:23	45:3	possible 37:9
particular	pending 8:1	<b>place</b> 6:2 14:1	46:15 91:1
13:22 16:6	people 21:12	36:22 39:15	116:21
28:9 37:3 78:3	66:13 102:16	82:17 91:15	<b>pot</b> 108:7
91:7,17 106:18	110:20 115:10	103:14 132:24	potential 78:1
129:21	120:16 134:16	138:19	108:7 116:19
<b>parties</b> 6:2 7:3	peppered 11:12	places 22:21	<b>power</b> 52:24
28:6 29:13	<b>percent</b> 35:9,12	plaintiff 1:5 2:6	93:14
72:15 73:4,7	38:13,14 39:12	98:5	practice 9:2
74:20 75:6	39:13 52:10,13	plaintiff's	52:8,11 55:1,2
82:4 91:11	56:14 57:3,24	14:22 50:12	55:8 71:8,12
136:18 138:21	58:1,4,4 103:8	planning 52:14	81:12 130:15
138:23	108:5 128:10	platform 64:13	practiced 9:1

#### [practicing - proposal]

practicing	<b>pretty</b> 78:23	26:1 35:11	prohibits 62:20
110:11,14	79:7	38:20 39:19	projects 79:1
practitioner	previous 50:9	41:20,21 51:20	79:23
82:17	100:11,22	52:10 57:3	promulgated
<b>pre</b> 93:11	101:23 102:3	61:17 70:16	128:4
precisely 15:5	115:19 132:1	78:21 81:14,16	proof 39:6
prefer 7:6	138:8	90:19 112:5	<b>properties</b> 81:9
prelim 90:5	previously	probate 52:14	property 4:23
preliminary	17:19 28:11	problem 33:2	5:2,4 12:15
57:15 85:20	48:14 98:13	69:19 95:6	13:3,9,14
88:6	102:7 125:3	problematic	14:23 16:21
premises	<b>price</b> 116:8	78:3 97:24	18:16,18 22:12
131:24	<b>print</b> 88:24	problems 22:16	22:14 30:10
preparation	<b>prior</b> 12:17	70:2 116:9	31:1 33:14
14:6 85:12	22:22,23 23:5	132:1	34:10 37:1
prepare 21:24	23:14 33:23	<b>procedure</b> 1:16	42:3 45:11,16
72:24	36:20,23 37:8	procedures	45:18,23 46:3
present 60:21	37:11,14 45:2	35:1	47:16 53:11,12
70:2	45:7,9,15 46:5	proceed 51:1	53:14 62:3
presented	47:14,23 48:10	124:2	63:3,22 64:11
90:12	90:1 100:12	proceeding	65:14 66:9,15
president	101:17,17,18	116:16	71:23 79:6
115:16 117:10	101:18 105:11	proceedings	82:14 83:6,8
117:10 119:11	106:16 119:7	138:16	83:17 94:3,4
119:19	privilege 43:15	proceeds 94:8	102:4 103:17
presumably	86:23	94:10	106:18 109:5
17:10 49:6	privileged	<b>process</b> 12:6,7	111:5 113:3,4
63:13 85:6	86:12	21:9 71:5 74:9	113:9,13 118:2
89:2	<b>privity</b> 101:21	75:4 91:12,13	118:5,7,8,15,17
presume 60:9	<b>privy</b> 40:9 60:8	108:1,6 134:17	119:6 131:6,19
presumed	127:23 128:10	processed 92:4	137:9
60:11	proactive 68:15	prohibited	property's
presumption	68:17,18,20,23	67:15	103:13
94:15	probably 15:2	prohibition	proposal
	15:8 18:21	62:24 63:1	127:11

[propose - real] Page 26

nmonogo 00.22	71.22 72.16	06.2 07.2	mothom 116.14
propose 99:22	71:22 73:16	86:3 87:3	rather 116:14
proposed 73:2	75:12,14,15	104:14 108:20	<b>raydon</b> 65:24
proration	76:16,19 77:2	108:22 110:10	66:2
103:8 108:5	87:8 89:8	111:8,8 120:3	reach 29:2 73:4
prorations	96:22 133:19	120:3,4 121:1	124:21
104:10	133:21,22	137:1,2	reached 13:20
prospective	135:19	questioning	14:19 73:6
53:17,18 64:22	purchased	42:3 124:11	129:16
65:3 77:24	106:19	questions 8:3	reaching 130:6
<b>protocol</b> 31:19	purchaser 72:2	20:18 21:14	<b>read</b> 36:21
provide 7:21	77:19	22:15,17 37:1	49:20 85:6
21:2 25:23	purchasing	45:19 47:7,18	115:6
27:23 47:10	70:20,20 113:4	47:21 48:24	<b>reading</b> 108:13
86:18 133:9	purpose 18:9	49:4 50:23,24	<b>reads</b> 44:16
provided 25:5	pursuant 1:15	51:2,3,4 55:7	125:9,21
49:14,15 75:14	7:1 82:13 83:7	66:5,18 74:12	<b>ready</b> 19:12
83:5 85:17	purview 68:11	77:18 78:19,23	87:15,20
87:21 89:4,21	<b>push</b> 63:19	100:6 107:7	real 5:2,4 10:11
89:22 103:15	105:8	108:11 120:18	10:14,18,24
106:13 113:7	pushed 49:3	120:19 129:24	13:3 14:23
providing	107:4	130:5,19	50:6,7,12
27:10	<b>put</b> 43:14 46:8	132:20,22	51:15,19 52:8
provision 94:8	47:21 58:10	137:10,12,13	52:9 53:12
99:17	97:15 121:6	<b>quick</b> 104:13	59:22 63:6,7
prudent 85:7	<b>puts</b> 77:23	<b>quiet</b> 87:10	63:13,15,16,22
<b>pull</b> 17:2 23:20	<b>putting</b> 112:10	<b>quite</b> 70:3	64:11,13 65:13
26:4 43:22	116:9	quote 84:13,19	67:6 71:16
92:24 97:17	q	84:22 103:7,11	81:12 83:6,8
123:12 128:17		103:15	83:17 94:3,4
130:1 131:12	<b>question</b> 7:14	r	98:15 105:1,21
pulled 17:7	7:15,17,19 8:1		106:3 110:11
purchase 5:6	20:22 21:5	r 90:8,8 107:18	110:15 111:6,9
26:14 51:24	26:3 35:18,21	rain 115:18	111:12 118:2,4
54:15 60:1,2,9	36:2,5,13 38:8	raised 107:8	118:7,8,15,17
66:24 71:15,16	39:21 62:2,2	rapids 2:19	118:23 119:6
33.21, 1.13,10	79:24 80:6		110.20 117.0
	•	1	

#### [real - relocation]

120:15 121:13	receipt 119:4	58:16 88:16,17	regards 20:17
131:6,18 137:9	receipts 52:23	98:21 99:4,8	27:19
really 65:15	52:24	115:1 120:2	register 104:13
77:18,23 78:18	receive 21:6	122:14 137:18	<b>regs</b> 77:12
80:12 81:15	24:2,20 54:18	138:15	regulations
93:10 95:11	57:6	recorded 32:7	103:16
105:14	received 23:17	32:12 33:24	<b>reject</b> 101:10
realtors 5:2,4	24:5 26:10	34:1 50:8	<b>relate</b> 132:16
63:21 64:10	31:10 35:16	<b>records</b> 128:15	relates 132:3
65:13 71:19	53:21,24 54:14	<b>red</b> 17:12 48:16	132:15
116:17	55:3 64:3	reduced 138:14	relating 66:24
reason 31:21	73:16,18 80:17	referenced 45:7	relation 66:21
40:7	82:3 88:6 90:5	47:23	relationship
reasonable	93:22 116:15	referencing	21:12,13
73:4	117:19 120:12	23:4 25:10	105:18 109:19
reasonably	121:15 122:7	47:14	110:3
83:9 132:2	123:10 126:23	referral 15:2	relative 138:20
reasons 32:1	recipients 81:6	referring 46:10	138:22
recall 12:5	recitation	reflect 6:24	relayed 85:24
13:11 14:21	119:23	90:2 131:23	<b>relo</b> 11:19,20
16:6,16 17:15	recognize	reflecting	12:16,18,18
19:15 22:1	16:18 25:7	110:3	22:13 30:7
23:2 25:17	90:7	reflects 135:14	31:12,19 32:13
27:7 31:4,8	recollection	<b>refrain</b> 44:8,18	33:24 37:4
32:24 33:4	61:18 70:12	refrained 44:23	39:12 40:1,3
35:17 36:19	recommend	44:24	40:11,15,17
37:13,19,23	116:17	refuse 97:17	45:20 48:23
38:2 42:18	recommends	<b>regard</b> 67:10	83:5 104:23
43:4 48:6	117:4	85:13 86:24	109:5
50:14 66:6	reconvene	133:11	relocation 1:7
70:4,7,15,17	34:14	regarding	2:15 11:1,4,14
97:21 98:1	<b>record</b> 6:6,22	103:13,16	12:3,7,14,24
104:19 105:9	6:24 7:12	regardless	13:1,5 20:21
129:18 130:9	31:12,20 32:12	116:6	21:3,6 23:9
	34:14,18 43:14		27:12,18 28:12

#### [relocation - responses]

-			
29:23 31:24	<b>report</b> 4:14 5:3	representative	52:8 53:12
33:22 34:23	5:5 16:24 17:3	27:12,15 28:12	63:22 64:11
35:3,14,15,22	17:6,13,14	93:4	65:13 83:6,8
36:9,16 38:11	18:17 63:22	represented	83:17 105:1
38:15 39:13	64:11 65:14	14:24 32:22	118:2,4,6,8,15
40:3 43:8	66:6,16 112:13	63:5,8 99:7	118:17 119:6
45:12 46:8	114:18 116:24	120:9	131:6,18 137:8
50:7,9,10 56:8	117:3,4 118:2	representing	resolve 60:24
56:9,10,17,18	118:5,7,9	48:23 49:6	61:14 116:14
57:20 58:19	128:2,2 129:4	105:2,5 106:3	resolved 83:20
59:11,11,14	129:10,20	120:21	83:23 116:5
60:1,2,6,7	130:10,14	represents	126:7,14
64:20 94:16	reported 3:23	11:19,20	128:14 129:6
95:2,20 105:16	138:13	<b>request</b> 116:19	respect 57:11
111:20 112:12	reporter 1:15	124:14	67:6 79:18
133:11	5:16 6:1,3 7:19	requested 49:4	103:12 132:20
remember 9:18	44:2 54:11	requests 18:14	respective
11:13 15:3	94:1 102:10,11	27:19 55:10	74:18
18:19 29:16	138:3,6	66:12,16 82:15	respond 19:10
117:9 123:4	<b>reports</b> 114:19	129:24 130:4	21:9 112:6,13
reminder	117:20 118:1	require 80:5	responded
107:13	120:13 128:12	required 49:3	50:11
remote 2:1	represent	74:17 82:13	response 16:4
remotely 6:2	10:15 32:15	requirement	20:14 21:5,7
93:14	51:10 89:2	77:17	21:24 22:10
removed 48:24	110:21 124:7	requirements	25:5,16 28:22
<b>rental</b> 103:14	representation	99:2	28:24 29:4
<b>renting</b> 103:16	25:23 26:2	reserves 78:24	47:17 49:21
<b>rep</b> 12:23	44:16 47:6	79:19,23	60:22 103:6,15
repaired 96:3,4	53:23 63:4	reside 22:14	107:7 112:5
repairs 27:20	71:4 100:8	resided 30:10	responses 4:19
83:12 127:9	representations	residential 5:2	25:12 37:4
rephrase 15:5	18:15 30:7	5:4 10:11,14	105:14 109:4
replaced 98:23	45:13 46:11,13	10:18,24 11:14	116:15
	105:8,14	13:3 18:17	

#### [responsibility - satisfying]

			1
responsibility	22:2 28:20	57:17,21 58:21	ring 11:22
22:3	77:5 82:9	59:2,16,20	<b>roof</b> 70:3
restoration	91:10 92:20	60:12 61:11	<b>room</b> 92:1,2,15
129:11	103:6 132:22	62:14,24 63:11	ross 3:4,5 6:11
restrictions	133:20	63:13,21,21	124:5,7
103:14	reviewing	64:1,8,17 65:6	roughly 56:14
restroom 88:12	25:19 136:5	67:24 71:2,14	58:1
result 76:22	reviews 90:24	72:3,9,10,11,12	rule 120:23,24
<b>retain</b> 12:15	rhoades 2:18	73:21,23,24	121:11
117:4	rhoadesmcke	74:5 75:11,19	<b>rules</b> 1:15 7:4
return 25:2	2:21	77:1,5 78:5	7:12 77:11
73:8	<b>ride</b> 66:22	79:20 81:24	103:16
reveal 72:6	<b>ridge</b> 8:12,13	82:5,7 83:11	S
revealed 96:22	8:14	84:2,6,7 85:3	s 4:11 52:22
<b>review</b> 13:9,22	<b>right</b> 8:6 9:8,22	86:2 87:3,19	81:1 107:18
14:3,3,4,11,12	9:23,24 10:9	88:8,13 89:18	sale 26:14
16:9 17:14	13:18 18:7	90:17 92:4,6	31:15 54:15
20:13 22:5	21:22 22:10,18	92:21 93:9	57:8 71:16,20
29:1,3 30:8	22:21 23:10	94:18 95:23	76:16,19 91:5
41:22 42:4	26:15 29:22,24	96:5 97:21	94:10 107:24
45:6 46:5	30:21 31:10,24	99:14 101:22	108:2,5,6
47:21 53:1	32:7 33:1	102:5 103:1	sales 31:17
54:13 55:2,9	34:13 36:12	109:3 112:7,15	sarah 11:23
66:15,17 72:22	37:22 38:21	113:5,11,14,18	17:18,22 18:10
73:22 76:23	39:4 41:1,19	113:19,21	18:20 20:17
78:20 90:13	43:17 44:15	114:8 120:17	25:12 26:5
91:8 93:2	45:23 46:4,20	121:17 122:8	27:3 31:2
100:2 103:23	47:2,12,24	122:19 123:2	42:19 45:8
110:16 122:3	48:3,5,13	123:11 124:1	48:22 74:6,23
122:18 124:20	49:13,18 50:1	125:6,19	102:17 104:6
126:12 129:19	50:22 51:6	128:18 129:4	105:2,4 113:20
135:6 136:9	52:1,7 53:14	129:15 131:17	132:21
137:10	54:2,4,8,16,20	131:22 133:2,6	satisfy 99:1
reviewed 17:19	54:23 55:17,21	133:6,23	satisfying
19:13,17 20:16	56:5,13 57:4	135:21 137:7	87:16,16
			07.10,10

[saved - selling] Page 30

	1		
<b>saved</b> 73:20	<b>seal</b> 61:14 84:8	42:22 43:19	<b>seller</b> 12:15
80:20 86:14	sealant 115:22	44:5,12,13,15	20:5,6 30:23
88:7 128:18	115:24 125:22	47:18 50:4	33:12,13 34:7
saw 52:4	125:24 127:11	52:23 54:5	34:8,9 49:7
saying 21:1	127:12	57:1,9,11	53:17 56:11
34:6 62:24	sealed 60:24	60:12,18 61:1	59:20 64:23
88:4 94:12	70:23 84:9	64:3,22 65:15	72:2 73:19
112:9 113:16	sealing 68:21	65:18 74:5	76:6 89:10
128:9 135:7	68:24 69:2,14	76:3 86:14,16	93:6,9,19,23
says 34:7 44:5	82:22 84:4,15	87:24 88:4,5,6	94:6 96:24
60:17,23 83:15	96:7	88:7 90:5	100:6 111:14
91:9 92:22	<b>second</b> 17:16	93:21 94:14	112:23 113:3,8
98:19 103:4,10	18:23 36:1,2	98:17 99:20	113:9,16 114:5
114:4,4,8	50:10 54:24	102:19,21,22	117:11,12
115:16 119:4,8	56:18 94:23	108:12 114:3,5	120:21 125:17
131:8,14	98:11 103:3	119:13 121:23	130:15 132:1
scenes 41:11	114:5 115:21	125:2,13 126:1	seller's 53:11
scheduled 7:2	122:11 125:22	126:10,15	53:14 82:21
97:20	secondary	127:15 129:3	83:4,15 91:4
<b>school</b> 8:20 9:8	116:4 126:7	129:10	93:7 105:23
9:22 10:1	seconds 88:10	seeing 58:13	114:4
<b>scope</b> 84:13	<b>secret</b> 72:11	seem 11:10	sellers 4:23
96:13 117:1	132:22	seems 107:22	10:16 48:23
127:8	section 60:17	119:10	49:6 53:16
scratched	82:13 94:9	seen 18:4 20:11	55:24 57:9
98:19,22	130:1	21:19 23:21	59:19 60:15
screeching	security 94:7	26:8 29:9 50:2	62:3 63:16
15:21	see 12:17,17	95:5 103:1,2	73:1,2 93:11
<b>screen</b> 34:20	16:23 17:6,12	107:8 108:24	94:9 96:23
88:9 94:20	19:20 21:11,13	110:15,18	110:21 119:3
98:7,12 112:4	21:21 22:10	117:15 120:20	120:10 124:16
<b>scroll</b> 60:16	24:22 25:15,21	<b>self</b> 78:24 79:8	132:7
122:5	26:13 37:8	sell 81:22 111:5	selling 33:15
<b>se</b> 132:11	38:17 39:1,3	116:7	113:3 116:8
	40:19 42:1,22		

[send - solutions] Page 31

1.10.01		1 1 7 22	<b>50.</b> 10
<b>send</b> 19:24	sgariglia 1:4	shrugging 7:22	<b>signs</b> 73:19
25:19 26:20	2:6 14:13,16	<b>sic</b> 74:6	75:20
28:24 38:5	42:24 43:18	<b>side</b> 53:19 73:2	<b>similar</b> 116:21
73:1,3 86:6,8	44:6 49:14	73:3 81:21,21	<b>simply</b> 101:23
86:19 90:23	113:24	81:22 106:3	simultaneous
100:5 119:3	shapiro 11:18	114:4 133:3	31:20
sending 82:4	<b>share</b> 16:15	135:20,21	<b>single</b> 15:19
88:2	58:8 70:19	<b>sides</b> 81:19	78:21
sensitivity	86:20 88:9	127:13	<b>sir</b> 134:7
108:2	90:11 98:12	<b>sign</b> 64:22	<b>sit</b> 128:21
<b>sent</b> 18:10	122:10	90:21 92:11	<b>sits</b> 53:3
25:11 26:5,24	<b>shared</b> 34:20	93:11,12 108:1	sitting 40:6
28:22 81:4	<b>she'll</b> 7:20	signature 27:2	91:2
88:1 107:6	92:23	64:13 72:13,14	situation 28:9
sentence	<b>shield</b> 105:17	73:12 79:11	30:5 36:15,18
125:21	shielding 109:4	139:9	36:19 40:21
sentences 125:9	<b>shoes</b> 112:11	signatures	49:9 56:8
separate 86:3	<b>short</b> 88:17	55:20	58:22,24 59:18
95:2,11 135:18	shorthand 1:15	signed 18:6	59:23 60:14
service 12:24	138:3,5	19:17 21:21	79:19 82:20
13:1	shortly 10:1	23:12 25:15	84:2 129:21
services 1:7	17:11	30:22 32:19	132:24
2:15 64:20	shoulders 7:23	53:17 55:24	situations 23:4
<b>set</b> 92:21	<b>show</b> 24:8,9	64:12 65:2,4	38:14 40:2
123:10 139:2	29:6 43:20	65:15,17 66:4	71:7
<b>setting</b> 133:11	72:6 82:2 93:6	75:15 76:6,9	six 51:17
settled 45:13	93:10 107:11	76:14,20 79:15	sixth 69:23
settlement 5:9	124:24	92:10,20 93:17	<b>sloppy</b> 81:16
30:22 53:7	showing 38:17	94:15,16 104:4	<b>small</b> 120:16
88:6,23 90:19	44:11 94:20	113:20 119:12	smaller 98:17
90:21 93:13	113:2	significant	sneaky 109:3,3
112:16,22	<b>shown</b> 114:17	69:19	social 94:7
seven 51:14	136:6	signing 16:4	solicited 18:16
74:1	shows 24:4	26:23	solutions 12:3
	82:3		
Veritext Legal Solutions			

#### [somebody - summarizing]

	82:21 87:21	stands 94:5	stored 10.12
<b>somebody</b> 105:10 134:11	106:12	start 8:3 17:23	stayed 10:13 stenographic
soon 91:1	specified	19:3 104:1	138:13
	138:19	started 8:5 9:6	
sorry 9:12 14:3			step 16:11
19:9 20:12	specify 56:15	10:4,5,8,20	34:22 81:10
25:2 33:1,6,17	speculating	15:3	stick 111:11
39:3,9 43:13	34:2	state 6:21 8:21	sticks 11:18
58:14 59:6	speculation	8:23 54:21	stipulate 6:3
65:12 67:18	101:1 108:19	58:23 74:10	stop 122:10
74:10 79:9	109:11 110:24	136:14 138:6	stopped 10:12
98:8 104:12	118:12 119:22	stated 22:7	<b>street</b> 2:8 3:2
116:7 117:2,12	128:24	28:11 30:12	13:10,16
118:3 123:8	<b>spell</b> 90:8	32:2 33:16,18	108:20,22
133:16 136:2	<b>split</b> 67:8,14,19	38:4 105:7	stress 68:16
sort 52:22	68:1,8,13,14,21	statement 4:23	stretch 74:20
85:12 107:23	68:24 69:4,12	5:7,9 28:8	stretched 74:24
<b>sorts</b> 30:24	69:21 70:14,22	30:22 44:10,20	<b>strike</b> 36:6 62:2
62:14	84:16 85:5,8	50:17 53:7,11	101:8 111:7
<b>sounds</b> 137:15	93:3	53:15,22 57:13	structural
<b>south</b> 91:24	<b>spoke</b> 14:7,8	57:14,16 62:4	60:17
southwest	39:5	77:13 78:23	structure 60:22
115:17	<b>spoken</b> 14:17	85:20 88:7,21	structured
<b>span</b> 11:5	<b>spot</b> 20:19	88:23 90:19,21	15:17
speak 14:5,9,13	69:13 84:14,14	93:13,16 94:15	<b>stuff</b> 86:12
97:6	spreadsheet	101:14,16	subsequent
special 78:1	112:18,21	112:16,19,22	31:13
79:3 80:5	<b>stage</b> 92:3	statements	sugarcoat
specific 55:7	stalemated	25:22 53:5	128:8
61:18 63:18	108:6	91:6 100:3	suggestion
79:1,23 81:23	<b>stamp</b> 102:15	<b>states</b> 1:1,16	121:5
82:14 89:21	stamped	25:22	<b>suite</b> 2:3,9,19
129:24 132:3	107:14 115:8	<b>stating</b> 6:5 50:5	3:3
specifically	standard 20:1	52:3 103:11	<b>sum</b> 136:1
13:14 14:18	55:6,9 62:23	statutory 77:17	summarizing
48:11 73:15	•		48:15

#### [summary - thomas]

1	1	
71:4 85:14	104:17	56:5 62:14
91:15 102:3	telling 88:3	87:9 92:6,11
108:3 112:4	tenants 24:19	128:8
113:16 114:22	term 22:22	<b>think</b> 13:19
121:13 132:24	terminate 73:7	14:20 21:1
<b>taken</b> 1:14 7:1	75:9	23:1 26:2,2
7:3 8:7 14:1	terminates	27:9 30:10
24:23 36:22	74:21	36:24 37:1,14
37:11,17,21	<b>terms</b> 103:7	40:12,17,18
42:4 61:14	134:2	43:11 44:10,22
67:11 138:18	terry 107:18	44:24 45:7
talk 13:13 27:6	testified 6:18	48:9 56:16
29:4 34:24	<b>testify</b> 138:10	60:8 62:19
42:17 45:15	testimony 36:3	67:19,22 68:4
57:17 63:15	39:16 43:6	68:10 69:18
68:9 130:5	56:16 58:3	70:3,6 74:22
talking 29:14	136:11 138:16	78:22 79:7
42:21 67:22,23	text 27:6	83:18 88:20
80:12 103:20	thank 43:6,15	105:7 106:12
116:17 118:1	44:3 58:5 65:1	109:16 114:10
talks 115:15	79:12 98:2	114:10 115:4
tax 24:10 53:5	102:12 103:4	118:17 119:1
94:6 103:8	126:17 135:23	120:24 121:2,3
104:10 108:5	136:21 137:12	126:20,23
113:23	137:16	129:5,22 132:8
taxes 24:13	thanks 51:8	134:22,23
94:11 113:10	theoretically	135:4
113:13	83:14	<b>third</b> 20:21
<b>team</b> 71:6	<b>thereof</b> 131:20	27:18 30:7
tell 29:2,11	<b>thing</b> 20:24	45:12 46:7
42:16 47:16	31:19 52:3	115:23 125:23
50:13 52:18	67:21,23 70:18	<b>thirsty</b> 114:21
53:8 62:4 76:5	85:7 95:13	thomas 1:13
77:7 78:14	<b>things</b> 10:13	4:3 6:16 7:1
85:11 88:22	18:24 30:24	
	91:15 102:3 108:3 112:4 113:16 114:22 121:13 132:24 taken 1:14 7:1 7:3 8:7 14:1 24:23 36:22 37:11,17,21 42:4 61:14 67:11 138:18 talk 13:13 27:6 29:4 34:24 42:17 45:15 57:17 63:15 68:9 130:5 talking 29:14 42:21 67:22,23 80:12 103:20 116:17 118:1 talks 115:15 tax 24:10 53:5 94:6 103:8 104:10 108:5 113:23 taxes 24:13 94:11 113:10 113:13 team 71:6 tell 29:2,11 42:16 47:16 50:13 52:18 53:8 62:4 76:5 77:7 78:14	91:15 102:3 108:3 112:4 113:16 114:22 121:13 132:24 taken 1:14 7:1 7:3 8:7 14:1 24:23 36:22 37:11,17,21 42:4 61:14 67:11 138:18 talk 13:13 27:6 29:4 34:24 42:17 45:15 57:17 63:15 68:9 130:5 talking 29:14 42:21 67:22,23 80:12 103:20 116:17 118:1 talks 115:15 tax 24:10 53:5 104:10 108:5 113:23 taxes 24:13 94:11 113:10 113:13 team 71:6 tell 29:2,11 42:16 47:16 50:13 52:18 53:8 62:4 76:5 77:7 78:14 term 22:22 terminate 73:7 75:9 terminates 103:7 175:9 terminates 103:7 15:9 terminates 103:7 15:9 terminates 103:7 15:9 terminates 103:7 15:9 terminate 13:23 testified 6:18 testi

[thought - two] Page 34

<b>thought</b> 133:15	31:3,7,9 32:6	topic 29:22	<b>tried</b> 46:17,18
134:9	32:10 35:2,16	<b>total</b> 108:4	trigger 31:15
thoughts 130:2	35:23 36:10,17	totally 16:19	31:17
thousands	36:22 37:12,17	tracking 135:2	triggers 119:1
10:22,22	37:21 38:8,11	<b>train</b> 66:22	<b>trudy</b> 1:14 3:23
threatening	38:15 41:14,15	tranche 54:14	138:5 139:10
108:8	41:16,19 42:1	transaction	true 50:15
<b>three</b> 45:19	42:7 44:7 53:1	12:12 16:7	101:22 138:15
68:13 69:3,17	57:8,16 59:18	23:11 30:21	trust 105:23
69:20 91:2	85:21 87:16	32:11 37:3	<b>truth</b> 138:10
129:14	88:1,4 90:15	48:22 51:23	<b>try</b> 10:10,10
threshold	91:5,16,19,20	59:17 81:19	18:15 21:10
77:18 78:19	91:23 93:22	87:21 89:12	42:10 46:22
time 28:13,20	95:15,16,17	91:7 95:21,24	111:11 124:10
34:13 35:9,12	97:10 110:22	104:24 105:2	<b>trying</b> 40:12
56:14 57:3	today 13:23	111:20 112:12	58:10 59:7
58:4 61:4 73:4	14:6 108:6	133:4 134:3,9	70:6 87:6
73:9 75:10,15	today's 7:2	135:8	131:12
85:6 89:1 91:9	together 71:7	transactions	tuckpoint 84:8
95:24 97:23	112:2	50:6 52:9 72:7	127:10,12
103:5 105:12	<b>told</b> 33:18 34:3	118:24 132:23	tuckpointed
108:2 114:22	34:5 43:1 46:2	135:15,18	83:16
115:20 124:3	tom 6:10,23 7:5	transcript	tuckpointing
124:17,21	7:8 34:22 51:9	138:12	69:2 80:13
138:19	54:13 58:8	transfer 31:16	84:14
timeline 127:5	62:4 67:21	35:2	<b>turn</b> 16:17
<b>timely</b> 107:23	71:5 74:9	transferred	17:21 20:8
times 25:21	79:14 91:13	30:14,18,19	21:15 23:16
62:20 66:15	124:7 130:1	32:6,10	29:21 34:11
92:8 99:1	tom's 7:7	transpired 16:7	43:17 49:13
<b>title</b> 4:18 12:14	ton 81:12	transpires	95:22
12:15 23:16,24	tone 42:5	104:24	<b>two</b> 12:17
24:1,2,6,7,9,12	top 11:22 71:23	treated 48:21	15:18 28:6
24:14,17,21,23	76:2	treatment 85:8	29:13 40:18,19
30:14,18,19			41:7 45:18
	1	I .	

[two - walk] Page 35

50:8,18 53:18	106:1 111:5	unfamiliar	uses 22:21
56:15 58:13	u	77:16	usually 12:10
59:1,4,9,10	<b>u</b> 107:18	unh 7:22	12:14,15,16,16
60:15 63:24	<b>u.s.</b> 94:6	unhs 7:22	12:24 13:1
65:8 66:2	um 9:9 19:2	<b>unique</b> 71:19	16:7,8 21:8
69:15 72:7	122:12 129:11	<b>unit</b> 13:16	33:14 40:19
80:4 83:3 92:7	unable 116:7	51:24 60:23,24	90:16,23 92:5
92:7 96:20		61:10,15 62:5	utilized 117:1
114:18 132:23	uncovered 130:13	62:12 69:22,23	V
135:15,18,19		70:1,12,13,21	v 90:8
typewriting	under 13:6	71:23 78:16	
138:14	17:10 62:18	82:22 84:3	vacation 16:13 value 31:18
typical 12:7	71:22 72:13,17 83:10 138:14	96:2 97:11	value 51:18 values 53:5
18:14 19:23		116:11 117:2	various 22:21
20:3 21:5	underlying 12:10	117:13 118:15	
31:19 35:1,18	understand	125:11,15	vary 12:8 vast 52:11
35:20 36:15,18	7:16 22:13	128:4 131:2,2	vast 32.11 verbal 108:3
38:5 45:20	59:23 72:17	131:4,5,5,11,21	versus 58:4
50:6 55:8 57:2	73:9,11,15	132:4,16	versus 38.4 vesting 24:8
57:24 69:1	86:2 111:10	133:22 137:6,7	videoconfere
70:24 71:1	122:6 123:9	<b>united</b> 1:1,16	1:13 6:4
90:14	understanding	<b>units</b> 69:6,15	volume 15:19
typically 10:15	23:10 27:11	69:20 70:5,8	volume 13.19 vs 1:6
15:24 23:8	30:13 59:8	71:20 116:13	
25:1 28:23	100:21 106:22	132:17	W
30:5,8,13	111:6 112:12	<b>unmute</b> 104:13	<b>w</b> 2:13
31:23 36:16	125:15 126:13	upcoming 79:1	wacker 91:18
38:19 54:17	123.13 120.13	updated 117:20	91:24
55:4 56:14,24	understood	118:9	<b>wait</b> 7:15
57:10,11 67:24	51:21 70:11	<b>ups</b> 130:21	waiting 19:9
72:21 73:19	82:19 124:17	use 20:5 24:8	92:8
77:20 89:24	130:24 132:14	88:12 98:14	waived 93:21
91:15 92:12	130:24 132:14	102:8 131:20	<b>walk</b> 85:21
93:6 98:24	undertake	<b>used</b> 67:15	90:6 108:8
105:19,23	77:22		121:2
	11.44		

[walker - wrong] Page 36

	I		
walker 2:8	57:18 58:16	42:19 74:23	wondering
walkerwilcox	59:9,12 71:5	102:17,17	86:11
2:11,13	82:20 91:11	104:6,16 105:4	<b>wood</b> 116:2
<b>wall</b> 115:22	92:10 118:7	106:23 107:6	126:5
125:23	127:24	107:18 112:11	<b>word</b> 20:5
want 15:20	ways 59:2,4,9	113:21 124:19	wording
17:15 18:23	73:7	<b>wilks</b> 108:15	116:21
22:10 47:14	<b>we've</b> 30:10	<b>window</b> 69:14	words 59:24
56:15 58:7,7	60:15 72:7	83:16 115:17	100:19 102:2
58:15 60:16	91:10 95:7	131:2 137:6	work 12:6 15:9
64:2 71:17	<b>week</b> 41:8	windows 60:23	59:22 66:23
74:20 79:10	weekend 73:24	61:10 70:6	71:7 80:16
86:8 88:20	weeks 41:7	115:23 125:24	84:14,23 85:21
90:24 117:24	116:2 126:5	<b>wire</b> 88:4	87:15 96:11,13
122:6 129:10	<b>went</b> 19:18	wired 88:3	96:16 116:4,12
130:24	22:2 26:21	withdraw 36:4	116:13 117:1
<b>wanted</b> 47:11	125:5	36:12	117:14 119:12
51:21	west 13:10,15	withheld 86:22	119:18,20
<b>wants</b> 124:4	60:23 61:10	withhold 94:10	125:12 126:7
warranties	84:15 115:22	106:12,13	127:8,8,10,14
25:23	125:23	withholding	127:18 134:11
<b>waste</b> 124:3	whatnot 89:17	94:13	worked 11:23
water 20:2,18	whatsoever	witness 4:2 6:4	working 15:4
22:7,17 37:5	71:8	6:14,17 34:16	107:21 112:2
49:2 60:21	whereof 139:2	86:10 87:2	workload
69:23 82:22	<b>whim</b> 106:13	88:10,12 101:2	16:12
84:3 100:6,13	wholeheartedly	101:13 106:7,9	works 41:19
114:21 115:19	47:16	107:3 109:23	71:6
116:4 117:6	<b>wife</b> 8:16 24:19	111:2 114:20	world 88:22
118:14 126:6	wilcox 2:8	114:23 118:13	write 116:22
130:10,13	<b>wilk</b> 110:4	120:1,6 122:16	<b>writes</b> 107:19
137:6	wilkensen 74:6	126:18 129:1	written 18:12
way 15:17 21:9	wilkins 11:23	134:21 136:13	18:21
30:20 32:24	17:18,22 25:12	137:16 138:9,9	<b>wrong</b> 70:9
41:18 48:4	26:6 31:2	139:2	
	Vanitary I a	ral Solutions	

[**x - zoom**] Page 37

X	120:7,18
<b>x</b> 4:1,11	121:23,23
<b>x'd</b> 66:3	122:5,20
xs 65:14	123:19 125:5
y	126:19 129:2
yahoo.com 2:5	131:6 135:11 136:20
<b>yeah</b> 9:24	vear 8:22 40:13
11:16 13:4,13	113:17 121:9
13:24 14:24	years 11:5
15:6,8 17:20	13:12,19 14:19
19:6,6,13	32:22 33:3
20:23 21:10	70:9 80:5
26:2,4,18	83:13 96:20
31:11 32:1,3	110:12,14
32:21 33:16	111:10 128:6
34:5 35:13,24	<b>yep</b> 54:6 65:1
38:20 39:20	122:16 127:16
40:23 41:18	Z
46:21 48:1	
52:21 54:6,17	zero 107:20 zoom 1:13
55:12 67:22	<b>zoom</b> 1:13
69:17 70:17,24	
72:20 74:4,16	
75:9,18 76:12	
76:22 77:17	
81:17 82:12,15	
85:19 86:7,11	
87:12 88:23	
89:24 90:9,14	
91:24,24 93:6	
94:2,21 95:9	
95:14 97:12,19	
97:19 106:10	
106:20 109:9	
109:16,24	

# Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

## VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.